

# Conformational buffering underlies functional selection in intrinsically disordered protein regions

Nicolás González-Foutel, Juliana Glavina, Wade Borcherds, Matías Safranchik, Susana Barrera-Vilarmau, Amin Sagar, Alejandro Estaña, Amelie Barozet, Nicolás Garrone, Gregorio Fernandez-Ballester, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Nicolás González-Foutel, Juliana Glavina, Wade Borcherds, Matías Safranchik, Susana Barrera-Vilarmau, et al.. Conformational buffering underlies functional selection in intrinsically disordered protein regions. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, 2022, 29 (8), pp.781-790. 10.1038/s41594-022-00811-w. hal-03767337

# HAL Id: hal-03767337 https://laas.hal.science/hal-03767337v1

Submitted on 1 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Conformational buffering underlies functional selection in intrinsically
2	disordered protein regions
3	
4	Nicolas S. Gonzalez-Foutel <sup>1,2†</sup> , Juliana Glavina <sup>1,3†</sup> , Wade M. Borcherds <sup>4</sup> , Matías Safranchik <sup>1</sup> ,
5	Susana Barrera-Vilarmau <sup>5,6</sup> , Amin Sagar <sup>7</sup> , Alejandro Estaña <sup>7,8</sup> , Amelie Barozet <sup>8</sup> , Nicolás A.
6	Garrone <sup>1</sup> , Gregorio Fernandez-Ballester <sup>9</sup> , Clara Blanes-Mira <sup>9</sup> , Ignacio F. Sánchez <sup>3</sup> , Gonzalo de
-	
1	Prat-Gay <sup>2</sup> , Juan Cortesº, Pau Bernado', Rohit V. Pappu <sup>5</sup> , Alex S. Holehouse <sup>5,10</sup>
8	Gary W. Daughdrill <sup>4*</sup> and Lucía B. Chemes <sup>1,2*</sup>
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas (IIBiO-CONICET), Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Av. 25 de
11	Mayo y Francia, CP1650 Buenos Aires, Argentina
12	<sup>2</sup> Fundación Instituto Leloir e Instituto de Investigaciones Bioquímicas (IIB-CONICET), Av. Patricias Argentinas 435,
13	CP1405 Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina
14	<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Química Biológica de la Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales (IQUIBICEN-CONICET), Universidad
15	de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria CP1428 Buenos Aires Argentina
16	<sup>4</sup> Department of Cell Biology, Microbiology, and Molecular Biology and, University of South Florida. Tampa, Florida
17	<sup>5</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Center for Science & Engineering of Living Systems, Washington University
18	in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA
19	<sup>6</sup> Instituto de Química Avanzada de Cataluña (IQAC-CSIC), Jordi Girona, 18-26, 08034, Barcelona, Spain
20	<sup>7</sup> Centre de Biologie Structurale (CBS), Université de Montpellier, INSERM, CNRS, 34090 Montpellier, France
21	<sup>8</sup> LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 31400 Toulouse, France
22	<sup>9</sup> Instituto de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación en Biotecnología Sanitaria de Elche (IDiBE), Universidad Miguel
23	Hernández, Elche, 03202, Alicante, Spain
24	<sup>10</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO
25	63110
26	<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work
27	* correspondence should be addressed to: <a href="mailto:lchemes@iib.unsam.edu.ar">lchemes@iib.unsam.edu.ar</a> , <a href="mailto:pappu@wustl.edu">pappu@wustl.edu</a> ,
28	alex.holehouse@wustl.edu, gdaughdrill@usf.edu
29	

#### 30 ABSTRACT

Many disordered proteins conserve essential functions in the face of extensive sequence variation, making it challenging to identify the mechanisms responsible for functional selection. Here, we identify the molecular mechanism of functional selection for the disordered adenovirus early gene 1A (E1A) protein. E1A competes with host factors to bind the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein, subverting cell cycle regulation. We show that two binding motifs tethered by a hypervariable disordered linker drive picomolar affinity Rb binding and host factor displacement. Compensatory changes in amino acid sequence composition and sequence length lead to conservation of optimal tethering across a large family of E1A linkers. We refer to this compensatory mechanism as conformational buffering. We also detect co-evolution of the motifs and linker, which can preserve or eliminate the tethering mechanism. Conformational buffering and motif-linker coevolution explain robust functional encoding within hypervariable disordered linkers and could underlie functional selection of many disordered protein regions.

