



NONNEGATIVE FORMS WITH SUBLEVEL SETS OF MINIMAL VOLUME

Khazhgali Kozhasov, Jean-Bernard Lasserre

► To cite this version:

Khazhgali Kozhasov, Jean-Bernard Lasserre. NONNEGATIVE FORMS WITH SUBLEVEL SETS OF MINIMAL VOLUME. 2019. hal-02294984v1

HAL Id: hal-02294984

<https://laas.hal.science/hal-02294984v1>

Preprint submitted on 23 Sep 2019 (v1), last revised 24 Jul 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NONNEGATIVE FORMS WITH SUBLEVEL SETS OF MINIMAL VOLUME

KHAZHGALI KOZHASOV AND JEAN BERNARD LASSERRE

Abstract. We show that the Euclidean ball has the smallest volume among sublevel sets of nonnegative forms of bounded Bombieri norm as well as among sublevel sets of sum of squares forms whose Gram matrix has bounded Frobenius or nuclear (or, more generally, p -Schatten) norm. These volume-minimizing properties of the Euclidean ball with respect to its representation (as a sublevel set of a form of fixed even degree) complement its numerous intrinsic geometric properties. We also provide a probabilistic interpretation of the results.

INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the unit Euclidean ball $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq 1\}$ has numerous (intrinsic) geometric properties. For example, B_n has the smallest surface area among all domains in \mathbb{R}^n of a given volume or, equivalently, it has the largest volume among all domains of a given surface area. Hilbert and Cohn-Vossen [HCV52] even describe eleven geometric properties of the Euclidean sphere $\partial B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 1\}$. In [Las16] it was shown that B_n exhibits some interesting extremal properties relative to its representation as a sublevel set of a nonnegative form.

More generally, in [Las16] the author was interested in properties of n -variate forms f of a given degree whose sublevel set $\{f \leq 1\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq 1\}$ has fixed Lebesgue volume. For instance, it was proved that the form $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto f^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2d}$ minimizes the sparsity-inducing ℓ_1 -norm of coefficients among all n -variate forms of degree $2d$ whose sublevel set has the same Lebesgue volume as $\{f^* \leq 1\}$, the unit L^{2d} -ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Equivalently, by homogeneity, f^* minimizes $\text{vol}\{f \leq 1\}$, the Lebesgue volume of the sublevel set, among all n -variate forms f of even degree $2d$ with bounded ℓ_1 -norm.

Similarly, it was proved that the form $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto b_{2d,n}(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^d$, whose sublevel set $\{b_{2d,n} \leq 1\} = B_n$ is the unit Euclidean ball, minimizes $\text{vol}\{f \leq 1\}$ among all n -variate forms f of degree $2d$ with bounded Bombieri norm when $d = 2, 4, 6$ and 8 . In addition,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49Q10, 65K10, 90C25, 26B15, 28A75, 97G30.

Key words and phrases. Nonnegative homogeneous polynomials, sublevel sets, Lebesgue volume, orthogonally invariant norms, extremal properties.

Research of the second author was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement ERC-ADG 666981 TAMING).

for some values of d , the form $b_{2d,n}$ also minimizes $\text{vol}\{f \leq 1\}$ among all n -variate sum of squares forms f of degree $2d$ whose Gram matrix has bounded trace.

Hence, the abovementioned results from [Las16] suggest that the Euclidean ball has volume-minimizing properties with regard to its representation as the sublevel set of a form of fixed even degree d , when considering nonnegative forms of degree d with bounded Bombieri norm or sum of squares forms of degree d with Gram matrix of bounded trace.

Contribution. This paper shows that indeed these results for the unit Euclidean ball B_n are true for all even degrees d and not only for the special cases considered in [Las16]. In fact we prove a more general result. The unit Euclidean ball B_n minimizes $\text{vol}\{f \leq 1\}$:

- over *all* nonnegative n -variate forms f of fixed (arbitrary) even degree d with bounded norm, when the norm is invariant under orthogonal changes of variables, which includes *Bombieri norm* as important special case;

- over *all* sum of squares n -variate forms f of fixed (arbitrary) even degree d , whose Gram matrix has bounded norm, when the norm is invariant under conjugation by orthogonal matrices. This includes *Schatten p -norms* and, in particular, *nuclear* and *Frobenius* norms.

