



**HAL**  
open science

# Stability analysis of dissipative systems subject to nonlinear damping via Lyapunov techniques

Swann Marx, Yacine Chitour, Christophe Prieur

► **To cite this version:**

Swann Marx, Yacine Chitour, Christophe Prieur. Stability analysis of dissipative systems subject to nonlinear damping via Lyapunov techniques. 2018. hal-01857265v1

**HAL Id: hal-01857265**

**<https://laas.hal.science/hal-01857265v1>**

Preprint submitted on 14 Aug 2018 (v1), last revised 4 Dec 2018 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Stability analysis of dissipative systems subject to nonlinear damping via Lyapunov techniques<sup>§</sup>

Swann Marx<sup>1</sup>, Yacine Chitour<sup>2</sup> and Christophe Prieur<sup>3</sup>

August 13, 2018

## Abstract

In this article, we provide a general strategy based on Lyapunov functionals to analyse global asymptotic stability of linear infinite-dimensional systems subject to nonlinear dampings under the assumption that the origin of the system is globally asymptotically stable with a *linear damping*. To do so, we first characterize, in terms of Lyapunov functionals, several types of asymptotic stability for linear infinite-dimensional systems, namely the exponential and the polynomial stability. Then, we derive a Lyapunov functional for the nonlinear system, which is the sum of a Lyapunov functional coming from the linear system and another term which compensates the nonlinearity. Our results are then applied to the linearized Korteweg-de Vries equation and the 1D wave equation.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the asymptotic behavior analysis of infinite-dimensional systems subject to a nonlinear damping. These systems are composed by abstract operators generating a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions and a bounded operator representing the control operator (see e.g., [34] or [26] for the introduction of linear and nonlinear operators generating semigroups, respectively). These systems might be for instance a hyperbolic PDE, or a parabolic one or even the linearized Korteweg-de Vries equations. Assuming that a linear damping renders the origin of

---

<sup>\*1</sup>Swann Marx is with LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 7 avenue du colonel Roche, 31400, Toulouse, France [marx.swann@gmail.com](mailto:marx.swann@gmail.com).

<sup>†2</sup>Yacine Chitour is with Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes (L2S), CNRS - CentraleSupélec - Université Paris-Sud, 3, rue Joliot Curie, 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, [yacine.chitour@lss.supelec.fr](mailto:yacine.chitour@lss.supelec.fr).

<sup>‡3</sup>Christophe Prieur is with Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Gipsa-lab, F-38000 Grenoble, France, [christophe.prieur@gipsa-lab.fr](mailto:christophe.prieur@gipsa-lab.fr).

<sup>§</sup>This research was partially supported by the iCODE Institute, research project of the IDEX Paris-Saclay, and by the Hadamard Mathematics LabEx (LMH) through the grant number ANR-11-LABX-0056-LMH in the "Programme des Investissements d'Avenir", and by the European Research Council (ERC) through an ERC-Advanced Grant for the TAMING project (grant agreement 66698).

these systems globally asymptotically stable, we propose a general strategy to analyze the asymptotic behavior of these systems when modifying the linear damping with a nonlinearity. In contrast with the existing literature, which uses either integral inequalities (see e.g. [1], [2], [3], [21]) or a frequential approach (cf. [15], [9]) or even a compactness uniqueness strategy ([35], [28], [23]), we propose here to design Lyapunov functionals to characterize our results, extending to the infinite-dimensional setting a strategy first devised in [19] for finite-dimensional systems.

**Lyapunov functionals for infinite-dimensional linear systems** In the case where the origin of the linear system is *globally exponentially stable*, there exists a direct way to construct the Lyapunov functional. It relies mainly on the result provided in [12]. However, it is known that an equilibrium point for an infinite-dimensional system that is globally asymptotically stable is not necessarily exponentially stable. In some cases, this point is only *polynomially stable*, i.e., trajectories of the system converge with a decay rate expressed as  $\frac{1}{(1+t)^\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant.

Most of the existing literature analyzes this asymptotic behavior with some integral inequalities [29], [2] or with a frequential approach [20]. In contrast with these papers, we propose here to construct a Lyapunov functional in the case of polynomial stability. At the best of our knowledge, such a result is new. Note moreover that it is crucial in our approach, since this functional will be used in the case where the damping is modified with a nonlinearity.

**Nonlinear damping for infinite-dimensional systems** There exist many works dealing with nonlinear damping for infinite-dimensional systems. Some of them tackle specific PDEs as for instance hyperbolic ones (see e.g., [14], [21] or [3]) and others propose a general framework using abstract operators (see [32], [30], [18] and [8] for a specific case of nonlinear damping, namely the *saturation*). These papers, which deal with abstract operators, usually assume that the space where the damping takes value, namely  $S$ , is the same as the control space, namely  $U$ . However, in practice, this is not the case.

In contrast with existing works for abstract control systems, we aim here at giving a general definition of nonlinear dampings when the nonlinear damping space  $S$  is not necessarily equal to the control space  $U$ . With such a formalism, we are able to make a link between the literature on abstract operators and the one on hyperbolic systems. At the best of our knowledge, this formalism has been introduced first in [25] in the case where the nonlinear damping is a saturation.

In many works, specific PDEs subject to a nonlinear damping have been studied. In [18], the origin of a wave equation subject to a nonlinear damping, either distributed or located at the boundary, has been proved to be globally asymptotically stable, in the case  $S = U$ . In [27], a similar result has been stated, but in the case where  $S \neq U$ . In [10], the global asymptotic stability of a PDE coupled to an ODE with a saturated feedback law at the boundary has been tackled. There exist also some papers dealing with local asymptotic stability (see [17] or [16]). Note that both situations ( $S = U$  and  $S \neq U$ ) have been tackled for the specific nonlinear partial differential equation Korteweg-de Vries equation in [23], in the case where the damping is a saturation.

**Contribution** In this paper, we study two cases: either the origin of the infinite-dimensional system with a linear damping is globally exponentially stable or it is globally polynomially stable. In both cases, we derive a Lyapunov functional which allows us to prove and even characterize the decay rate of the trajectories.

In the first case (i.e., the origin of the linear system is globally exponentially), we derive a strict and *global* Lyapunov function if  $S = U$ . By global, we mean that the Lyapunov function does not depend on the initial condition, neither the decay rate. However, if  $S \neq U$ , we are not able to obtain such a result, but we prove that the origin of the system is semi-globally exponentially stable, meaning in particular that the decay rate of the trajectories depends on the initial condition.

In the second case (i.e., the origin of the linear system is globally polynomially stable), only in the case where  $S = U$ , we prove that the origin of the system is semi-globally polynomially stable. As in the exponential case, this means that the decay rate of the trajectories depends on the initial condition.

**Outline** Section 2 provides some necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of Lyapunov functionals for infinite-dimensional systems. In particular, we provide a new Lyapunov functional in the case where the origin is globally polynomially stable. In Section 3, nonlinear dampings for infinite-dimensional systems are introduced and our main results are stated. Their proofs are then given in Section 4. These results are illustrated in Section 5 on some examples, namely the linearized Korteweg-de Vries equation and the 1D wave equation. Section 6 collects some concluding remarks and further research lines to be investigated. Appendix 6 tackles the specific case of finite-dimensional systems and provides also a decay rate characterization, that applies also for the case  $S = U$  and the linear damping stabilizes exponentially the system.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors want to warmly thank Fatiha Alabau-Boussouira and Enrique Zuazua for all the encouraging and interesting discussions and for having pointed out a large number of crucial references. We would like to thank also Nicolas Burq for the interest in the present work.

## 2 Lyapunov criteria for linear infinite-dimensional systems

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space equipped with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ . Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  be a (possibly unbounded) linear operator whose domain  $D(A)$  is dense in  $H$ . We suppose that  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions denoted by  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ . We use  $A^*$  to denote the adjoint operator of  $A$ .