**Running title:** Entropic tethering underlies viral hijack in a minimal viral model system

- 54 INTRODUCTION
- 55

Intrinsically disordered proteins and protein regions (IDRs) [<sup>1,2</sup>] use short linear motifs 56 57 (SLiMs) to bind cellular partners. These conserved interaction modules play essential roles in cell 58 biology [<sup>3</sup>]. In contrast, the regions connecting SLiMs often have lower sequence conservation 59 and a high frequency of insertions and deletions [<sup>4</sup>]. Under the classical structure-function 60 paradigm, these features indicate weak evolutionary restraints, leading to the view that these 61 IDRs might play the roles of passive "spacers", stringing together ordered domains and disordered 62 SLiMs. However, recent progress in the quantitative description of sequence-ensemble 63 relationships (SERs) in IDR conformations [5] indicates that specific features in these less conserved regions are required for function [6,7,8,9]. The fact that IDRs with different sequence 64 characteristics have conserved SERs that are responsible for function [<sup>10</sup>], suggests that SERs 65 66 are under natural selection. There is growing evidence that IDRs which function as flexible tethers that physically join ordered domains and/or disordered SLiMs fall into this category [<sup>11,12,13</sup>]. 67

Tethering is essential for kinase signaling [<sup>8,14,15</sup>], gene silencing [<sup>7</sup>], enzyme catalysis [<sup>16</sup>], 68 transcriptional regulation [<sup>13,17,18</sup>] and the formation of biomolecular condensates [<sup>9,19</sup>]. Tethering 69 allows intra- or intermolecular coupling between ordered domains and/or SLiMs [<sup>20</sup>]. This coupling 70 can increase the effective concentrations of interacting partners [<sup>21</sup>], and relatively simple polymer 71 models such as the Worm Like Chain (WLC) [22,23,24] can estimate the affinity enhancement from 72 tethering [22,25,26,12]. An emerging hypothesis is that SERs that encode IDR dimensions -as 73 determined by sequence length, composition and patterning- [27,28,29,30,5] play an important role in 74 75 tethered interactions by determining the effective concentrations of binding modules around binding partners either in *cis* or in *trans* [<sup>12,13,31,32,16,33</sup>]. This leads to the expectation that 76 77 evolutionary pressure will preserve these dimensions in spite of large-scale sequence variation.

However, the lack of a well-defined model system in which molecular function is unambiguously
 conserved in the face of a hypervariable tether has hampered the ability to test this hypothesis.

80 In order to establish a model system for quantitatively understanding tethering 81 mechanisms and their evolution, we chose the intrinsically disordered adenovirus early region 1A 82 (E1A) protein. Viruses are under constant selection pressure from a changing environment, and many viral proteins utilize protein disorder to acquire novel traits [<sup>34,35,36,37,38</sup>]. This makes them 83 84 robust as model systems to investigate functional selection of IDRs. E1A is a multifunctional signaling hub that employs multiple SLiMs [<sup>36,37,38</sup>] tethered by disordered linkers to hijack cell 85 signaling [<sup>39</sup>]. Here we test the central hypothesis that conserved SERs drive functional selection 86 87 of the disordered E1A protein. Our results demonstrate that IDRs with dramatic changes in the 88 linear sequence have a conserved tethering function. We also found evidence for compensatory 89 co-evolution between disordered tethers and SLiMs. Taken together, our findings have broad 90 implications for understanding IDR function and evolution.

91

93 MAIN TEXT

94

#### 95 Tethering enhances Rb binding and promotes E2F displacement

96 The subversion of cell cycle regulation by E1A involves essential interactions with the 97 retinoblastoma (Rb) tumor suppressor, which displaces E2F transcription factors, triggering S-98 phase entry and viral genome replication (Fig. 1a, b). To identify the molecular mechanisms 99 responsible for E2F displacement, we performed detailed structural and biophysical binding 100 studies using the central RbAB domain of Rb (hereafter referred to as Rb) and the minimal Rb 101 binding region from the adenovirus E1A protein (hereafter referred to as E1A<sub>WT</sub>). Rb contains the 102 binding sites for the E2F and LxCxE SLiMs [40,41] and E1A<sub>WT</sub> harbors the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> SLiMs [<sup>42</sup>] connected by a 71-residue disordered linker [<sup>43</sup>]. This linker contains additional SLiMs 103 104 for the CREB binding protein (CBP) TAZ2 domain [<sup>43</sup>] and the BS69 transcriptional repressor MYND domain  $[^{39}]$ , which mediate the formation of ternary complexes  $[^{44}]$  (**Fig.1b**). 105

106 To assess the affinity of E1A<sub>WT</sub> and the relative contributions of the two motifs, we also 107 tested E1A constructs comprising the individual SLiMs or fragments where the E2F (E1A<sub>AF</sub>) or 108 LxCxE (E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>) motifs were mutated to poly-alanine (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Fig. 1b) and the 109 E2F SLiM (E2F2) taken from the host transcription factor E2F2 (Fig. 1b). Isothermal titration 110 calorimetry (ITC) (Extended Data Fig. 2 and Supplementary Data Table 1) and size exclusion 111 chromatography coupled to static light scattering (SEC-SLS) experiments (Supplementary Data 112 Table 2) confirmed that all E1A constructs bound to Rb with 1:1 stoichiometry. To quantify binding 113 affinities, we performed fluorescence polarization measurements using fluoresceine 5-114 isothiocyanate (FITC)-labelled constructs (Extended Data Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data 115 **Tables 1 and 3**). While the host-derived E2F2 SLiM bound to Rb with high affinity ( $K_D = 1$  nM), 116 the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> SLiM had a  $K_D$  = 119 nM, suggesting it would be a weak competitor of E2F2 (**Fig. 1c**). Based on a previous study showing the E2F and LxCxE motifs bind simultaneously to Rb [<sup>45</sup>] we 117 118 suspected that a protein containing both SLIMs and the linker (E1A<sub>WT</sub>) would increase the binding

affinity of the individual SLiMs by enhancing local concentrations. In support of this, we found that E1A<sub>WT</sub> had picomolar binding affinity ( $K_D$  = 24 pM), conferring a 4000-fold enhancement compared to the individual E1A SLiMs and a 40-fold enhancement compared to E2F2, consistent with a role of tethering in affinity enhancement (**Fig. 1c**).