These new volume-minimizing properties of the Euclidean ball are attached to its representation as a sublevel set of a form and complement its intrinsic geometric properties.

Our results admit a probabilistic interpretation. The Gaussian-like probability measure with density $x \mapsto \exp(-\kappa |x|^d)$ minimizes an $O(n)$ -invariant norm $\|f\|$ over all probability measures with density $x \mapsto \exp(-f(x))$, where f is a nonnegative form of degree d .

1. MAIN RESULTS

In the following we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ the space of n -ary real forms (real homogeneous polynomials) of degree d . For any form $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ let $\{f \leq 1\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq 1\}$ be its sublevel set at level one and let $v(f)$ denote the Lebesgue volume of $\{f \leq 1\}$,

$$(1.1) \quad v(f) = \text{vol}\{f \leq 1\}.$$

If for $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ the volume $v(f)$ of the sublevel set is finite, then f is necessarily *nonnegative*, that is, $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, the degree d must be even which we implicitly assume in the sequel.

The *volume function* $v : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower-semicontinuous and homogeneous of degree $-n/d$. Moreover, forms $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ with finite $v(f)$ constitute a convex subcone $\mathcal{V}_{d,n}$ of the cone of nonnegative forms in $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ ¹ and the restriction $v|_{\mathcal{V}_{d,n}} : \mathcal{V}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is strictly convex. We refer to [Las16, Thm. 2.2] for these results.

Let $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any norm and consider the following convex optimization problem

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|} : \quad \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|} = \inf\{v(f) : \|f\| \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}\}.$$

Remark 1.1. Note that $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ is the problem of minimization of the volume of the sublevel set $\{f \leq 1\}$ of a form $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ over the unit ball in $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ defined by the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

¹Note that $\mathcal{V}_{d,n}$ does not contain the origin.

Consider the following standard action of the group $O(n) = \{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \rho \rho^t = \text{id}\}$ of orthogonal transformations on forms $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$:

$$(1.3) \quad \rho \in O(n), f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \mapsto \rho^* f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}, \quad \rho^* f(x) = f(\rho^{-1}x).$$

A norm $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $O(n)$ -invariant if $\|\rho^* f\| = \|f\|$ for all $\rho \in O(n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$.

In the following theorem we show that $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ has a unique optimal solution and we find it explicitly in the case of an $O(n)$ -invariant norm.

Theorem 1.2. *Let d be even and $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a norm. Then*

- *the convex optimization problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ has a unique optimal solution $f^* \in \mathcal{V}_{d,n}$.*
- *If the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $O(n)$ -invariant, then $f^* = b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|$, where $b_{d,n}(x) = |x|^d = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{d/2}$, and $\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|} = \|b_{d,n}\|^{n/d} v(b_{d,n})$.*

The sublevel set of $b_{d,n}$ is the unit Euclidean ball $B_n = \{|x| \leq 1\}$, it does not depend on d and its volume equals

$$(1.4) \quad v(b_{d,n}) = \text{vol}(B_n) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}.$$

Remark 1.3. *Theorem 1.2 implies that the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius $\|b_{d,n}\|^{1/d}$ has smallest volume among sublevel sets of forms in the unit ball $\{f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n} : \|f\| \leq 1\}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ defined by an $O(n)$ -invariant norm.*

Now we compute the optimal value of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ for some relevant $O(n)$ -invariant norms, in view of Theorem 1.2 and (1.4) this task reduces to computing $\|b_{d,n}\|$.