In this section, we consider the linear system given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = Az, \\ z(0) = z_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Since  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions, there exist both

strong and weak solutions to (1). Moreover, the origin of (1) is Lyapunov stable<sup>1</sup> in  $H$ . Indeed, the property of contraction satisfied by  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  implies that

$$\|e^{tA}z_0\|_H \leq \|z_0\|_H. \quad (2)$$

The origin is attractive in  $H$  if, for every  $z_0 \in H$ , one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|e^{tA}z_0\|_H = 0, \quad (3)$$

and this property is also referred as *strong stability* (see e.g., [3, Section 1.3]). This section aims at characterizing the decay rate of the trajectory when assuming that the origin is attractive. We first consider *global exponential stability*:

**Definition 1** (Global exponential stability). *The origin of (1) is said to be globally exponentially stable if there exist two positive constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$  such that, for any  $z_0 \in H$ ,*

$$\|e^{tA}z_0\|_H \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|z_0\|_H, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

**Remark 1.** *If the origin of (1) is globally exponentially stable in  $H$ , then, provided that the initial condition  $z_0$  is in  $D(A)$ , the origin is also globally exponentially stable in  $D(A)$ . Indeed, since  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions, then, for any initial condition  $z_0 \in D(A)$ ,  $Ae^{tA}z_0 \in H$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since (4) holds, this means in particular that*

$$\|e^{tA}Az_0\|_H \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|Az_0\|_H, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

*Note moreover that  $e^{tA}A = Ae^{tA}$  (see e.g., [34, Proposition 2.1.5]) and  $\|\cdot\|_{D(A)} := \|\cdot\|_H + \|A\cdot\|_H$ . Therefore,*

$$\|e^{tA}z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|z_0\|_{D(A)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Another characterization of attractivity is the *polynomial stability*. There exists several possible definitions referring to polynomial stability in the litterature, cf. the nice survey [3]. In any case, this is a weaker notion of attractivity than exponential stability, because the initial condition usually belongs to a more regular space defined as follows:

$$D(A^\theta) = \{z \in H \mid A^i z \in H, i = 1, \dots, \theta\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta$  is a positive integer. We suppose with no further mention in the remaining sections of the paper that, every time polynomial stability is at stake, then  $A^\theta$  is well-defined and  $D(A^\theta)$  is dense in  $H$  for some positive integer  $\theta$ .

This space is endowed with the following norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{D(A^\theta)} := \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \|A^i \cdot\|_H. \quad (6)$$

By  $A^i z$ , we mean that  $A$  is applied  $i$  times to  $z$ . We define  $A^0 = I_H$  so that we retrieve the classical definition of the graph norm of the operator  $A$ . This definition is borrowed from [34], just above Proposition 2.2.12.

---

<sup>1</sup>The origin of (1) is said to be Lyapunov stable in  $H$  if, for any positive  $\delta$ , there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta)$  such that

$$\|z_0\|_H \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|e^{tA}z_0\|_H \leq \delta.$$

**Definition 2** (Global polynomial stability). *Given  $\theta$  a positive integer, the origin of (1) is said to be polynomially stable if there exist two positive constants  $C$  and  $\gamma := \gamma(\theta)$  such that, for any initial condition  $z_0 \in D(A^\theta)$ ,*

$$\|e^{tA}z_0\|_H \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^\gamma} \|z_0\|_{D(A^\theta)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

In recent decades, Lyapunov functions have been instrumental to characterize stability for either finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional systems. The main result of [12] is stated in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** (Exponential stability [12]). *The origin of (1) is said to be globally exponentially stable if and only if there exist a self-adjoint, positive definite and coercive operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\langle Az, Pz \rangle_H + \langle Pz, Az \rangle_H \leq -C\|z\|_H^2, \quad \forall z \in D(A). \quad (8)$$

One can choose  $P$  in the latter equation in the form

$$P = \int_0^\infty e^{sA^*} e^{sA} ds + \alpha I_H, \quad (9)$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ . Note that this operator defines also a bounded operator of  $D(A)$ .

Note that an operator  $P$  satisfying (8) has also been considered in the context of the asymptotic stability analysis of linear switched systems in [13].

We next turn to a similar characterization of polynomial stability, i.e., in terms of a Lyapunov function. To the best of our knowledge, polynomial stability seems to have first been considered in [29] and [1]. Later on, it has been studied with spectrum analysis in [20]. We propose a Lyapunov characterization of such a stability with the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** ( $\theta$ -global polynomial stability). *Given  $\theta$  a positive integer, the origin of (1) is said to be globally polynomially stable with  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$  if and only if there exist a self-adjoint, positive-definite and coercive operator  $P_\theta : D(A^\theta) \rightarrow D(A^\theta)$  and two positive constants  $C$  and  $C_\theta$  such that*

$$\langle Az, P_\theta z \rangle_H + \langle P_\theta z, Az \rangle_H \leq -C\|z\|_H^2, \quad \forall z \in D(A), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\langle e^{tA}z, P_\theta e^{tA}z \rangle_H \leq \frac{C_\theta}{(1+t)^{2\gamma-1}} \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2, \quad \forall z \in D(A^\theta), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

One can choose  $P_\theta$  in the latter equation in the form

$$P_\theta := \int_0^\infty (e^{sA})^* e^{sA} ds + \alpha I_{D(A^\theta)}, \quad (12)$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2:** The proof is divided into two parts: the first part handles the necessary condition of item (ii) (i.e., the  $\Rightarrow$  part), while the second part focuses on the sufficient condition (i.e., the  $\Leftarrow$  part).

( $\Rightarrow$ ): We assume that the origin of (1) is polynomially stable. This part of the proof is inspired by [12].

Since the origin of (1) is  $\theta$ -globally polynomially stable, then, for all  $z \in D(A^\theta)$  and every  $T > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds &\leq \int_0^T \frac{C}{(1+s)^{2\gamma-1}} \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2 ds \leq C \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(1+s)^{2\gamma-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2\gamma-1} \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This implies that  $\int_0^\infty \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds$  is convergent and strictly positive as long as  $z$  is different from 0. Moreover, for every  $t \geq 0$ , the operator

$$Q_\theta(t) = \int_0^t (e^{sA})^* e^{sA} ds \quad (14)$$

satisfies the following properties, for all  $z_1, z_2$  in  $D(A^\theta)$ :

- (i) the function  $t \mapsto \langle Q_\theta(t)z_1, z_2 \rangle$  is well-defined;
- (ii) if  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , then  $0 \leq \langle Q_\theta(t_1)z, z \rangle_H \leq \langle Q_\theta(t_2)z, z \rangle_H$ ;
- (iii)  $\langle Q_\theta(t)z_1, z_2 \rangle_H = \langle z_1, Q_\theta(t)z_2 \rangle_H$ . We only provide an argument for item (i) since the two others are straightforward. One has

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Q_\theta(t)z_1, z_2 \rangle|^2 &= \left| \int_0^t \langle e^{sA}z_1, e^{sA}z_2 \rangle_H ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t \|e^{sA}z_1\|_H \|e^{sA}z_2\|_H ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t \|e^{sA}z_1\|_H^2 ds \right) \left( \int_0^t \|e^{sA}z_2\|_H^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^\infty \|e^{sA}z_1\|_H^2 ds \right) \left( \int_0^\infty \|e^{sA}z_2\|_H^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the principle of uniform boundedness, it follows that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \infty} \|Q_\theta(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(A^\theta), H)} < +\infty. \quad (15)$$

Then, using items (ii) and (iii), it follows that there exists a self-adjoint and positive-definite operator  $Q_\theta : D(A^\theta) \rightarrow H$  such that, for each  $z \in D(A^\theta)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|Q_\theta(t)z - Q_\theta z\|_H = 0. \quad (16)$$

Define the function  $V : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$V(z) = \langle Q_\theta z, z \rangle_H = \int_0^\infty \|e^{As}z\|_H^2 ds. \quad (17)$$

Clearly, one has, for every  $t \geq 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} V(e^{tA}z) &= \langle Q_\theta e^{tA}z, e^{tA}z \rangle_H = \int_0^\infty \|e^{A(t+s)}z\|_H^2 ds \\ &= \int_t^\infty \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds \leq \frac{C^2 \|z\|_{D(A)}^2}{(2\gamma(\theta) - 1)(1+t)^{2\gamma(\theta)-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$



Since  $z \in D(A^\theta)$ , the derivative of  $V$  with respect to  $t$  exists and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}V(e^{tA}z) &= 2\langle Q_\theta A e^{tA}z, e^{tA}z \rangle_H \\ &= 2 \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\tau \langle A e^{(t+s)A}z, e^{(t+s)A}z \rangle_H ds \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{ds} \|e^{(t+s)A}z\|_H^2 ds \\ &= -\|e^{tA}z\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since the origin is polynomially stable, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} V(e^{tA}z) &= \langle Q_\theta e^{tA}z, e^{tA}z \rangle_H = \int_0^\infty \|e^{(t+s)A}z\|_H^2 ds \\ &= \int_t^\infty \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds \leq \frac{C^2 \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2}{(2\gamma - 1)(1+t)^{2\gamma-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