To further test the role of tethering in E2F displacement, we carried out competition assays. Synthetic peptides corresponding to the  $E1A_{LxCxE}$  and  $E1A_{E2F}$  motifs as well as the  $E1A_{\Delta L}$ mutant were unable to effectively displace E2F from Rb (**Fig. 1d**). However,  $E1A_{WT}$  was a strong competitor, disrupting the [E2F2:Rb] complex at low nanomolar concentration (**Fig. 1d**). The agreement among ITC, direct titration and competition experiments confirmed that tethering was required for high affinity Rb binding and E2F displacement (**Fig. 1e, Supplementary Data Table 1**).

130 We anticipated that tethering the two SLiMs would play a prominent role in the affinity 131 enhancement between the independent and linked SLiMs of E1A by increasing the effective 132 concentration ( $C_{eff}$ ) of the second motif once a primary interaction is established (**Fig. 1f**, Model 133 A). However, alternative mechanisms that are not mutually exclusive with tethering could also 134 contribute to the stability of the complex. The E1A linker could enhance affinity by establishing 135 additional stabilizing interactions with Rb (Fig. 1f, Model B). Alternatively, a primary interaction 136 by the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> or E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> SLiMs could induce an allosteric change in Rb that enables the 137 complementary motif to bind with higher affinity (Fig. 1f, Model C). We tested each of these 138 mechanisms using a combination of structural biophysics and thermodynamic analysis.

139

#### 140 Linker-mediated interactions do not stabilize binding to Rb

We first sought to confirm the disordered nature of  $E1A_{WT}$  using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The transverse optimized relaxation (TROSY) spectrum of <sup>15</sup>Nlabeled  $E1A_{WT}$  revealed narrow chemical shift dispersion in the <sup>1</sup>H-dimension. This is a 144 characteristic signature of disordered regions and is consistent with previous work on E1A fragments (**Fig. 2a**) [ $^{43,46,47}$ ]. Further, the  $^{13}C_{\alpha}$  secondary chemical shifts ( $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}$ ) showed minimal 145 146 deviation from random coil values obtained from disordered proteins (Fig. 2b I) and negative <sup>1</sup>H-147 <sup>15</sup>N nuclear overhauser effect (NHNOE) values observed for E1A<sub>WT</sub> indicated fast backbone 148 dynamics (Fig. 2b II). Finally, sequence analysis also predicted that E1A<sub>WT</sub> is globally disordered 149 (Fig. 2b IV). These results confirmed that the conformational ensemble of E1A<sub>WT</sub> is characterized 150 by high heterogeneity (disorder) and with fast interconversion between distinct conformations on 151 the nanosecond to picosecond timescale (flexibility).

152 Next, we used NMR spectroscopy to determine the structural basis for E1A<sub>WT</sub> binding to 153 Rb. For this, we dissected the relative contributions of the SLiMs, their flanking regions, and the 154 linker. Previous NMR work mapped binding of E1A fragments containing individual Rb binding 155 motifs [43] but did not examine the structural details of E1A bivalently tethered to Rb. The TROSY spectrum of labeled E1A<sub>WT</sub> in complex with unlabeled Rb (MW=54.6 kDa, **Supplementary Data** 156 157 Table 2) reveals a complete loss of peaks for residues corresponding to the E2F and LxCxE 158 SLiMs (L43 to Y47 and L122 to E126) (Fig. 2a, 2b III and Extended Data Fig. 4) consistent with 159 slow exchange expected from the high affinities of the untethered motifs and the tethered complex 160 [<sup>48</sup>]. The E1A<sub> $\Delta L$ </sub> and E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub> constructs retain binding of the wild type motif (**Fig. 2b III**), consistent with independent binding of each motif to Rb. Based on previous reports [49], we anticipated that 161 162 the regions flanking the canonical E1A<sub>E2F</sub> or E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs contribute stabilizing interactions to 163 the complex. In agreement with this expectation, the peaks corresponding to the flanking residues 164 (E39 to T52 and V119 to E135) disappeared upon binding, yielding near-zero I/I<sub>0</sub> ratios (Fig. 2b 165 III).