1.1. Bombieri norm. Recall first that any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ can be written in *the basis of rescaled monomials*,

$$(1.5) \quad f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} f_\alpha \sqrt{\binom{d}{\alpha}} x^\alpha, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\binom{d}{\alpha} = \frac{d!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!}$ is the multinomial coefficient. *The Bombieri norm of f is defined as*

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_B^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} f_\alpha^2.$$

Under different names this norm appears in real algebraic geometry [Rez92], in perturbation theory of roots of univariate polynomials [TBS17], in the truncated moment problem [Sch17], in the study of random polynomials [SS93, FLL15], in the theory of symmetric tensor decompositions [BCMT10] and in many others branches of mathematics. It is well-known that Bombieri norm is $O(n)$ -invariant (see, e.g., [AKU19, Sec. 2.1]).

Corollary 1.4 (Bombieri norm). *For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ with $\|f\|_B \leq 1$*

$$(1.7) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|b_{d,n}\|_B}\right) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{d/2-1} \frac{2i+n}{2i+1}\right)^{n/2d} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|_B$.

The second author of the present paper conjectured in [Las16, p. 249] the result of Corollary 1.4 and proved it for any n and $d = 2, 4, 6$ and 8 .

1.2. L^p -norms on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . The following class of norms plays a fundamental role in the study of boundary value problems for partial differential equations (see, for example, [Agm59]). Let $p \geq 1$ and define L^p -norm on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ as

$$(1.8) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)|^p d\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right)^{1/p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n},$$

where $d\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is the Riemannian volume density on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . The integral in (1.8) is convergent for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$, $p \geq 1$, are obviously $O(n)$ -invariant.

Corollary 1.5 (L^p -norm on \mathbb{S}^{n-1}). *For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ with $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq 1$*

$$(1.9) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|b_{d,n}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}}\right) = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}\right)^{n/dp} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$.

1.3. Uniform norm on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . As the limiting case of $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ -norms when $p \rightarrow +\infty$ one obtains the uniform norm on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} ,

$$(1.10) \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)|.$$

Corollary 1.6 (Uniform norm on \mathbb{S}^{n-1}). *For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ with $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq 1$*

$$(1.11) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|b_{d,n}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}$.

Note that (1.11) can be considered as the limiting case of (1.9) when $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

1.4. Nuclear norm. Nuclear norm appears in the study of tensor decompositions [FL16] and in the theory of rank-one approximations of tensors [LNSU18, AKU19]. For $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ it is defined as

$$(1.12) \quad \|f\|_* = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^r |\lambda_k| : f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k (y^k \cdot x)^d, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}, y^k \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right\},$$

where $(y \cdot x) = y_1 x_1 + \cdots + y_n x_n$ denotes the dot product of two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 1.7 (Nuclear norm). *For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ with $\|f\|_* \leq 1$*

$$(1.13) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|b_{d,n}\|_*}\right) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{d/2-1} \frac{2i+n}{2i+1}\right)^{n/d} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|_*$.

A form $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ of even degree d is called a *sum of squares* if $f = s_1^2 + \dots + s_r^2$ for some forms $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathcal{P}_{d/2,n}$ of degree $d/2$. Any sum of squares form is non-negative. Fix a total order \leq on the set $\left\{ \sqrt{\binom{d/2}{\alpha}} x^\alpha : |\alpha| = d/2 \right\}$ of rescaled monomials of degree $d/2$ (e.g., the lexicographic order) and denote by $N = \binom{d/2+n-1}{n-1}$ the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{d/2,n}$. Then, $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ is a sum of squares if and only if there exists a positive semidefinite real symmetric matrix $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$, called *Gram matrix*, satisfying

$$(1.14) \quad f(x) = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $m_{d/2}(x)$ denotes the N -dimensional column-vector of rescaled monomials $\sqrt{\binom{d/2}{\alpha}} x^\alpha$, $|\alpha| = d/2$, ordered with respect to \leq (see [CLR95, §2] and Lemma 2.2). Note that the cone of sums of squares in $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ is the image of the closed convex cone $\mathcal{PSD}_N \subset \mathcal{S}_N$ of positive semidefinite matrices under linear map (1.14).

Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the space \mathcal{S}_N of real symmetric $N \times N$ matrices and consider the following optimization problem:

$$(1.15) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}} : \quad \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}} = \inf\{v(f) : f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x), G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N, \|G\| \leq 1\}.$$

Remark 1.8. Note that $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ is the problem of minimization of the volume of the sublevel set $\{f \leq 1\}$ of a sum of squares $f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x)$ with Gram matrix G from the unit ball in \mathcal{S}_N defined by the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

A norm $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{S}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $O(N)$ -invariant if $\|R^t G R\| = \|G\|$ for all $R \in O(N)$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$. We prove that problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ has a unique optimal solution, which, in the case of an $O(N)$ -invariant norm, is proportional to $b_{d,n}$.

Theorem 1.9. Let d be even and $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{S}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a norm. Then

- $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ is a convex optimization problem with a unique optimal solution f_{sos}^* .
- If norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $O(N)$ -invariant, then $f_{\text{sos}}^* = b_{d,n}/\|\text{id}_N\|$, where $\text{id}_N \in \mathcal{S}_N$ is the identity matrix, and $\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}} = \|\text{id}_N\|^{n/d} v(b_{d,n})$.

Remark 1.10. Theorem 1.9 implies that the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius $\|\text{id}_N\|^{1/d}$ has smallest volume among sublevel sets of sums of squares corresponding to Gram matrices from the unit ball $\{G \in \mathcal{S}_N : \|G\| \leq 1\}$ in \mathcal{S}_N defined by an $O(N)$ -invariant norm.

1.5. Schatten p -norms. Given a real symmetric matrix $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$ its Schatten p -norm, $p \geq 1$, is defined by

$$(1.16) \quad \|G\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_i(G)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\lambda_1(G), \dots, \lambda_N(G) \in \mathbb{R}$ are the eigenvalues of G . When $p = 1$ this norm is also known as *nuclear norm* and if $p = 2$ we recover *Frobenius norm* which is classically used in the context of low-rank approximation of matrices [EY36]. Since eigenvalues do not change under conjugation by orthogonal matrices, all Schatten p -norms are $O(N)$ -invariant.

We next compute the optimal value of problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ for Schatten p -norms. Again, as in the above case of general nonnegative forms, by Theorem 1.9 this task reduces to computing the norm of $\text{id}_N \in \mathcal{S}_N$.

Corollary 1.11 (Schatten p -norms). *Let $p \geq 1$. Then for any sum of squares form $f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$, $G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$, with $\|G\|_p \leq 1$*

$$(1.17) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|\text{id}_N\|_p}\right) = N^{n/dp} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}/N^{1/p}$.

Remark 1.12. In [Las16] the second author of the present paper considered an analogous problem to $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|_2}^{\text{sos}}$, where $m_{d/2}(x)$ is replaced by the vector of monomials x^α , $|\alpha| = d/2$, (without coefficients $\sqrt{\binom{d/2}{\alpha}}$), and proved that $b_{d,n}$ is (up to a multiple) a unique optimal solution when $d = 2, 4$ and when $d \in 4\mathbb{N}$ provided that n is large [Las16, Thm. 5.1].

Corollary 1.11 immediately follows from Theorem 1.9, definition of Schatten p -norms (1.16) and formula (1.4) for the volume of the sublevel set of $b_{d,n}$.

1.6. Spectral norm. The *Spectral norm* of $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$ defined by

$$(1.18) \quad \|G\|_\sigma = \max_{i=1,\dots,N} |\lambda_i(G)|$$

can be considered as the limit of Schatten p -norms (1.16) as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Corollary 1.13 (Spectral norm). *For any sum of squares $f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$, $G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$, with $\|G\|_\sigma \leq 1$*

$$(1.19) \quad v\left(\frac{b_{d,n}}{\|\text{id}_N\|_\sigma}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)} \leq v(f)$$

and equality holds if and only if $f = b_{d,n}$.