If one sets  $P_\theta$  as

$$P_\theta := Q_\theta + \alpha I_{D(A^\theta)}, \quad (19)$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ , one gets a self-adjoint, positive-definite and coercive operator. Note that, for every  $z \in D(A^\theta)$ , one has

$$\langle P_\theta z, z \rangle_H = V(z) + \alpha \|z\|_H^2.$$

Hence, (10) is satisfied as well as (11) since  $\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|_H^2 \leq 0$ . This concludes the proof of the first part.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): We assume that there exists  $P_\theta : D(A^\theta) \rightarrow D(A^\theta)$  such that (10) and (11) hold. For  $z \in D(A^\theta)$ , set  $V(z) = \langle P_\theta z, z \rangle_H$ . Using (10), the derivative of  $V$  along the the dynamics (1) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(e^{tA}z) \leq -C\|z\|_H^2. \quad (20)$$

Using (11), one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(e^{tA}z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in D(A^\theta). \quad (21)$$

Then, integrating the latter equation between any non negative time  $t$  and  $\infty$ , one has

$$V(e^{tA}z) \geq C \int_t^\infty \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds. \quad (22)$$

Recalling that  $H$ -norm of  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is non increasing, the following holds

$$\|e^{tA}z\|_H^2 \leq \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2, \quad \forall s \in [t/2, t], \quad (23)$$

for  $t > 0$ . Integrating the latter inequality between  $\frac{t}{2}$  and  $t$  yields

$$\frac{t}{2} \|e^{tA}z\|_H^2 \leq \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds. \quad (24)$$

Noticing that  $1+t \leq 2t$ , for  $t \geq 1$ , then the following holds

$$(1+t)\|e^{tA}z\|_H^2 \leq 4 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds \leq 4 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^\infty \|e^{sA}z\|_H^2 ds \leq \frac{4}{C}V(e^{\frac{tA}{2}}z), \quad (25)$$

where we have used (22). Using (11), we obtain

$$\|e^{tA}z\|_H^2 \leq 4\frac{C_\theta}{C} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{2\gamma}} \|z\|_{D(A^\theta)}^2, \quad (26)$$

which concludes the proof of the polynomial stability of (1) and that of Proposition 2.  $\square$

### 3 Linear infinite-dimensional systems subject to a nonlinear damping

In this section, we discuss the notion of nonlinear damping function and state our main results, that is, roughly speaking, the following: when modifying a stabilizing linear feedback law with a nonlinear damping function, we characterize the asymptotic decays of corresponding trajectories.

#### 3.1 Linear control system with collocated feedback law

Let  $H$  and  $U$  be real Hilbert spaces equipped with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ , respectively. Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  be a (possibly unbounded) linear operator whose domain  $D(A)$  is dense in  $H$ . We suppose moreover that  $A$  generates a strongly semigroup of contractions denoted by  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ . We denote by  $A^*$  its adjoint. Finally, let  $B$  be a bounded operator from  $U$  to  $H$  (i.e.,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, H)$ ) and let us denote by  $B^*$  its adjoint.

We consider the infinite-dimensional linear control system given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = Az + Bu, \\ z(0) = z_0, \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where  $u$  denotes the control. In addition, we will choose the following collocated feedback law

$$u = -kB^*z, \quad (28)$$

where  $k$  is a positive constant.

The corresponding closed-loop system is then written as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = (A - kB B^*)z := \tilde{A}z, \\ z(0) = z_0. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Since  $B$  is a bounded operator, the domain of  $\tilde{A}$  coincides with  $D(A)$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\tilde{A}$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions.

The asymptotic stability of the origin of (29) has to be precised. We then assume that the origin of (29) is either globally exponentially stable or globally polynomially stable. Both hypotheses are collected just below.

**Hypothesis 1** (Exponential stability). *Assume that the origin of (29) is globally exponentially stable.*

**Example 1.** Let us consider the following linear wave equation

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} = \Delta z - a(x)z_t, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ z(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), z_t(0, x) = z_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a bounded connected domain with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$ . The damping localization function  $a(\cdot)$  is smooth, nonnegative and there exists a positive constant  $a_0$  such that  $a(x) \geq a_0$  on a non empty open subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ . In other words, the open subset  $\omega$  is actually the set where the control acts. The feedback control is said to be globally distributed if  $\omega = \Omega$  and locally distributed if  $\Omega \setminus \omega$  has a positive Lebesgue measure.

Equation (30) can be rewritten as an abstract control system (29) setting  $H := H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $U = L^2(\Omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A : D(A) \subset H &\rightarrow H \\ [z_1 \quad z_2]^\top &\mapsto [z_2 \quad \Delta z_1], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B : U &\rightarrow H, \\ u &\mapsto [0 \quad \sqrt{a(x)}u]^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$D(A) := (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)) \times H_0^1(\Omega).$$

The adjoint operators of  $A$  and  $B$  are, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} A^* : D(A) \subset H &\rightarrow H \\ [z_1 \quad z_2]^\top &\mapsto -A [z_1 \quad z_2]^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B^* : H &\rightarrow U, \\ [z_1 \quad z_2]^\top &\mapsto \sqrt{a(x)}z_2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

A straightforward computation, combined with some integrations by parts, shows that

$$\langle Az, z \rangle_H + \langle z, Az \rangle_H \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in D(A).$$

Hence, applying Lümer-Phillips's theorem, it follows that  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions. Moreover, using [35, Theorem 2.1.], (30) is globally exponentially stable provided that  $\omega$  is a neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, using Proposition 1, there exists a Lyapunov operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  such that a Lyapunov inequality holds. Therefore, Hypothesis 1 holds for (30).

**Hypothesis 2** (Polynomial stability). Assume that the origin of (29) is 1-globally polynomially stable with  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Example 2.** Consider again on the wave equation (30). Suppose that the condition such that the exponential is not satisfied. Assume moreover that  $\Omega$  is a torus (i.e., the boundary conditions are uniformly equal). Then, under some regularity assumptions on the damping function  $a$ , that are collected in [4, Theorem 2.6], the trajectory is 1-globally polynomially stable, with  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 3.2 Nonlinear damping functions

As it has been noticed at the beginning of the section, we want to study the asymptotic behavior of the origin of (27) with (28) modified by a nonlinearity, namely the *nonlinear damping function*. We provide next the definition of the nonlinear damping function.

**Definition 3** (Nonlinear damping functions on  $S$ ). *Let  $S$  be a real Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_S$ . Assume moreover that  $(U, S)$  is a rigged Hilbert space<sup>2</sup>, i.e.,  $S$  is a dense subspace of  $U$  and that the following inclusions hold*

$$S \subseteq U \subseteq S'. \quad (35)$$

*In particular, the duality pairing between  $S$  and  $S'$  is compatible with the inner product on  $U$ , in the sense that*

$$(u, v)_{S \times S'} = \langle u, v \rangle_U, \quad \forall u \in S \subset U, \quad \forall v \in U = U' \subset S'. \quad (36)$$

*A function  $\sigma : U \rightarrow S$  is said to be a nonlinear damping function on  $U$  if there exists positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that the following properties hold true.*

1. *The function  $\sigma$  is locally Lipschitz.*
2. *The function  $\sigma$  is maximal monotone, that is: for all  $s_1, s_2 \in U$ ,  $\sigma$  satisfies*

$$\langle \sigma(s_1) - \sigma(s_2), s_1 - s_2 \rangle_U \geq 0. \quad (37)$$

3. *For any  $s \in U$ , one has*

$$\|\sigma(s) - C_1 s\|_{S'} \leq C_2 h(\|s\|_S) \langle \sigma(s), s \rangle_U, \quad (38)$$

*where  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a continuous and non decreasing function satisfying  $h(0) > 0$ .*

**Example 3** (Some examples of nonlinear damping functions). *We provide two sets of examples depending on the fact that  $S = U$  or not.*

1. *Suppose that  $S := U$ . The saturation studied in [32], [18] and [23] is defined as follows, for all  $s \in U$ ,*

$$\mathbf{sat}_U(s) := \begin{cases} \frac{s}{\|s\|_U} s_0 & \text{if } \|s\| \geq s_0, \\ s & \text{if } \|s\| \leq s_0 \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