Binding experiments using fluorescence polarization and ITC confirmed the stabilizing role of the flanking regions: the affinity of the  $E1A_{LxCxE}$  motif increased incrementally upon adding the acidic stretch following the motif ( $E1A_{LxCxE-AC}$ ), and with Ser132 phosphorylation ( $E1A_{LxCxE-ACP}$ ) 169 (**Fig. 1b** and **Supplementary Data Table 1**) leading to an overall 5-fold increase in binding affinity. 170 ITC revealed different origins for thermodynamic stability in each core motif and the flanking 171 regions (**Supplementary Data Table 1**). While binding of  $E1A_{E2F}$  is entropically driven, suggesting 172 complex stabilization is dominated by the desolvation of apolar surfaces, binding of  $E1A_{LxCxE}$  is 173 enthalpically driven, likely due to the contribution from hydrogen bonds between the LxCxE motif 174 and Rb.

175 The N-terminal linker region (residues 50-85) encompassing the TAZ2 binding motif is 176 highly conserved and has a lower disorder propensity due to its hydrophobic nature (Fig. 2b IV-177 V). This region showed a decrease in peak intensities (Fig. 2b III) that a previous report suggested was due to weak interactions with Rb [<sup>43</sup>]. Consistent with this observation, the N-terminal linker 178 179 region does not show increased chemical shift dispersion or large chemical shift changes upon 180 binding Rb (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 4a-d). The C-terminal linker region (residues 86-181 120) shows no changes in chemical shifts or resonance intensities, indicating this region remains 182 globally disordered and flexible when bound to Rb. These interpretations are supported by the 183 lack of change in secondary structure upon binding Rb, as measured by circular dichroism (CD) 184 (Fig. 2c).

185 Additional ITC studies using an isolated fragment from the N-terminal linker region that 186 showed the largest decrease in peak intensities (E1A<sub>60-83</sub>) did not show any detectable association 187 to Rb (Extended Data Fig. 2i). Further, E1A constructs that include the linker did not show higher 188 binding affinities when compared to isolated E1A motifs (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Data Table 1). 189 Taken together, these data rule out the presence of a high affinity binding site. To test for weak 190 interactions that depend on bivalent tethering, we also designed a construct where the TAZ2 191 binding region ( $_{71}MLAVQEGID_{79}$ ), which showed the largest reduction in  $I/I_o$ , was replaced by a 192 GS stretch (E1A<sub>WTAHyd</sub>). The binding affinity of this mutant actually increased by 1.5-fold compared 193 to E1A<sub>WT</sub> in fluorescence competition experiments (Supplementary Data Table 4), revealing a 194 weak destabilizing effect of the TAZ2 binding site.

195 In order to identify thermodynamic contributions of the linker binding to Rb, temperature 196 dependent  $\Delta H$  measurements were used to infer changes in accessible surface area ( $\Delta ASA_T$ ) 197 and the number of residues ( $X_{res}$ ) that fold upon binding to Rb (**Extended Data Fig. 5** and 198 Supplementary Data Tables 5 and 6) (See Methods).  $\Delta ASA_T$  values calculated using conventional and IDP-specific models [<sup>50,51</sup>] failed to reveal an increase in  $\Delta ASA_T$  of the motif-199 200 linker construct (E1A<sub> $\Delta L$ </sub>) compared to the individual motif (E1A<sub>E2F</sub>) (**Fig. 2d** and **Supplementary** 201 Data Table 6), demonstrating that the linker did not contribute to additional surface desolvation. 202 The IDP-specific method yielded  $X_{res} = 33$  residues for the 16-mer E1A<sub>E2F</sub> binding to Rb, indicating 203 a similar number of Rb residues fold at the E1A-binding interface. However,  $X_{res}$  did not increase 204 for E1A<sub>AL</sub> compared to E1A<sub>E2F</sub>, suggesting that no additional linker residues were involved in 205 coupled folding and binding.

Collectively, these results demonstrate that the linker does not contribute to the thermodynamics of complex formation through coupled folding and binding or through persistent molecular interactions with Rb. While the hydrophobic TAZ2-binding region may establish transient, weak interactions with Rb that have a minor destabilizing effect and result in the resonance intensity reductions we observe, our results do not support a model that invokes linkermediated interactions (**Fig. 1f, Model B**) as a source for affinity enhancement.

212

#### 213 Allosteric coupling in Rb does not increase E1A-Rb affinity

To assess whether allosteric coupling between the E2F and LxCxE binding sites in Rb play a role in affinity enhancement (**Fig. 1f, Model C**), we saturated Rb with the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> or E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs and performed ITC titrations with the complementary motif (**Extended Data Fig. 5**). If a positive allosteric effect is at play, E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> should bind more tightly to Rb when E1A<sub>E2F</sub> is already bound, and vice versa. This was measured as the change in Gibbs free energy  $\Delta\Delta G =$  $\Delta G_{SATURATED} - \Delta G_{UNSATURATED}$ , where a negative value for  $\Delta\Delta G$  indicates positive cooperativity. For both motifs, the values of  $\Delta\Delta G$  were in the range +/- 0.25 kcal/mol (**Supplementary Data Table**) 221 7). In E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> binding assays, saturation with E1A<sub>ΔL</sub> instead of E1A<sub>E2F</sub> did not change the 222 outcome, indicating that neither the motif nor the motif + linker arrangement behaved as an 223 allosteric effector on the complementary site. Therefore, our results suggest that allosteric 224 coupling in Rb (Fig. 1f, Model C) does not make a major contribution to affinity enhancement.

