



HAL
open science

INCREASING POWER OUTPUT AND MOVEMENT OPTIMIZATION IN CYCLING: INSIGHTS FROM A FULLY INSTRUMENTED ERGOMETER

Antony Costes, Nicolas A Turpin, David Villegier, Pierre Moretto, Bruno Watier

► **To cite this version:**

Antony Costes, Nicolas A Turpin, David Villegier, Pierre Moretto, Bruno Watier. INCREASING POWER OUTPUT AND MOVEMENT OPTIMIZATION IN CYCLING: INSIGHTS FROM A FULLY INSTRUMENTED ERGOMETER. 33rd International Conference on Biomechanics in Sports (ISBS 2015), Jun 2015, Poitiers, France. 4p. hal-01662993

HAL Id: hal-01662993

<https://laas.hal.science/hal-01662993v1>

Submitted on 13 Dec 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INCREASING POWER OUTPUT AND MOVEMENT OPTIMIZATION IN CYCLING: INSIGHTS FROM A FULLY INSTRUMENTED ERGOMETER

Antony Costes¹, Nicolas A. Turpin^{1,2}, David Villegier¹, Pierre Moretto^{1,3,4} and Bruno Watier^{1,5}

¹University of Toulouse, UPS, PRISSMH, Toulouse, France

²CRIR, Institut de Réadaptation Gingras-Lindsay de Montréal and Jewish Rehabilitation Hospital, Laval, Quebec, Canada

³University of Toulouse, CRCA, Toulouse, France

⁴CNRS, CRCA, Toulouse, France

⁵CNRS, LAAS, Toulouse, France

We hypothesized that the saddle vertical force would be a critical parameter to explain the sit-to-stand transition during cycling. A specific incremental test was used to determine the power at which the participants would spontaneously transit to the standing position (i.e., the Sit-to-Stand Transition Power; SSTP). Twenty-five participants were required to pedal at six different powers ranging from 20 ($1.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ W.kg}^{-1}$) to 120% ($9.6 \pm 1.6 \text{ W.kg}^{-1}$) of SSTP at 90 RPM. Five 6-component sensors recorded the loads applied on the saddle, pedals and handlebars. The results showed that the saddle vertical force decreased with increasing cycling power, from a static position on the bicycle ($5.30 \pm 0.50 \text{ N.kg}^{-1}$) to 120% of SSTP ($0.68 \pm 0.49 \text{ N.kg}^{-1}$). Pedal and handlebar force directions were reversed around SSTP, suggesting that the seated position may become constraining in these pedalling conditions. The present data suggest that the saddle vertical force may be predictive of the sit-to-stand transition in cycling, and that pedalling in the seated position at high crank forces may not be optimal, explaining the spontaneous change in coordination mode.

KEY WORDS: seated position, standing position, 6-component sensors.

INTRODUCTION: Most of the investigations in cycling biomechanics focused on the lower limb actions despite evidences that the whole body can be involved, and only a few studies reported the forces applied on the handlebar and/or on the saddle (Bolourchi and Hull, 1985; Wilson and Bush, 2007). However, the spontaneous full-body organisation in response to increasing pedalling power still needs to be described. The purpose of this study was to measure the force patterns applied by the cyclist on all his supports in order to explain why a spontaneous transition from the seated to the standing position is observed for a given cycling power. By using the simplest definitions of the seated (a vertical force applied on the saddle), and standing positions (lack of vertical force applied on the saddle), we hypothesized that the saddle vertical reaction force would be the critical parameter to explain this transition. Indeed, given the constraint of increasing pedal forces, the body weight may no longer be supported by the saddle, which may lead the cyclist to create additional forces on his supports in order to keep pedalling seated at a given level of efficient pedal forces (i.e. crank power for a given pedalling cadence).