*where the positive constant  $s_0$  is called the saturation level. This operator clearly satisfies Item 1. of Definition 3. The fact that this operator is globally Lipschitz is proven in [32]. Moreover, one verifies easily that this operator satisfies Item 3. of Definition 3. In [30], this operator is proved to be  $m$ -dissipative, which implies that it is maximal monotone.*

2. *Suppose that  $S := L^\infty(0, 1)$  and  $U = L^2(0, 1)$ . In this case,  $S'$  is the space of finitely additive measures<sup>3</sup>. It contains the space  $L^1$ , and  $S'$  is continuously*

<sup>2</sup>We refer the interested reader to [?] for more details on rigged Hilbert spaces

<sup>3</sup>Let  $\Sigma$  be an algebra of sets of a given set  $\Omega$ . A function  $\lambda : \Sigma \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be a finitely additive signed measure if: (i)  $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$ ; (ii) given  $K_1, K_2 \in \Sigma$ , disjoint subsets,  $\lambda(K_1 \cup K_2) = \lambda(K_1) + \lambda(K_2)$ . The corresponding space, which is a Banach space, is endowed with the norm of total variation.

embedded in  $L^1(0, 1)$  via the operator  $u \mapsto \int_0^1 u dx$  (see [6, Remark 7, Page 102]). Therefore, it is clear that  $(U, S)$  is a rigged Hilbert space. Moreover, one can write via the latter embedding that

$$(u, v)_{S \times S'} = (v, u)_{L^1(0,1) \times L^\infty(0,1)} = \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(0,1)}. \quad (40)$$

For this case, we give two examples: the first one is a saturation, while the second one is borrowed from [21].

(i) ( $L^\infty$ -saturation) Standard saturation functions can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(0, 1) &\rightarrow L^\infty(0, 1), \\ s &\mapsto \mathbf{sat}_{L^\infty(0,1)}(s), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where  $\mathbf{sat}_{L^\infty(0,1)}(s)(\cdot) = \mathbf{sat}(s(\cdot))$ , where  $\mathbf{sat} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non decreasing, locally Lipschitz function verifying, for some positive constant  $C$ , that  $|\mathbf{sat}(s) - Cs| \leq s \mathbf{sat}(s)$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For instance,  $\arctan$ ,  $\tanh$  and the standard saturation functions  $\sigma_0(s) = \frac{s}{\max(1, |s|)}$  are saturation functions. In all these cases, the function  $h$  appearing in (38) can be taken equal to one. Note moreover that the saturations are uniformly bounded.

(ii) We have also the following nonlinear damping function, also called weak damping, and borrowed from [21, Theorem 2.]

$$\sigma(s) \leq c|s|^q, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

with  $c \geq 0$  and  $q < 1$  and such that  $\sigma(0) = 0$  and  $\sigma'(0) \geq 0$ . In this case, we have  $h(|s|) = |s|^{q-1}$ .

Consider now the following nonlinear dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} z = A_\sigma(z), \\ z(0) = z_0, \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

where the nonlinear operator  $A_\sigma$  is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_\sigma : D(A_\sigma) \subset H &\rightarrow H \\ z &\mapsto Az - \sqrt{k}B\sigma(\sqrt{k}B^*z). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with  $D(A_\sigma)$  the domain of  $A_\sigma$ . Since  $B$  is bounded, one clearly has that  $D(A_\sigma) = D(A)$ .

For the latter system, there exist many results related to its well-posedness and the asymptotic stability of its origin. The following theorem collects some of them.

**Theorem 1** (Well-posedness and global asymptotic stability). *(i) Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a nonlinear damping. Therefore, there exist a unique strong solution to (42) for every initial condition  $z_0 \in D(A)$ . Moreover, the following functions*

$$t \mapsto \|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H, \quad t \mapsto \|A_\sigma W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H, \quad (44)$$

*are nonincreasing.*

(ii) *Supposing that all the assumptions of the latter item hold and assuming moreover that  $D(A)$  is compactly embedded in  $H$ , then the origin of (42) is globally asymptotically stable, i.e., for every  $z_0 \in D(A)$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H^2 = 0. \quad (45)$$

The proof of the first item is provided in [31, Lemma 2.1, Part IV, page 165]. The second item has been proved in the specific case of hyperbolic systems in [11] (differentiable nonlinear damping) and [14] (non differentiable nonlinear damping). The proof of this item relies on the use of the LaSalle's Invariance Principle. For the well-posedness and the global asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system (42) in the case where  $\sigma$  is a saturation, we refer the interested reader to [30], [32] or more recently to [22].

**Remark 2.** *In some cases, it is not immediate to check whether  $D(A)$  is compactly embedded in  $H$ . For instance, we know that this holds for hyperbolic systems [14] or the linearized Korteweg-de Vries equation [22]. Note that the global asymptotic stability does not give any information on the decay rate of the trajectory of the systems. Here, we do not aim at just proving that the origin of (42) is globally asymptotically stable, but rather at characterizing the decay rate of trajectories.*

### 3.3 Global asymptotic stability results

The remaining parts of the paper aim at characterizing precisely the stability properties of the origin of (42). Before stating our main results, let us provide some stability definitions.

**Definition 4** (Semi-global exponential stability). *The origin of (42) is said to be semi-globally exponentially stable in  $D(A)$  if, for any positive  $r$  and any initial condition satisfying  $\|z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq r$ , there exist two positive constants  $\mu := \mu(r)$  and  $K := K(r)$  such that*

$$\|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H \leq K e^{-\mu t} \|z_0\|_H, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (46)$$

This definition is inspired by [23], which focuses on a particular nonlinear damping function, namely the *saturation*. It is well known that a linear finite-dimensional system subject to a saturated controller cannot be globally exponentially stabilized (see [33]). The semi-global exponential stability written just below can be thought as a global exponential stability that is not uniform with respect to the initial condition (i.e., the constant  $C$  and  $\mu$  depend on the bound of the initial condition). Note also that [21, Theorem 2] corresponds exactly to a semi-global exponential stability result.

A similar definition can be stated for the case of the polynomial stability.

**Definition 5** (Semi-global polynomial stability). *The origin of (42) is said to be semi-globally polynomially stable in  $D(A)$  if there exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  and if, for any positive  $r$  and any initial condition satisfying  $\|z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq r$ , there exists a positive constant  $C := C(r)$  such that*

$$\|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^\gamma} \|z_0\|_{D(A)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (47)$$

As for the semi-global exponential stability, the semi-global polynomial stability is a global polynomial stability which is not uniform with respect to the initial condition.

We are now in position to state the main results of our paper. The first one is based on Hypothesis 1.

**Theorem 2** (Semi-global exponential stability). *Consider that  $\sigma$  in (42) is a nonlinear damping function satisfying Item 1. and 3. of Definition 3. Assume that Hypothesis 1 holds. Then, we have the following results*

1. *If  $S = U$ , there exists a strict and global Lyapunov function for (42).*
2. *If  $S \neq U$ , assume that  $\sigma$  is also maximal monotone (i.e.,  $\sigma$  satisfies Item 2. of Def 3) and that the following inequality holds*

$$\|B^*s\|_S \leq c_S \|s\|_{D(A)}, \quad \forall s \in D(A). \quad (48)$$

*Hence, the origin of (42) is semi-globally exponentially stable in  $D(A)$ .*

A similar theorem can be stated when assuming that Hypothesis 2 holds.

**Theorem 3** (Semi-global polynomial stability). *Consider that  $\sigma$  in (42) is a nonlinear damping function satisfying all the items of Definition 3. Assume moreover that  $S = U$  and Hypothesis 2 holds. Then, the origin of (42) is semi-globally polynomially stable with  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

**Remark 3.** *The conclusion of Item 1. actually holds without the assumption of maximal monotonicity of the nonlinear damping function  $\sigma$ . Moreover, this case is entirely similar to the finite-dimensional one, cf. Appendix 6 below.*

**Remark 4** (On the property (48)). *In general, the property (48) should be weakened, especially in the case of the wave equation in dimension higher than one. A more general assumption would be the following: there exists a positive  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and a positive constant  $c_S$  such that*

$$\|B^*s\|_S \leq c_S \|s\|_{D(A^p)}.$$

*However, in that case, applying directly our strategy fails, because it would require dissipativity of the semigroup in  $D(A^p)$ , which is in general false in dimension higher than 1 and for  $p \geq 2$ .*

**Remark 5** (On the polynomial stability result). *Theorem 3 states a result only for the case where  $S = U$ . Indeed, the case  $S \neq U$  would need a dissipativity property in  $D(A^2)$ , which is not true in general.*

## 4 Proof of the main theorems

In this section, we provide the proof of Theorem 2 and the proof of Theorem 3. These proofs are based on a Lyapunov strategy.