225

#### 226

#### Entropic tethering optimizes affinity of E1A for Rb

227 Our results indicate the positive cooperativity of the tethered E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LXCXE</sub> motifs 228 binding to Rb results from an increase in the effective concentration ( $C_{eff}$ ) of one motif once the 229 other motif is bound [45] (Fig. 1f, Model A). It is well established that this form of cooperativity can be described using a simple Worm Like Chain (WLC) model [<sup>23,24,22, 33</sup>] that treats the linker as an 230 231 entropic tether (Fig. 3a,b) wherein the dimensions of the linker will determine the degree of the 232 affinity enhancement. A short linker would be unable to straddle the distance between the two 233 binding sites and lead to low affinity enhancement (Fig. 3a,b I), an optimal linker would maximize 234 C<sub>eff</sub>, leading to maximal positive cooperativity (Fig. 3a,b II), and a longer than optimal linker would 235 decrease C<sub>eff</sub> (Fig. 3a,b III). Application of the WLC model to the E1A linker predicts a C<sub>eff</sub> value 236 of 0.92 mM, which is close to the optimal value (**Fig. 3b**) and within a factor of two of the  $C_{eff}$  (0.52 237  $\pm$  0.09 mM) obtained from the affinities of E1A<sub>WT</sub> and the isolated motifs (**Supplementary Data** 238 Table 1). For E1A<sub>WTΔHvd</sub>, where the destabilizing effect of the linker region is removed, the 239 agreement with the WLC model improves ( $C_{eff} = 0.78 \pm 0.24$  mM), indicating that this mutated 240 linker behaves more like an entropic tether optimized to bind Rb with near-maximal affinity.

241 To further test the tethering model, we performed Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) 242 on Rb, E1A<sub>WT</sub>, and the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 6). The experimental 243 SAXS profile of the Rb domain could be fit to the theoretical SAXS profile derived from its crystal structure ( $\chi_1^2 = 1.3$ ) and further refined (RMSD = 1.7 Å) using a SAXS-driven modelling approach 244 245  $(\chi_i^2 = 0.82)$  (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 6a), indicating that Rb in solution retained its folded 246 structure. Alternatively, the Kratky plots of E1A<sub>WT</sub> were characteristic of an IDP. Fitting of the

247 SAXS profiles using the Ensemble Optimization Method (EOM) [<sup>52</sup>] indicated that E1A<sub>WT</sub> adopts 248 highly expanded conformations (Extended Data Fig. 6b). To analyze the conformation of the 249 linker in the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex, we applied a sampling method [<sup>53</sup>] to generate a pool of 10250 realistic conformations [54] and computed theoretical SAXS profiles that were selected using EOM 250 251 analysis. The SAXS profile of the complex was best described by sub-ensembles where the linker 252 sampled expanded conformations (Fig. 3c-e, Extended Data Fig. 6c) with hydrodynamic radius 253  $(R_h)$  values  $(R_{h EOM} = 3.36 \text{ nm})$  in good agreement with those obtained from SEC-SLS experiments 254  $(R_{h SEC} = 3.20 \pm 0.12 \text{ nm})$  (Fig. 3f-g, Extended Data Fig. 6d and Supplementary Data Table 2) 255 and  $R_0/R_h$  ratios consistent with bivalent tethering (**Supplementary Data Table 2**).

256 Our structural and thermodynamic dissection establishes E1A as a quantitative model 257 system for entropic tethering, demonstrating that other mechanisms have a negligible contribution 258 to affinity enhancement (with linker interactions having  $\Delta G \sim +200$  cal.mol<sup>-1</sup> over a total  $\Delta G_{BINDING}$ 259 = -14240 cal.mol<sup>-1</sup>). Our ability to isolate tethering as the key determinant of binding affinity is 260 unparalleled and provides us with a unique opportunity to test how tethering operates in biological 261 systems. A longstanding question is whether the sequences of regions that encode tethering have 262 any unique relationships with the conformational ensembles they form. If they do not it is difficult 263 to imagine that a family of linkers with extensive variation in sequence and length could function 264 in the same way. The model we present below is a comprehensive assessment of how this is 265 possible.