METHODS: After a standardized bike positioning, 25 non-elite cyclists ($23.2 \pm 3.6 \text{ y}$, $1.77 \pm 0.06 \text{ m}$, $71.5 \pm 9.1 \text{ kg}$) were weighted on the ergocycle (LODE, Groningen, Netherlands) in order to measure a static level of saddle vertical force. This weight was determined with a horizontal crank position, and with the hands on the handlebars. Then, they performed an incremental test to determine their spontaneous Sit-to-Stand Transition Power (SSTP). In this protocol, active bouts of effort (20 s at 200 W + 25 W by increment) were alternated with recovery periods (40 s at 50 W), with a 90 RPM pedalling cadence. The power corresponding to SSTP was defined as the power at which the participant rose spontaneously from the saddle during 10 s. After five minutes of rest, 6 randomized trials of 10 s were performed in the seated position, with cycling powers ranging from 20 to 120% of SSTP, with 3 minutes of passive rest between each. During these trials, the forces applied on the handlebars, the saddle tube, and the pedals were recorded from three tubular sensors (SENSIX, Poitiers, France), and by two instrumented pedals (I-

Crankset-1, SENSIX, Poitiers, France) at a sampling frequency of 1 kHz. Three passive markers were positioned on each sensor, and their position recorded with twelve infrared cameras (VICON, Oxford, United-Kingdom) at 200 Hz. Kinetic and kinematic data were synchronized using Nexus 1.7.1 system (VICON, Oxford, United-Kingdom) and filtered using a 4th order, zero phase-shift, low-pass Butterworth with a 8 Hz cutoff frequency (McDaniel et al., 2014). Data analyses were performed using Scilab 5.4.0 (SCILAB, Scilab Enterprises). In the present study, only the vertical component of the 3D forces expressed in the laboratory reference frame were considered. All statistical analyses were performed using the STATISTICA software (STATSOFT, Maisons-Alfort, France). A p-value of 0.05 was defined as the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS: The cycling power corresponding to the sit-to-stand transition was 568 ± 93 W (8.0 ± 1.4 W.kg⁻¹). Thus, cycling powers corresponding from 20 to 120% ranged from 114 ± 19 W (1.6 ± 0.3 W.kg⁻¹) to 682 ± 111 W (9.6 ± 1.6 W.kg⁻¹). Because of the constant pedaling cadence imposed, increases in power output lead to equivalent increases in effective force production on the pedals.

The static vertical force on the saddle was 5.30 ± 0.50 N.kg⁻¹.

The minimum saddle vertical force observed during one pedal revolution for each cycling power is presented in Figure 1. This force linearly decreased with increasing cycling power by 87% from a static position on the bicycle (5.30 ± 0.50 N.kg⁻¹) to 120% of SSTP (0.68 ± 0.49 N.kg⁻¹). SSTP corresponded to a minimum value of saddle vertical force of 0.99 ± 0.50 N.kg⁻¹.




Figure 1: Minimum saddle vertical reaction force. ^{a,b,c,d,e,f} represent significant differences compared to 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120% of SSTP, respectively.

The minimum and maximum pedal and handlebar vertical reaction forces during one pedal revolution are presented in Figure 2. Maximum pedal vertical reaction forces increased linearly with cycling power ($R^2 = 0.998$ and 0.999 for the left, and right pedal, respectively), while minimum pedal vertical reaction forces decreased with cycling power and became negative above 80% of SSTP.

Maximum handlebar vertical reaction forces remained constant at all cycling powers, whereas minimum handlebar vertical reaction forces decreased with cycling power and became negative from SSTP.




Figure 2: Minimum (dots) and maximum (diamonds) left (white), and right (black) vertical reaction forces. A. Pedals. B. Handlebars.

DISCUSSION: In the present investigation, we hypothesized that the saddle vertical force would decrease with increasing pedal forces and would predict the sit-to-stand transition in cycling. Our results support our hypothesis as a strongly linear relationship was observed between the saddle vertical reaction force and cycling power (Figure 1). The sit-to-stand transition occurred at minimum saddle vertical force of about 1 N.kg^{-1} . Handlebar and pedal forces also showed interesting evolutions, with their minima tending to be negative around SSTP (Figure 2). A plausible explanation may be that the inversion in the direction of these forces corresponds to a trend to counteract the upward acceleration linked to downward pedal force application (i.e. pulling on the pedal and on the handlebar to remain seated). Previous studies have shown that pulling on the pedals, although increasing the mechanical effectiveness of pedaling, was detrimental to the metabolic efficiency (Edwards et al., 2009; Korff et al., 2007), and was a strategy opposite to the one employed by elite cyclists (Coyle et al., 1991). Similarly to pedal traction, pulling on the handlebar is associated with an important metabolic cost, increasing with the pedal force (McDaniel et al., 2005).