1.7. Probabilistic interpretation of results. If for $f \in \mathcal{V}_{d,n}$ the sublevel set $\{f \leq 1\}$ has finite Lebesgue volume, then by [Las15, Thm. 2.2]

$$(1.20) \quad v(f) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + n/d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-f(x)) dx,$$

see also [MS09]. When $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-f(x)) dx = 1$ the function $x \mapsto \exp(-f(x))$ is the density of a probability measure μ_f on \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, if $f^*(x) = \kappa |x|^d$ with

$$(1.21) \quad \kappa = \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + n/d)}{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}\right)^{d/n} \pi^{d/2},$$

then μ_{f^*} is a Gaussian-like probability measure in the sense that all of its moments are easily obtained from those of a Gaussian measure, that is,

$$(1.22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \exp(-f^*(x)) dx = \frac{\Gamma(1 + (n + |\alpha|)/d)}{\Gamma(1 + (n + |\alpha|)/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \exp(-\kappa^{2/d} |x|^2) dx, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

By (1.20) and homogeneity, f is the unique optimal solution of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ if and only if $(\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|} \Gamma(1 + n/d))^{d/n} f$ is the unique optimal solution of the convex optimization problem

$$(1.23) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^* : \quad \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^* = \inf \left\{ \|f\| : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-f(x)) dx \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \right\}.$$

In light of this fact Theorem 1.2 can be equivalently stated as follows.

Theorem 1.14. *Let d be even and $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a norm. Then*

- *the convex optimization problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^*$ has a unique optimal solution $f^* \in \mathcal{V}_{d,n}$.*
- *If the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $O(n)$ -invariant, then $f^* = \kappa b_{d,n}$, where $b_{d,n}(x) = |x|^d$, κ is as in (1.21), and $\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^* = \kappa \|b_{d,n}\|$.*

Remark 1.15. *If $\|\cdot\|$ is $O(n)$ -invariant, then Theorem 1.14 implies that the Gaussian-like probability density $x \mapsto \exp(-\kappa |x|^d)$ minimizes $\|f\|$ over all probability measures μ_f with density $x \mapsto \exp(-f(x))$, where $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ is a nonnegative form of degree d .*

2. PRELIMINARIES AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we give necessary definitions and prove some auxiliary results that are needed in Section 3.

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ denotes the space of n -ary real forms (or homogeneous polynomials) of degree d . Recall also that the group $O(n) = \{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \rho \rho^t = \text{id}\}$ of orthogonal transformations acts on $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \rho \in O(n), f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \mapsto \rho^* f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}, \quad \rho^* f(x) = f(\rho^{-1}x).$$

For even d the form

$$(2.2) \quad b_{d,n}(x) = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{d/2} = \sum_{|\beta|=d/2} \binom{d/2}{\beta} x_1^{2\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{2\beta_n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is obviously invariant with respect to (2.1). The following easy lemma asserts that $b_{d,n}$ is essentially the only invariant form.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ be a non-zero form invariant under $O(n)$ -action (1.3). Then d is even and f is proportional to $b_{d,n}$.*

Proof. $O(n)$ -invariance of f implies $f(x) = c$ whenever $|x| = 1$, for some constant c . If the degree d is odd, we have $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and hence $f = 0$. Thus d must be even and by homogeneity of f

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) = f\left(|x| \frac{x}{|x|}\right) = c|x|^d = c b_{d,n}(x) \quad \text{for any } x \neq 0.$$

□

For two real forms $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ define their *Bombieri product* as

$$(2.4) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_B = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} f_\alpha g_\alpha,$$

where $\{f_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=d}$ and $\{g_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=d}$ are the coefficients of f and g in the basis of rescaled monomials (1.5). Equivalently, denoting by $f(\partial)$ the differential operator obtained from f by replacing variable x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, with partial derivative $\partial/\partial x_i$, one can show that

$$(2.5) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_B = \frac{1}{d!} f(\partial) g(x).$$

From this, taking Bombieri product with a power of a linear form $x \mapsto f(x) = (y \cdot x)^d$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, amounts to evaluation at y , that is,

$$(2.6) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_B = g(y).$$

Recall that a form $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ of even degree d is called a *sum of squares* if $f = s_1^2 + \dots + s_r^2$ for some $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathcal{P}_{d/2,n}$. The following characterization of sums of squares is well-known; we state it here as our version concerns rescaled monomials, cf. [CLR95, §2].