266

#### 267 Hypervariable E1A linkers have a conserved functional length

Inspection of selected linker sequences representative of mastadenoviruses that infect a wide range of mammalian hosts (**Fig. 4a**) revealed that while the N- and C-terminal acidic extensions and the aromatic/hydrophobic TAZ2 binding region were highly conserved, the linker lengths and compositions vary considerably within the central region enriched predominantly with polar, hydrophobic and proline residues (**Fig. 4a and 2b V**). To understand how function is 273 conserved in the face of these extensive differences in linker length and sequences, we performed 274 all atom simulations [9] and generated conformational ensembles of 27 E1A linker sequences with 275 linker lengths from 27 to 75 residues (Fig. 4a). While the shortest linkers from Bovine/Ovine E1A 276 proteins had smaller end-to-end distances, the average end-to-end distance of linkers 41 to 75 277 residues long remained roughly constant despite almost doubling the length (Fig. 4b). This suggested that the linkers have a conserved functional length [55] that is determined by a joint 278 contribution of sequence length, amino acid composition, and sequence patterning as 279 280 determinants of end-to-end distances. To test the feasibility of this hypothesis, we performed 281 simulations for 140 random synthetic sequences of variable length that matched the amino acid 282 composition of one of the shortest linkers (HF HAdV40). In sharp contrast to natural sequences, 283 the synthetic sequences showed the expected monotonic increase in end-to-end distance with 284 chain length ( $R_{natural} = 0.37$ ,  $R_{synt} = 0.99$ , **Extended Data Fig. 7a**). To examine the sequence-285 encoded origins of this compensation we analyzed various statistical properties (Extended Data 286 Fig. 7 b,c). Net charge per residue (NCPR) had the strongest positive correlation with normalized 287 end-to-end distance, with more expanded chains having a higher NCPR (Extended Data Fig. 288 7b). This is in agreement with previous findings that net charge and patterning are major determinants of IDR dimensions in natural [55,13,30,29,11] and synthetic [30,27,32] sequences. Longer 289 290 chains also tend to have higher proline content with fewer hydrophobic and charged residues 291 (Extended Data Fig. 7c).

The results of the simulations suggest that the functional length of the linkers is conserved and the linker dimensions are key to providing optimal affinity enhancement by tethering. Based on these results, we hypothesize that the end-to-end distances of disordered linkers are under functional selection through compensatory covariations in sequence length and composition, an adaptive mechanism that we term conformational buffering.

297

#### 298 Conformational buffering preserves optimal tethering

299 The conformational buffering mechanism predicts that linker dimensions and optimal 300 tethering will be conserved across E1A proteins with very different linker sequences. To test this 301 prediction, we constructed a series of E1A chimeras by grafting different linker sequences with 302 the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs (Fig. 4c) and determined Rb binding affinity using the competition 303 assay of Fig.1d (Supplementary Data Table 4 and Extended Data Figure 8). We selected 304 linkers from E1A types infecting a wide range of mammalian hosts (Fig.4a,c). These sequences 305 cover a wide range of linker lengths (27-75), amino acid composition, and sequence patterning 306 (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 7c). The E1A variants were expressed as MBP fusion proteins 307 (Extended Data Fig. 1e) and we verified that MBP-E1A<sub>WT</sub> had the same binding affinity as cleaved E1A<sub>WT</sub> (Supplementary Data Table 4). 308

The sequence and structure of the Rb domain that binds to E1A is highly conserved across the host range covered in our experiments (> 95% sequence identity and RMSD < 1.2 Å). The residues that make up the E2F and LxCxE binding clefts and the spacing between the sites are also highly conserved suggesting that functional length is under selection (**Extended Data Fig. 9** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**). This conservation implies that human Rb is an excellent proxy for the mammalian Rb proteins.

315 We predict that optimal tethering depends mainly on the linker dimensions and variants 316 with conserved end-to-end distances will confer similar affinity to E1A<sub>WT</sub>. In accordance with this 317 prediction, human and simian E1A linkers ranging from 41 to 75 residues have similar binding 318 affinities, with  $K_D/K_{D,E1AWT}$  ratios between 0.4 and 1.2 (Fig. 4c,d). E1A linkers with the highest 319 affinities (Hum-2 and Sim-1) had polar residues interrupting the weakly destabilizing hydrophobic 320 interactions in the TAZ2 SLiM (Fig. 4a). To directly assess linker dimensions we used SEC to 321 measure  $R_h$  for selected E1A variants after MBP cleavage (**Extended Data Fig. 1f,g** and **7d**). 322 Both  $K_D$  and  $R_h$  agreed closely with those predicted from the atomistic simulations (Fig. 4d, 323 Supplementary Data Table 4 and Extended Data Fig. 7d). We also created a tandem repeat

where the Hum-2 linker was duplicated (Hum-2-2x, **Fig. 4c,d**).  $K_{D,Hum-2-2x}/K_{D,E1AWT}$  was 1.1, suggesting its dimensions are still optimized.

E1A linkers appear to be under strong functional selection to preserve optimal tethering using a mechanism that requires compensatory covariations in sequence length and composition (i.e. conformational buffering). These results underscore the functional implications of preserving sequence-ensemble-relationships (SERs), which in the case of E1A is achieved by preserving the dimensions of the disordered linkers, which is necessary for hijacking the eukaryotic cell cycle.

331

#### 332 Linker-motif coevolution modulates conformational buffering

The shorter bovine linkers (**Fig. 4a**) had  $K_D/K_{D,E1AWT}$  ratios between 13 and 20 (**Fig. 4c,d**). This weaker than predicted affinity (**Fig. 4d**) was not due to the linkers being less expanded since the predicted and experimental  $R_h$  values for Bov-1 were similar (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**). Instead, it suggests that a minimal sequence length, not predicted by WLC, is necessary to overcome entropic effects required for proper orientation of the SLiMs to bind Rb. This highlights a limitation of the WLC model which is not unexpected since this simplified homopolymer model does not include excluded volume or local changes in the chain stiffness.