However, at the power output at which the part of the body weight supported by the saddle was compensated by the upward pedal reaction forces, both pedal and handlebar pulling forces shared a common interest in counterbalancing these pedal forces, and allowing to stay seated by adding vertical force on the saddle. Given the length of the measurements in this study, the downward forces created on the pedals and handlebars allowed to temporarily keep pedaling in the seated position despite their metabolic cost, a strategy presumably impossible to hold for longer durations. These results suggest that the standing position may be preferred at a given level of pedal force due to an increase in the necessity to create these downward reaction forces.

CONCLUSION:

Because of the high vertical reaction forces applied by the pedals at high crank power, the saddle vertical force dramatically decreased, which may have triggered the sit-to-stand transition. This spontaneous transition occurred at minimum saddle vertical force of about 1 N.kg⁻¹. Furthermore, the strong relationship between saddle vertical force and cycling power for a given pedaling cadence suggests that SSTP can be predicted. Non-optimal behaviors were observed around the power corresponding to SSTP by studying handlebar and pedal forces, suggesting that the spontaneous choice to rise in the standing position may be a solution to reduce these constraints.

In addition, this study suggests that improving bike settings and considering the specificities imposed by high force pedaling on the whole body during training may improve cycling performance. Clinicians, researchers, and manufacturers trying to understand the etiology of groin injuries and erectile dysfunction associated with cycling (Bressel and Larson, 2003; Lowe et al., 2004; Carpes et al., 2009; Bressel et al., 2010) should also consider the factors associated with saddle forces.

REFERENCES:

- Bolourchi, F. & Hull, M.A. (1985). Measurement of Rider Induced Loads During Simulated Bicycling. *Int. J. Sports Biomech*, 1, 308–329.
- Bressel, E. & Larson, B.J. (2003). Bicycle seat designs and their effect on pelvic angle, trunk angle, and comfort. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*, 35, 327–332.
- Bressel, E., Nash, D. & Dolny, D. (2010). Association between Attributes of a Cyclist and Bicycle Seat Pressure. *J. Sex. Med*, 7, 3424–3433.
- Carpes, F.P., Dagnese, F., Kleinpaul, J.F., Martins, E. & Mota, C.B. (2009). Bicycle Saddle Pressure: Effects of Trunk Position and Saddle Design on Healthy Subjects. *Urol. Int*, 82, 8–11.
- Coyle, E.F., Feltner, M.E., Kautz, S.A., Hamilton, M.T., Montain, S.J., Baylor, A.M., Abraham, L.D. & Petrek, G.W. (1991). Physiological and biomechanical factors associated with elite endurance cycling performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*, 23, 93–107.
- Edwards, L.M., Jobson, S.A., George, S.R., Day, S.H. & Nevill, A.M. (2009). Whole-body efficiency is negatively correlated with minimum torque per duty cycle in trained cyclists. *J. Sports Sci*, 27, 319–325.
- Korff, T., Romer, L.M., Mayhew, I. & Martin, J.C. (2007). Effect of pedaling technique on mechanical effectiveness and efficiency in cyclists. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*, 39, 991–995.
- Lowe, B.D., Schrader, S.M. & Breitenstein, M.J. (2004). Effect of bicycle saddle designs on the pressure to the perineum of the bicyclist. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*, 36, 1055–1062.
- McDaniel, J., Behjani, N.S., Elmer, S.J., Brown, N.A. & Martin, J.C. (2014). Joint-specific power-pedaling rate relationships during maximal cycling. *J. Appl. Biomech*, 30, 423–430.
- McDaniel, J., Subudhi, A. & Martin, J.C. (2005). Torso stabilization reduces the metabolic cost of producing cycling power. *Can. J. Appl. Physiol*, 30, 433–441.
- Wilson, C. & Bush, T.R. (2007). Interface forces on the seat during a cycling activity. *Clin. Biomech*, 22, 1017–1023.

Acknowledgement

Antony Costes was funded by a PhD grant from the French Ministry of Education and Research (Ministère de l'Éducation et de la Recherche).