Lemma 2.2. *A form $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ is a sum of squares if and only if there exists a positive semidefinite real symmetric matrix $G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad f(x) = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $N = \dim \mathcal{P}_{d/2,n} = \binom{d/2+n-1}{n-1}$ and $m_{d/2}(x)$ is the column-vector of rescaled monomials $\sqrt{\binom{d/2}{\alpha}} x^\alpha$, $|\alpha| = d/2$, ordered with respect to a fixed order \leq .

Proof. If $f = s_1^2 + \dots + s_r^2$, then $f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x)$, where $G = \sum_{i=1}^r \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_i^t \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$ and \vec{s}_i denotes the column-vector of coefficients of form s_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$, in the basis of rescaled monomials ordered with respect to \leq . Conversely, if (2.7) holds for some positive semidefinite matrix $G = G^{1/2} G^{1/2} \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$, then $f = s_1^2 + \dots + s_N^2$, where $s_1, \dots, s_N \in \mathcal{P}_{d/2,n}$ are the entries of the N -dimensional vector of forms $G^{1/2} m_{d/2}(x)$. \square

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove our main results, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of the fact that $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ has a unique optimal solution is analogous to the one of [Las16, Thm. 3.2]; we give it here for the sake of completeness.

Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence of the optimization problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$, i.e., $\|f_k\| \leq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v(f_k) = \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}$. By compactness of the unit ball of norm $\|\cdot\|$ there is a subsequence $\{f_{k_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $f^* \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_{k_m} - f^*\| = 0$ and $\|f^*\| \leq 1$, meaning that f^* is feasible. By [Las16, Lemma 2.3], the function $v : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower-semicontinuous. This implies

$$(3.1) \quad \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|} = \liminf_{m \rightarrow +\infty} v(f_{k_m}) \geq v(f^*),$$

that is, f^* is an optimal solution of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$.

Now, by [Las16, Thm. 2.2], the function v is strictly convex. Thus, if $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ had two different optimal solutions f_1^* and f_2^* , then for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we would have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\alpha f_1^* + (1 - \alpha) f_2^*\| &\leq \alpha \|f_1^*\| + (1 - \alpha) \|f_2^*\| \leq 1, \\ v(\alpha f_1^* + (1 - \alpha) f_2^*) &< \alpha v(f_1^*) + (1 - \alpha) v(f_2^*) = \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus an optimal solution of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ is unique. Moreover, homogeneity of $\|\cdot\|$ and v implies that the unique optimal solution f^* of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ must satisfy $\|f^*\| = 1$.

Let us now consider the case of an $O(n)$ -invariant norm. Observe first that the volume function v is $O(n)$ -invariant, that is, $v(\rho^* f) = v(f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ and $\rho \in O(n)$. Indeed, this follows directly from the definition of $v(f)$ and invariance of Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We claim that the optimal solution f^* of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ is $O(n)$ -invariant. If not there exists $\rho \in O(n)$ such that $\rho^* f^* \neq f^*$. Then in view of $O(n)$ -invariance of v and $\|\cdot\|$, f^* and $\rho^* f^*$ are two different optimal solutions of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$, which is impossible by the above. Lemma 2.1 implies that f^* is proportional to $b_{d,n}$ and since $\|f^*\| = 1$ we must have $f^* = b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|$. As v is homogeneous of degree $-n/d$, we obtain $\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|} = v(b_{d,n}/\|b_{d,n}\|) = \|b_{d,n}\|^{n/d} v(b_{d,n})$. \square

If $\|\cdot\|$ is a particular norm then by Theorem 1.2, computing the optimal value of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}$ reduces to computing $\|b_{d,n}\|$. We next evaluate $\|b_{d,n}\|$ for Bombieri norm, $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ -norm, uniform norm on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , nuclear norm, and thus prove Corollaries 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By [Rez92, (8.19)] we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|b_{d,n}\|_B = \sqrt{\prod_{i=0}^{d/2-1} \frac{2i+n}{2i+1}}.$$