340 We expect that the E1A linkers and SLiMs are co-evolving in a way that may not be 341 represented in the chimeras. For instance, the canine and bat chimeras had similar predicted and 342 experimental  $R_h$  values (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**) but showed reduced binding affinity, with 343  $K_D/K_{D,E1AWT}$  ratios between 5 and 6 (**Fig. 4c.d**) even though FoldX predicted the bat SLiMs to have 344 higher affinity for Rb than E1A<sub>WT</sub> motifs. This reduction in binding could be due to additional 345 destabilizing interactions of the bat/canine linkers with Rb, implying the possibility of 346 compensatory changes that optimize but do not maximize binding affinity. To test this hypothesis, 347 we measured the affinity of a variant containing the endogenous SLiMs and linker from bat (Bat-348 ED), which recovered high affinity binding with Rb ( $K_D/K_{D,E1AWT} = 1.5$ ). This is a clear signature of coevolution whereby linker mutations that weaken affinity enhancement by tethering are
 compensated by SLiM mutations that directly increase Rb affinity.

The Bovine linker is predicted to have a smaller than optimal end-to-end distance compared with other E1A linkers (**Fig. 4b**) and the bovine SLiMs are predicted by FoldX to bind Rb with lower affinity (**Fig. 5a**), suggesting that the SLiMs and linker for Bovine are suboptimal. To test this prediction, we measured the affinity of a variant with the endogenous Bov-1 SLiMs and linker (Bov-1-ED). Bov-1-ED was unable to displace E2F in our competition experiments ( $K_D/K_{D,E1AWT} > 20,000$ ) (**Fig. 4 c,d** and **Extended Data Fig. 8**). Taken together, these results suggest that Bovine E1A cannot displace E2F to hijack the host cell cycle.

358

#### 359 Evolutionary conservation of E1A tethering

360 Our results suggest that conformational buffering is a selection mechanism that conserves 361 end-to-end distances and affinity enhancement by tethering for E1A, and that motifs and linkers co-evolve. To test these hypotheses on a larger family of sequences<sup>56</sup>, we predicted global 362 363 binding affinities for 110 distinct E1A SLiMs and linkers. We used FoldX to predict SLiM affinities 364 and we predicted  $C_{eff}$  using either the WLC model with a single persistence length (E1A WLC), or 365 the sequence-specific persistence length (E1A Lp-Sim) from the simulations of the 27 linkers in 366 Fig. 4a (Extended Data Fig. 10). The results are shown in Figure 5a together with the measured 367 affinities from the grafting experiments (E1A Graft) or from endogenous variants (E1A ED).

The conservation of affinity enhancement by tethering is predicted across E1A proteins from adenoviruses infecting human (HA-G), simian (SA/B/F), canine (CA), bat (BtA/B) and equine (EA) species. These results are in agreement with our binding affinity measurements for human, simian and bat E1A proteins. The structural conservation of the p107/p130 paralogs that harbor the same SLiM binding sites (**Extended Data Fig. 9**) suggests that E1A uses the same mechanism to displace E2F factors bound to all Rb paralogs.

374 In contrast, in a divergent branch of E1A proteins infecting rodents (MA/B/C), treeshrew 375 (TSA) and artiodactyls (including bovine, sheep and pig OA/BA/PA), binding to Rb seems 376 impaired or lost completely due to the presence of short linkers coupled to low affinity (PC/OA/BA) 377 or missing SLiMs. For instance, E1A proteins from rodents retain the LxCxE motif but lose the 378 E2F motif. These E1A proteins could interfere with host factors binding to the LxCxE cleft but 379 would be unable to displace E2F. These results suggest that the SLiMs and the linker are under 380 co-evolutionary selection, such that either the SLiMs and linker are jointly optimized, or selection 381 pressure is lost on both elements, leading to a loss of E2F displacement and possibly a loss of 382 E1A's ability to hijack the eukaryotic cell cycle (**Fig. 5a**). This branch of divergent adenoviruses is 383 likely to employ alternative mechanisms to induce host cell proliferation.

384 In summary, we demonstrate that tethering is the main mechanism that allows E1A to bind 385 Rb with picomolar affinity and displace E2F transcription factors. We show that the functional 386 length of the linkers is conserved and fine-tuned through conformational buffering to enable 387 maximal affinity enhancement in the face of extensive changes in sequence composition and 388 length. We also uncover a previously unknown linkage between the evolution of linkers and their 389 tethered motifs. This study shows that strong functional selection can operate both on the motifs 390 and on the physical properties of an IDR linker, providing important insights regarding the 391 evolution of sequence features and tethering functions in IDRs.