## 4.1 Proof of Theorem 2

We split the proof of Theorem 2 into two cases. Firstly, we tackle Item 1. of Theorem 2 and then Item 2. Indeed, the Lyapunov functions considered in the two cases are different. In both cases, each argument is itself divided into two steps. First, we find a strict Lyapunov function and then we prove the asymptotic stability of the origin of (42).

**Case 1:**  $S = U$ .

Set  $\tilde{A} = A - C_1 B B^*$ , where the positive constant  $C_1$  is given in (38) and  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is defined in (8). Consider the following candidate Lyapunov function

$$\tilde{V}(z) := \langle Pz, z \rangle_H + M \int_0^{\|z\|^2} \sqrt{v} h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \sqrt{v}) dv, \quad (49)$$

where  $M$  is a sufficiently large positive constant to be chosen later and  $h$  is the function defined in Item 3 of Definition 3. This function, inspired by [19], is positive definite and coercive. Indeed, since  $h(0) > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{\|z\|_H^2} \sqrt{v} h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \sqrt{v}) dv \geq h(0) \int_0^{\|z\|_H^2} \sqrt{v} dv = \frac{2h(0)}{3} \|z\|_H^3. \quad (50)$$

Noticing that there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\alpha \|z\|^2 \leq \langle Pz, z \rangle_H \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|z\|^2$ , one has

$$\alpha \|z\|^2 + M h(0) \frac{2}{3} \|z\|_H^3 \leq \tilde{V} \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|z\|^2 + M \|z\|_H^3 h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \|z\|_H). \quad (51)$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Pz, z \rangle_H = \langle Pz, \tilde{A}z \rangle_H + \langle P\tilde{A}z, z \rangle_H \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \langle Pz, B(C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)) \rangle_H + \langle PB(C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)), z \rangle_H \\ &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2 \langle B^* Pz, C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U \\ &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2 \|B^* Pz\|_U \|C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)\|_U, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Using Item 3. of Definition 3 and the fact that  $B^*$  is bounded in  $U$ , it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle Pz, z \rangle_H &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2C_2 \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|z\|_H h(\|B^* z\|_U) \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U \\ &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2C_2 \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|z\|_H h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \|z\|_U) \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, using the dissipativity of the operator  $A$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} M \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\|z\|^2} \sqrt{v} h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \sqrt{v}) dv &= M \|z\|_H h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \|z\|_H) (\langle Az, z \rangle_H \\ &\quad + \langle z, Az \rangle - 2 \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U) \\ &\leq -2M \|z\|_H h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \|z\|_H) \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if one chooses  $M$  as

$$M = C_2 \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}, \quad (54)$$



one obtains, after adding the above two equations, that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{V}(z) \leq -C \|z\|_H^2. \quad (55)$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2 in the case where  $S = U$ .

**Case 2:**  $S \neq U$ .

In this case, we are not able to control the term  $\|C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)\|_U$  in (53) with Item 3. of Definition 3. To tackle this term, the inequality (48) together with Item 1. of Theorem 1 will be used in order to prove that the origin of (42) is semi-globally exponentially stable.

Let  $\tilde{V}(z)$  be the Lyapunov function candidate defined by

$$z \in D(A) \mapsto \tilde{V}(z) := \langle Pz, z \rangle_H + M \|z\|_H^2, \quad (56)$$

where  $M > 0$  will be selected later.

First, using the dissipativity of the operator  $A$ , one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} M \|z\|_H^2 \leq -2M \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U. \quad (57)$$

Second, performing similar computations than in the case  $S = U$ , one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Pz, z \rangle_H \leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2 \langle B^* Pz, C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U. \quad (58)$$

It remains now to control the term

$$2 \langle B^* Pz, C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U.$$

We now assume that we have a strong solution for (42), whose initial condition  $z_0 \in D(A)$  is such that

$$\|z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq r, \quad \|z_0\|_H \leq r, \quad (59)$$

for some positive  $r$ . Since  $(U, S)$  is a rigged Hilbert space, hence the following holds

$$\langle B^* Pz, C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U = \langle B^* Pz, C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_{S \times S'}.$$

Hence, applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Pz, z \rangle_H \leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2 \|B^* Pz\|_S \|C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)\|_{S'}. \quad (60)$$

Moreover, thanks to (48), one has

$$\|B^* Pz\|_S \leq c_S \|Pz\|_{D(A)} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)\|_{S'} &\leq C_2 h(\|B^* z\|_S) \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U \\ &\leq C_2 h(\|B^*\| \|z\|_{D(A)}) \langle B^* z, \sigma(B^* z) \rangle_U, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where we have used the fact that  $h$  is non decreasing and Item 3. of Definition 3 in the second one.

Now, using (44), the fact that  $P \in \mathcal{L}(D(A))$  and the dissipativity of the strong solution, which comes from Item 2. of Theorem 1 and which can be written as follows:

$$\|PW_\sigma(t)z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(A))}\|z_0\|_{D(A)}, \quad \|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq \|z_0\|_{D(A)}, \quad (63)$$

one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle Pz, z \rangle_H \leq -C\|z\|_H^2 + 2c_S h(\|B^*\|_r) r C_2 \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(A))} \langle B^*z, \sigma(B^*z) \rangle_U. \quad (64)$$

Therefore, if one selects  $M$  such that

$$M = c_S C_2 h(\|B^*\|_r) r \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(A))}, \quad (65)$$

it follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{V}(z) \leq -C\|z\|_H^2. \quad (66)$$

Note that we have, for all  $z \in H$

$$\langle Pz, z \rangle_H \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}\|z\|_H. \quad (67)$$

Hence, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{V}(z) &\leq -\frac{C}{2\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}}\langle Pz, z \rangle_H - \frac{C}{2}\|z\|_H^2 \\ &\leq -\mu\tilde{V}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where

$$\mu := \min\left(\frac{C}{2\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}}, \frac{C}{2M}\right). \quad (69)$$

After integration of the above differential inequality, one obtains

$$\tilde{V}(W_\sigma(t)z_0) \leq e^{-\mu t}\tilde{V}(z_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (70)$$

Hence,

$$\|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H^2 \leq \frac{\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} + M}{M} e^{-\mu t} \|z_0\|_H^2. \quad (71)$$

Since  $M$  depends on the bound of the initial condition, the origin of (42) is semi-globally exponentially stable for any strong solution to (42). It concludes the proof of Item 2. of Theorem 2.

**Remark 6.** *The Lyapunov functional used in the proof of the case  $S = U$  corresponds to the one used in the finite-dimensional case, treated in Appendix 6. In particular, one can characterize the asymptotic behavior of the trajectory in a similar manner than in Remark 7.2 by setting  $\lambda_{\min}(P) := \alpha$  and  $K : X \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \int_0^X \sqrt{v} h(\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \sqrt{v}) dv \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . This implies in particular that we do not need the solution to be strong, which is in contrast with the case where  $S \neq U$ , where the decay rate depends on the bound of the initial condition in  $D(A)$ .*

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 3

We assume here that  $S = U$  and  $\theta = 1$ . Set  $\tilde{A} = A - C_1 B B^*$ ,  $P_1 : D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$  defined in (10) and  $C_1$  is the positive constant defined in Item 2 of Definition 3.

Let us consider the following candidate Lyapunov function

$$\tilde{V}(z) = \langle P_1 z, z \rangle_H + M \|z\|_H^2, \quad (72)$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant that has to be chosen.

First, using the dissipativity of the operator  $A$ , one has

$$M \frac{d}{dt} \|z\|_H^2 = M (\langle Az, z \rangle_H + \langle z, Az \rangle_H + 2 \langle \sigma(B^* z), B^* z \rangle_U) \leq -2M \langle \sigma(B^* z), B^* z \rangle_U. \quad (73)$$

Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle P_1 z, z \rangle_H &= \langle P_1 A_\sigma(z), z \rangle_H + \langle P_1 z, A_\sigma(z) \rangle_H = \langle P_1 \tilde{A} z, z \rangle_H + \langle P_1 z, \tilde{A} z \rangle_H \\ &\quad + 2 \langle P_1 B (C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)), z \rangle_H \leq -C \|z\|_H^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \langle C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z), B^* P_1 z \rangle_U, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last line the Lyapunov inequality (10).