Combining this formula with (1.4) yields (1.7). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. One has

$$(3.4) \quad \|b_{d,n}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |b_{d,n}(x)|^p d\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(x) \right)^{1/p} = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{1/p} = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \right)^{1/p},$$

which together with Theorem 1.2 and (1.4) yields (1.9). \square

Corollary 1.6 follows from Theorem 1.2, (1.4) and $\|b_{d,n}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |x|^d = 1$.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. From a result of Hilbert [Hil09] it follows that there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r > 0$ and $y^1, \dots, y^r \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad b_{d,n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k (y^k \cdot x)^d$$

and thus, invoking [Nie17, Example 1.1], we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|b_{d,n}\|_* = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k.$$

On the other hand, by (2.6) and (3.5),

$$(3.7) \quad \|b_{d,n}\|_* = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k \langle (y^k \cdot \bullet)^d, b_{d,n} \rangle_B = \langle b_{d,n}, b_{d,n} \rangle_B = \|b_{d,n}\|_B^2,$$

and (1.7) follows from (3.3) and (1.4). \square

We now prove Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us observe first that convexity of the feasible set

$$(3.8) \quad \{f \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n} : f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x), G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N, \|G\| \leq 1\}$$

of optimization problem $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ follows directly from convexity of the cone \mathcal{PSD}_N of positive semidefinite matrices and convexity of norm $\|\cdot\|$. This fact combined with convexity of the function v (see [Las16, Thm. 2.2]) implies that $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ is a convex optimization problem.

Let $\{f_k = m_{d/2}(x)^t G_k m_{d/2}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$, i.e., $G_k \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$, $\|G_k\| \leq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v(f_k) = \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$. Since $\{G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N : \|G\| \leq 1\}$ is compact, there is a subsequence $\{G_{k_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a matrix $G^* \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$, $\|G^*\| \leq 1$, such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|G_{k_m} - G^*\| = 0$. In particular, the sum of squares form $f^* = m_{d/2}(x)^t G^* m_{d/2}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ is feasible for $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ and coefficients of f_{k_m} converge to coefficients of f^* , as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Next, since the function $v : \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower-semicontinuous [Las16, Lemma 2.3] we have

$$(3.9) \quad \text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}} = \liminf_{m \rightarrow +\infty} v(f_{k_m}) \geq v(f^*),$$

that is, f^* is an optimal solution of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$. Exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, strict convexity of v implies that f^* is a unique optimal solution of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$. Also, from homogeneity of v and $\|\cdot\|$ we obtain $\|G^*\| = 1$.

Let now $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{S}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $O(N)$ -invariant norm. If $f = m_{d/2}(x)^t G m_{d/2}(x)$ is feasible for $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$, i.e., $G \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$ and $\|G\| \leq 1$, then so is $\rho^* f$ for any $\rho \in O(n)$. Indeed, since Bombieri product (2.4) is invariant under $O(n)$ -action (1.3) and since the rescaled monomials $\sqrt{\binom{d/2}{\alpha}} x^\alpha$, $|\alpha| = d/2$, form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{P}_{d/2,n}$ with respect to Bombieri product, for any $\rho \in O(n)$ there exists $R = R(\rho) \in O(N)$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \rho^* f = m_{d/2}(x)^t R^t G R m_{d/2}(x).$$

Hence, by $O(N)$ -invariance of \mathcal{PSD}_N and $\|\cdot\|$, we have $R^t G R \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$ and $\|R^t G R\| = \|G\| \leq 1$ or, in other words, $\rho^* f$ is feasible for $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$. Therefore the unique optimal solution f^* of $\mathbf{P}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}}$ must be $O(n)$ -invariant, that is, $\rho^* f^* = f^*$ for all $\rho \in O(n)$.