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Item 3. of Definition 3, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle P_1 z, z \rangle_H &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2 \|C_1 B^* z - \sigma(B^* z)\|_U \|B^* P_1 z\|_U \\ &\leq -C \|z\|_H^2 + 2C_2 h(\|B^* z\|_S) \langle \sigma(B^* z), B^* z \rangle_U \|B^* P_1 z\|_U \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

It remains to choose a constant  $M$  in (73) in order to compensate the term

$$C_2 h(\|B^* z\|_U) \|B^* P_1 z\|_U, \quad (75)$$

which appears in the latter inequality.

We consider initial condition  $z_0$  in  $D(A)$  satisfying

$$\|z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq r, \quad (76)$$

for some positive  $r$ . Note that, since  $\sigma$  is a nonlinear damping, one can apply Item 1. of Theorem 1. Therefore, for all  $z_0 \in D(A)$ ,

$$\|W_\sigma(t) z_0\|_H \leq \|z_0\|_H, \quad \|A W_\sigma(t) z_0\|_H \leq \|A z_0\|_H. \quad (77)$$

This latter property together with (76) implies that

$$\|W_\sigma(t) z_0\|_{D(A)} \leq r. \quad (78)$$

Finally, using the fact that  $B^* \in \mathcal{L}(H, U)$  and that  $h$  is non decreasing, (75) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 h(\|B^* z\|_U) \|B^* P_1 z\|_U &\leq C_2 h(\|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|z\|_H) \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|P_1 z\|_H \\ &\leq C_2 C_\theta h(\|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|z\|_H) \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} \|z\|_{D(A)}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Then, using (78) and the fact that  $\|z\|_H \leq \|z\|_{D(A)}$ , one has

$$C_2 h(\|B^* z\|_U) \|B^* P_1 z\|_U \leq C_2 C_\theta h(\|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} r) \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} r. \quad (80)$$

Finally, if one selects  $M$  such that

$$M = C_2 C_\theta h(\|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} r) \|B^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,U)} r, \quad (81)$$

the derivative of  $\tilde{V}$  along the trajectories of (42) satisfies

$$\tilde{V}(z) \leq -C \|z\|_H^2, \quad \forall z \in D(A). \quad (82)$$

Note that  $\tilde{V}$  satisfies, for all  $z \in D(A)$

$$\alpha \|z\|_{D(A)}^2 + M \|z\|_H^2 \leq \tilde{V}(z) \leq M \|z\|_H^2 + C_\theta \|z\|_{D(A)}^2. \quad (83)$$

First, integrating (82) between 0 and  $t$ , one obtains

$$\tilde{V}(W_\sigma(t)z_0) - \tilde{V}(z_0) \leq -C \int_0^t \|W_\sigma(s)z_0\|_H^2 ds. \quad (84)$$

Since  $A_\sigma$  is dissipative, one deduces from (84) that, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$C(1+t) \|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H^2 \leq C \|z_0\|_H^2 + \tilde{V}(z_0),$$

and hence,

$$\|W_\sigma(t)z_0\|_H^2 \leq \frac{1}{1+t} \frac{M + C_\theta + C}{C} \|z_0\|_H^2. \quad (85)$$

This achieves the proof of Theorem 3.

## 5 Illustrative examples

### 5.1 Linearized Korteweg-de Vries equation with spatially localized damping

As a first example, let us focus on the following partial differential equation,

$$\begin{cases} z_t(t, x) + z_x(t, x) + z_{xxx}(t, x) = -a(x)z(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, L], \\ z(t, 0) = z(t, L) = z_x(t, L) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), & x \in [0, L], \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

where  $L$  is a positive constant,  $\omega$  is a nonempty open subset of  $(0, L)$  and  $a(x)$  is a smooth bounded nonnegative function satisfying  $a(x) \geq a_0$  for all  $x \in \omega$  for some positive constant  $a_0$ .

This equation can be written in an abstract way as in (29) if one sets  $H = L^2(0, L)$ ,  $U = L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A : D(A) \subset L^2(0, L) &\rightarrow L^2(0, L), \\ z &\mapsto -z' - z''', \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where

$$D(A) := \{z \in H^3(0, L) \mid z(0) = z(L) = z'(L) = 0\}, \quad (88)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B : L^2(\omega) &\rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \\ u &\mapsto \sqrt{a(x)}u. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

<sup>4</sup> The adjoint operators of  $A$  and  $B$  are, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} A^* : D(A^*) \subset H &\rightarrow H, \\ z &\mapsto z' + z''', \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

with  $D(A^*) := \{z \in H^3(0, L) \mid z(0) = z(L) = z'(0) = 0\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} B^* : L^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow L^2(\omega) \\ z &\mapsto \sqrt{a(x)}z. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

A straightforward computation, together with some integrations by parts, shows that

$$\langle Az, z \rangle_H + \langle z, Az \rangle_H \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in D(A). \quad (92)$$

Since  $A$  is a closed linear operator and  $D(A)$  is dense in  $H$ , according to Lümer-Phillips' theorem (see e.g., [34, Theorem 3.8.4., Page 103]), it follows that  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semi-group of contractions. Note that, according to [7, Section 4], Hypothesis 1 holds.

In the case where  $S = U$ , the result follows easily, since the operator  $B^*$  does not any regularity property as in the case where  $S \neq U$ . Consider now the saturation  $\sigma$  defined in (41), i.e.  $\sigma = \mathbf{sat}_{L^\infty(0,1)}$ . In order to check whether (40) holds, the following result, obtained in [24], is needed:

**Lemma 1** ([24], Lemma 4.). *For all  $z \in D(A)$ , there exists a positive constant  $\Delta$  such that*

$$\|z\|_{H_0^1(0,L)} \leq \Delta \|z\|_{D(A)}.$$

Using the above mentioned result together with the fact that the space  $H_0^1(0, L)$  is continuously embedded in  $L^\infty(0, L)$ , that is due to Rellich-Kondaroch Theorem [6, Theorem 9.16, page 285], one obtains that

$$\|z\|_S \leq \Delta \|z\|_{D(A)}.$$

Since  $B^*$  is bounded in  $L^\infty$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_B$  such that  $\|B^*z\|_S \leq c_B \|z\|_S$ , and then

$$\|B^*z\|_S \leq \Delta \|z\|_{D(A)}.$$

Therefore, (48) holds for the linear Korteweg-de Vries equation.

Finally, since all the properties needed to apply Theorem 2 hold, this proves that the origin of

$$\begin{cases} z_t(t, x) + z_x(t, x) + z_{xxx}(t, x) = -\sqrt{a(x)}\mathbf{sat}_{L^\infty}(\sqrt{a(x)}z(t, x)), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, L], \\ z(t, 0) = z(t, L) = z_x(t, L) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), & x \in [0, L], \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

is semi-globally exponentially stable in  $D(A)$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>We refer to [14] for this definition in the case of hyperbolic system.

## 5.2 Wave equation

Consider Example 30 in the case where the damping is modified by a nonlinear damping function satisfying all the items of Definition 3. Then, the equation reads as follows

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} = \Delta z - \sqrt{a(x)}\sigma(\sqrt{a(x)}z_t), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ z(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), z_t(0, x) = z_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (94)$$

As before, the case  $S = U$  follows easily. Therefore, assuming that Hypothesis 1 holds, there exists a strict and global Lyapunov function for (94) and, assuming that Hypothesis 2 holds, the origin of (94) is semi-globally polynomially stable.