Next, by Lemma 2.1, f^* is proportional to $b_{d,n}$. From (2.2) we have that $b_{d,n} = m_{d/2}(x)^t m_{d/2}(x)$, namely the identity matrix $\text{id}_N \in \mathcal{PSD}_N$ is a Gram matrix of $b_{d,n}$. Therefore $f^* = b_{d,n} / \|\text{id}_N\|$ as its Gram matrix satisfies $\|\text{id}_N / \|\text{id}_N\|\| = 1$. Also, $\text{opt}_{\|\cdot\|}^{\text{sos}} = v(b_{d,n} / \|\text{id}_N\|) = \|\text{id}_N\|^{n/d} v(b_{d,n})$ by homogeneity of the volume function v . \square

4. CONCLUSION

We have provided new volume-minimizing properties of the Euclidean unit ball. In contrast to its intrinsic geometric properties, they are attached to its representation as the sublevel set of a form of fixed even degree. The minimum is over all nonnegative forms of same degree with bounded norm or over sum of squares forms of same degree whose Gram matrix has bounded norm, for certain families of norms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to Jiawang Nie for helping us with the proof of Corollary 1.7.

REFERENCES

- [Agm59] S. Agmon, *The L_p approach to the Dirichlet problem. Part I: regularity theorems*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze **Ser. 3, 13** (1959), no. 4, 405–448.
- [AKU19] A. A. Agrachev, Kh. Kozhasov, and A. Uschmajew, *Chebyshev polynomials and best rank-one approximation ratio*, arXiv:1904.00488 (2019).
- [BCMT10] J. Brachat, P. Comon, B. Mourrain, and E. Tsigaridas, *Symmetric tensor decomposition*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **433** (2010), no. 11, 1851–1872.
- [CLR95] M. D. Choi, T. Y. Lam, and B. Reznick, *Sums of squares of real polynomials, K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 103–126.
- [EY36] C. Eckart and G. Young, *The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank*, Psychometrika **1** (1936), no. 3, 211–218.
- [FL16] S. Friedland and L.-H. Lim, *Nuclear norm of higher-order tensors*, Mathematics of Computation **87** (2016), 1.
- [FLL15] Ya. Fyodorov, A. Lerario, and E. Lundberg, *On the number of connected components of random algebraic hypersurfaces*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **95** (2015), 1–20.
- [HCV52] D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen, *Geometry and the imagination*, Chelsea, 1952.
- [Hil09] D. Hilbert, *Beweis für die Darstellbarkeit der ganzen Zahlen durch eine feste Anzahlter Potenzen (Waring'sches Problem)*, Mathematische Annalen **67** (1909), no. 3, 281–300.
- [Las15] J. B. Lasserre, *Level sets and non gaussian integrals of positively homogeneous functions*, Int. Game Theory Review **17** (2015), no. 1.
- [Las16] J.-B. Lasserre, *Convex optimization and parsimony of L_p -balls representation*, SIAM Journal on Optimization **26** (2016), 247–273.
- [LNSU18] Z. Li, Y. Nakatsukasa, T. Soma, and A. Uschmajew, *On orthogonal tensors and best rank-one approximation ratio*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **39** (2018), no. 1, 400–425.
- [MS09] A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, *Introduction to integral discriminants*, J. High Energy Physics **12** (2009).
- [Nie17] J. Nie, *Symmetric tensor nuclear norms*, SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geometry **1** (2017), no. 1, 599–625.
- [Rez92] B. Reznick, *Sums of even powers of real linear forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1992), no. 463.
- [Sch17] K. Schmüdgen, *The moment problem*, Springer, 2017.
- [SS93] M. Shub and S. Smale, *Complexity of Bezout's theorem. II. Volumes and probabilities*, Computational algebraic geometry (Nice, 1992), Progr. Math., vol. 109, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993, pp. 267–285.
- [TBS17] M.-L. Torrente, M. C. Beltrametti, and A. J. Sommese, *Perturbation results on the zero-locus of a polynomial*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **80** (2017), 307–328.

KHAZHGALI KOZHASOV, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BRAUNSCHWEIG, INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND ALGEBRA, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, 38106 BRAUNSCHWEIG, GERMANY

E-mail address: k.kozhasov@tu-braunschweig.de

JEAN BERNARD LASSERRE, LAAS-CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, 7 AVENUE DU COLONEL ROCHE, F-31400 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: lasserre@laas.fr