Assume now that  $S = L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Note that the inequality given by (48) does not hold if the dimension of  $x$  is higher or equal to 2. Then, assume that  $\Omega := [0, 1]$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^*z\|_S &= \|a(\cdot)z_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|a(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|z_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

Since  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  embeds continuously in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  due to Rellich-Kondrachov theorem [6, Theorem 9.16, page 285], there exists a positive constant  $C_\Omega$  such that

$$\|B^*z\|_S \leq C_\Omega \|a(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|z_t(t, \cdot)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \quad (96)$$

Noticing that

$$\|(z, z_t)\|_{D(A)} := \|z\|_{H^2(\Omega) \cup H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z_t\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \quad (97)$$

then

$$\|B^*z\|_S \leq C_\Omega \|a(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|(z, z_t)\|_{D(A)}. \quad (98)$$

This implies that (48) holds for (30) with  $c_S := C_\Omega \|a(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\omega)}$ . Hence, one can apply Theorem 2. In particular, the origin of (94) is semi-globally exponentially stable in  $D(A)$  for any nonlinear damping function  $\sigma$  satisfying all the items of Definition 3.

**Remark 7.** In [21], a similar result is provided for damped wave equations in dimension  $N \leq 3$ . The strategy the authors follow in the paper does not rely on a Lyapunov functional, but rather on a analysis of the natural energy of the wave equation in order to obtain an integral inequality. Note that their result are better than ours for the wave equation because they need an  $L^\infty$  bound (unifrom in time along a trajectory) only for  $z$  while we (essentially) need a similar bound for  $z_t$ .

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have characterized the asymptotic behavior of a family of linear infinite-dimensional systems subject to a nonlinear damping. Assuming that the origin of the system is globally exponentially stable or globally polynomially stable when the damping is linear, we have built Lyapunov functionals for the nonlinear system. These Lyapunov functionals are the sum of two terms: the first one is based on the Lyapunov operator coming the stabilizability property of the linear system and the second term is added in order to compensate the nonlinearities.

From this work, there exist many research lines which can be pursued further. Below, we have listed some of them.

- Unfortunately, our strategy in the case where  $S \neq U$  (i.e.,  $S = L^\infty(\Omega)$ ) does not work for the wave equation with a dimension higher than 2. It might be interesting to investigate a weaker property than (48) in order to characterize precisely the asymptotic behavior of the wave equation subject to a nonlinear damping;
- In some papers (see e.g., [21]), the nonlinear damping function is not assumed to be maximal monotone (i.e., it does not satisfy Item 2. of Definition 3). We believe that our general strategy might also work without assuming such a property, focusing on some particular partial differential equations.
- It might be also interesting to investigate ISS properties of such linear infinite-dimensional systems subject to a nonlinear damping. The case where  $S = U$  has been tackled in [25], but the case  $S \neq U$  seems harder to obtain.
- Our strategy might be also adapted for other nonlinearities, such as the dry damping, which has been studied for instance in [5]. In contrast with the nonlinear damping introduced in this paper, the dry damping is not smooth (it is described with a sign function), which makes the well-posedness study of the closed-loop system not trivial to tackle as well as an asymptotic behavior characterization.

## 7 Lyapunov functions for linear finite-dimensional systems subject to a nonlinear damping

### 7.1 Deriving Lyapunov functions for the finite-dimensional case

Let us consider the following linear finite-dimensional system

$$\frac{d}{dt}z = Az + Bu, \quad (99)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $A$  and  $B$  of appropriate dimension. Let us denote by  $|\cdot|$  the Euclidian norm of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $|B|$  the induced norm of the matrix  $B$ . We use  $^\top$  to denote the transpose of a matrix. We suppose that the following properties hold:

- (i) the pair  $(A, B)$  is controllable;
- (ii)  $A$  is dissipative, i.e., for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$z^\top Az + z^\top A^\top z \leq 0.$$

Then, for every  $k > 0$ , the feedback-law  $u = -kB^\top z$  stabilizes the origin of (99), i.e. the matrix  $A - kBB^\top$  is Hurwitz. This means in particular that there exists a unique symmetric positive-definite matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$(A - kBB^\top)^\top P + P(A - kBB^\top) = -I_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \quad (100)$$

We aim at modifying the feedback control  $u = -kBB^\top z$  with a nonlinear damping function given by Definition 3 and at building a strict Lyapunov function for the

corresponding nonlinear system. Note that in this case  $S = U = R^m$ . This Lyapunov function is based on the following function

$$K : X \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \int_0^X \sqrt{v}h(|B|\sqrt{v})dv \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (101)$$

In particular, this function is positive, strictly increasing, vanishes at 0 and tends to infinity as  $X$  tends to infinity.

**Theorem 4.** *Consider a nonlinear damping function only satisfying Items 1. and 3. of Definition 3, where  $S = U = \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $P$  be the solution of (100) with  $k = C_1$  provided in (38). Then, the positive definite function  $\tilde{V} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given by*

$$\tilde{V}(z) := z^\top Pz + C_2|B| |P|K(|z|^2), \quad (102)$$

where  $C_2$  is provided in (38), is a strict Lyapunov function for the following nonlinear system

$$\frac{d}{dt}z = Az - B\sigma(B^\top z) := A_\sigma(z), \quad (103)$$

and, along its trajectories, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{V}(z) \leq -|z|^2. \quad (104)$$

**Proof** Set  $\tilde{A} = A - C_1BB^*$ , where the positive constant  $C_1$  is given in (38) and  $P$  is defined in (100). Consider the following candidate Lyapunov function  $\tilde{V}(z) := z^\top Pz + MK(|z|)$  where  $M$  is a sufficiently large positive constant to be chosen later and  $h$  is the function defined in Item 2 of Definition 3. This function, inspired by [19], is positive definite and coercive. Indeed, since  $h(0) > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{|z|^2} \sqrt{v}h(|B|\sqrt{v})dv \geq h(0) \int_0^{|z|^2} \sqrt{v}dv = \frac{2h(0)}{3}|z|^3. \quad (105)$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{V} \geq \frac{2h(0)}{3}|z|^3 + \lambda_{\min}(P)|z|^2$ , where  $\lambda_{\min}(P)$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $P$ . It implies in particular that the Lyapunov function  $\tilde{V}$  is coercive. Moreover, noticing that  $z^\top Pz \leq |P||z|^2$  and that  $h$  is increasing, one has therefore

$$\lambda_{\min}(P)|z|^2 + \frac{2Mh(0)}{3}|z|^3 \leq \tilde{V} \leq |P||z|^2 + M|z|^3h(|B||z|). \quad (106)$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}z^\top Pz &= z^\top P\tilde{A}z + z^\top P\tilde{A}^\top z + 2z^\top PB(C_1B^\top z - \sigma(B^\top z)) \\ &\leq -|z|^2 + 2C_1|B^\top Pz| |B^\top z - \sigma(B^\top z)| \\ &\leq -|z|^2 + 2C_2|B| |P| |z|h(|B^\top z|)z^\top B^\top \sigma(B^\top z), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last inequality Item 3. of Definition 3. Secondly, using the dissipativity of the matrix  $A_\sigma$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} M \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{|z|^2} \sqrt{v}h(|B|\sqrt{v})dv &= M|z|h(|B||z|)(z^\top A^\top z + z^\top Az - 2z^\top B\sigma(B^\top z)) \\ &\leq -2M|z|h(|B||z|)z^\top B\sigma(B^\top z). \end{aligned}$$



Hence, if one chooses  $M = C_2|B| |P|$ , one obtains, after adding the two above inequalities, the desired inequality (104). This achieves the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** *Damping functions are usually of the type  $z \mapsto (\sigma_i(z_i))_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is a real valued damping function. If  $\sigma(z)/z \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z|$  tends to infinity, then  $\sigma$  is said to be a weak damping function, for instance  $C_1 s / (1 + |s|)^k$ , with  $C_1, k > 0$ . In this case, up to a positive constant, the function  $h$  can be taken equal to one if  $k \geq 1$  and to  $(1 + \xi)^{k-1}$  if  $k < 1$ . If moreover  $\sigma(\cdot)$  admits non zero limits at infinity, then  $\sigma$  is sometimes called a saturation function, for instance  $\arctan(s)$  or  $\tanh(s)$  and, in this case, the function  $h$  is equal to a positive constant. The definition of damping function is (essentially) known for saturation functions (cf. [19], [33]), especially the key inequality (38), in which case the admissible function  $h$  is simply constant.*

**Remark 9.** *The behavior of a damping function at infinity is rather general but the behavior at zero is linear. In the case of a real valued damping function, the results of this paper can be easily generalized to the case where*

$$0 < \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(z)}{z} \leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(z)}{z} < \infty. \quad (107)$$

*The only modification occurs in (38), where the constant  $C_1$  must be replaced by a positive function  $C_1(\cdot)$  bounded below and above by two positive constants.*

## 7.2 Asymptotic behavior characterization

We claim that, once a trajectory enters the unit ball, then it converges exponentially to the origin. Indeed, let  $t^*$  the time such that:

$$|W_\sigma(t^*)z_0| = 1, \quad (108)$$

where  $t^* = 0$  if  $|z_0| \leq 1$ . Since  $(W_\sigma(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions, one has

$$|W_\sigma(t)z_0| \leq |W_\sigma(t^*)z_0| \leq 1, \quad t \geq t^*. \quad (109)$$

Note that, for all  $t \geq t^*$ , one has  $|W_\sigma(t)z_0|^3 \leq |W_\sigma(t)z_0|^2$ . Therefore, since  $h$  is increasing, (106) reduces in the unit ball to

$$\lambda_{\min}(P)|z|^2 \tilde{V}(z) \leq (|P| + Mh(|B|))|z|^2 \quad (110)$$

and (104)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{V}(z) \leq -C_V \tilde{V}(z), \quad \forall t \geq t^*,$$

where  $C_V := \frac{1}{|P| + Mh(|B|)}$ . Then, one gets easily the claim.

Hence, it remains to characterize the behavior of trajectories of (103) before they enter the unit ball. The function  $X \mapsto K(X) + \lambda_{\min}(P)X$  is strictly increasing and

hence defines a bijection from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . It has a strictly increasing inverse function, that we call  $g$ . Then, along any trajectory of (103),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{V}(z) \leq -g(\tilde{V}(z)). \quad (111)$$

From here, one can characterize the asymptotic behavior of (103): there exist two positive constants  $C_3, C_4$  such that, for  $|z_0|$  large enough,

$$|z(t)| \leq C_3\sqrt{(g \circ G)(C_4|z_0| - t)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, C_3|z_0| - 1], \quad (112)$$

where  $G$  is the function defined by

$$G(|z|) := \int_1^{|z|} \frac{dv}{g(v)}.$$

For instance, if the nonlinear damping function  $\sigma$  is given by any saturation function satisfying (41), then  $h$  is the identity, and we have

$$|z(t)| \leq C_3(C_4|z_0| - t), \quad \forall t \in [0, C_4|z_0| - 1],$$

which is a linear decay of the trajectories with large initial conditions. It is actually optimal since one can prove a converse inequality as follows. Since  $A$  is dissipative, one has that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|z|^2 = 2z^\top \frac{d}{dt}z = -2z^\top B\sigma(B^\top z) \geq -2C_\sigma|B||z|,$$

where  $C_\sigma$  is a constant bounding  $\sigma$ . Therefore, for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt}|z| \geq -2C_\sigma|B|. \quad (113)$$

This implies that

$$|z| \geq -2C_\sigma|B|t - |z_0|. \quad (114)$$

Hence, for a suitable positive constant  $C_5$  and  $C_6$  depending on  $C_3, C_4, C_\sigma$  and  $|B|$  and for a sufficiently large initial condition, one therefore has

$$|z| = C_5(C_6|z_0| - t), \forall t \in [0, C_6|z_0| - 1]. \quad (115)$$

## References

- [1] F. Alabau. Stabilisation frontiere indirecte de systemes faiblement couplés. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics*, 328(11):1015–1020, 1999.
- [2] F. Alabau-Boussouira. Indirect boundary stabilization of weakly coupled hyperbolic systems. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 41(2):511–541, 2002.
- [3] F. Alabau-Boussouira. On some recent advances on stabilization for hyperbolic equations. In *Control of partial differential equations*, pages 1–100. Springer, 2012.
- [4] N. Anantharaman and M. Léautaud. Sharp polynomial decay rates for the damped wave equation on the torus. *Analysis & PDE*, 7(1):159–214, 2014.

- [5] C. Besse, R. Carles, and S. Ervedoza. A conservation law with spatially localized sublinear damping. *submitted*.
- [6] H. Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [7] E. Cerpa. Control of a Korteweg-de Vries equation: a tutorial. *Mathematical Control and Related Fields*, 4(1):45–99, 2014.
- [8] R. Curtain and H. Zwart. Stabilization of collocated systems by nonlinear boundary control. *Systems & control letters*, 96:11–14, 2016.
- [9] R.F. Curtain, H. Logemann, and O. Staffans. Absolute-stability results in infinite dimensions. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, volume 460, pages 2171–2196. The Royal Society, 2004.
- [10] J. Daafouz, M. Tucsnak, and J. Valein. Nonlinear control of a coupled pde/ode system modeling a switched power converter with a transmission line. *Systems & Control Letters*, 70:92–99, 2014.
- [11] C.M. Dafermos. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of evolution equations. In *Nonlinear evolution equations*, pages 103–123. Elsevier, 1978.
- [12] R. Datko. Extending a theorem of A. M. Liapunov to Hilbert space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 32(3):610 – 616, 1970.
- [13] F.M. Hante and M. Sigalotti. Converse Lyapunov theorems for switched systems in Banach and Hilbert spaces. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 49(2):752–770, 2011.
- [14] A. Haraux. Stabilization of trajectories for some weakly damped hyperbolic equations. *Journal of differential equations*, 59(2):145–154, 1985.
- [15] B. Jayawardhana, H. Logemann, and E.P. Ryan. Infinite-dimensional feedback systems: the circle criterion and input-to-state stability. *Communications in Information & Systems*, 8(4):413–444, 2008.
- [16] W. Kang and E. Fridman. Boundary control of delayed ode–heat cascade under actuator saturation. *Automatica*, 83:252–261, 2017.
- [17] W. Kang and E. Fridman. Boundary constrained control of delayed nonlinear Schrodinger equation. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2018.
- [18] I. Lasiecka and T. I. Seidman. Strong stability of elastic control systems with dissipative saturating feedback. *Systems & Control Letters*, 48:243–252, 2003.
- [19] W. Liu, Y. Chitour, and E. Sontag. On finite-gain stabilizability of linear systems subject to input saturation. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 34(4):1190–1219, 1996.
- [20] Z. Liu and B. Rao. Characterization of polynomial decay rate for the solution of linear evolution equation. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*, 56(4):630–644, 2005.
- [21] P. Martinez and J. Vancostenoble. Exponential stability for the wave equation with weak nonmonotone damping. *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 57(3):285–310, 2000.

- [22] S. Marx, V. Andrieu, and C. Prieur. Cone-bounded feedback laws for  $m$ -dissipative operators on Hilbert spaces. *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems*, to appear, 2017.
- [23] S. Marx, E. Cerpa, C. Prieur, and V. Andrieu. Global stabilization of a Korteweg-de Vries equation with a saturating distributed control. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 55(3):1452–1480, 2017.
- [24] S. Marx, E. Cerpa, C. Prieur, and V. Andrieu. Stabilization of a linear Korteweg-de Vries with a saturated internal control. In *Proceedings of the European Control Conference*, pages 867–872, Linz, AU, July 2015.
- [25] S. Marx, Y. Chitour, and C. Prieur. Stability results for infinite-dimensional linear control systems subject to saturations. In *European Control Conference*, Limassol, Cyprus, 2018. To appear.
- [26] I. Miyadera. *Nonlinear semigroups*. Translations of mathematical monographs, 1992.
- [27] C. Prieur, S. Tarbouriech, and J. M. Gomes da Silva Jr. Wave equation with cone-bounded control laws. *IEEE Trans. on Automat. Control*, 61(11):3452–3463, 2016.
- [28] L. Rosier and B.-Y. Zhang. Global stabilization of the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation posed on a finite domain. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 45(3):927–956, 2006.
- [29] D. L. Russell. Decay rates for weakly damped systems in Hilbert space obtained with control-theoretic methods. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 19(2):344–370, 1975.
- [30] T. I Seidman and H. Li. A note on stabilization with saturating feedback. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 7(2):319–328, 2001.
- [31] R.E. Showalter. *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 1997.
- [32] M. Slemrod. Feedback stabilization of a linear control system in Hilbert space with an a priori bounded control. *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems*, 2(3):847–857, 1989.
- [33] H.J. Sussmann, Eduardo Sontag, and Y. Yang. A general result on the stabilization of linear systems using bounded controls. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 39(12), 1994.
- [34] M. Tucsnak and G. Weiss. *Observation and control for operator semigroups*. Springer, 2009.
- [35] E. Zuazua. Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with locally distributed damping. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 15(2):205–235, 1990.