392

#### 393 **DISCUSSION**

Here, we demonstrate how E1A hijacks the eukaryotic cell cycle using two SLiMs tethered by a flexible linker with conserved dimensions [<sup>12,26</sup>]. The proposed docking and displacement mechanism is conserved across divergent E1A proteins by conformational buffering and coevolution of the SLiMs and tether. Conformational buffering promotes robust encoding of a core function (**Fig. 5b, upper**) while supporting the extensive sequence variation necessary to rewire the E1A interactome (**Fig.5b, lower**) and adapt to different hosts by gaining or losing additional SLiMs [<sup>56–58</sup>], as we show for several SLiMs in **Fig.5a** [<sup>39,43,56,59</sup>]. Our work challenges the view that IDRs with extensive sequence variation evolve neutrally. We also demonstrate that conserved SERs that encode for IDR dimensions -as determined by sequence length, composition and patterning- can be detected with atomistic simulations even if they are obscured by naïve sequence alignments.

405 Conformational buffering results in the conservation of tethering for any sequence solution 406 that preserves the functional length. Our experimental validation using a collection of E1A linkers 407 largely supports this hypothesis, demonstrating that linkers with a broad range of sequence 408 compositions and lengths are functionally equivalent (Fig. 4 a,d). However, the molecular evolution of tethered systems will be constrained by competing evolutionary pressures [6,2]. In the 409 410 case of the E1A linkers, correlated changes in NCPR and proline content (Fig. 4 a,b) maintain 411 linker extension and prevent folding. The linker can contain additional SLiMs that mediate the 412 formation of higher order complexes [43] and impose restrictions on sequence variation that could 413 prevent optimal tethering, analogous to the frustrated energy landscapes in protein folding [60]. By 414 dissecting the contribution of linker versus SLiMs, we found signatures of these competing forces: 415 E1A<sub>WT</sub> confers optimal tethering and harbors a hydrophobic SLiM (TAZ2 motif) that plays a minor 416 destabilizing role, while the Bat E1A linkers evolved stronger destabilizing interactions with Rb 417 that are compensated by mutations that restore optimal tethering by increasing the affinity of the 418 SLiMs for Rb. Thus it appears that competition between linker tethering and SLiM binding 419 constrains IDR evolution due to different contributions from conformational buffering and coupled 420 folding and binding. This can result in linker sequence conservation patterns ranging from highly 421 variable [this work,<sup>11,13</sup>] to highly conserved [<sup>12</sup>]. Other systems such as the intrinsically disordered 422 Notch RAM region show similar mixed contributions from optimal tethering and sequence-specific 423 effects [<sup>61</sup>]

424 Our work establishes E1A as an example of optimal tethering. The low picomolar affinity 425 of E1A for Rb and the 4000-fold affinity enhancement enforced by the E1A linker is amongst the

426	highest reported positive cooperativity produced by tethering in a natural system, similar to the
427	POU domain activator Oct-1 binding to DNA ( $K_D$ = 71 pM and 2100-fold enhancement) [ <sup>22</sup> ]. E1A
428	is the first adenoviral gene expressed, and the picomolar affinity is likely required for E1A to bind
429	stably to Rb and efficiently displace E2F and hijack the cell cycle at low expression levels during
430	early infection [62]. As a comparison, intramolecular MdmX inhibition, exhibits optimal tethering
431	with a 400-fold enhancement and $K_{INTRA} = 250$ [ <sup>12</sup> ] and other bivalently tethered systems show
432	variable degrees of enhancement with affinities in the nanomolar range [63,64,65]. At the opposite
433	extreme, multiple low affinity interactions tethered by short and/or non-optimal linkers might
434	promote the dynamic binding required for multivalent binding or liquid-liquid phase separation
435	[66,17]. Our work suggests that conformational buffering can tune the functional length of linkers to
436	produce an optimal functional output. Thus, conformational buffering may be a widespread
437	mechanism driving dimensional compensation among IDRs.

#### 445 **Acknowledgements**

446 This work was supported by: Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT) Grants PICT #2013-1895 and #2017-1924 (LBC), #2012-2550 and #2015-1213 (IES) and 447 448 #2016-4605 (GPG). US National Institutes of Health #GM115556 and #CA141244 (GWD) and 449 #5R01NS056114 (RVP), FLDOH #20B17 (GWD), US National Science Foundation #MCB-450 1614766 (RVP). Travel award from the USF Nexus Initiative and a Creative Scholarship Grant 451 from the USF College of Arts and Sciences (GWD and LBC). Labex EpiGenMed 452 «Investissements d'avenir» program #ANR-10-LABX-12-01 (PB), French National Research 453 Agency #ANR-10-INBS-04-01 and #ANR-10-INBS-05 (PB). Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y 454 Universidades MICYU-FEDER #RTI2018-097189-C2-1 (GFB). Consejo Nacional de 455 Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina) doctoral fellowship (NGF, MS and 456 NAG), postdoctoral fellowship (JG), and permanent researcher (LBC, GdPG, IES). Fulbright 457 Visiting Scholar Program (NSGF). Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, España #BES-2013-458 063991 and #EEBB-I-16-11670 (SBV). Longer Life Foundation: A RGA/Washington University 459 Collaboration (ASH). HPC resources of the CALMIP supercomputing center #2016-P16032 460 (GFB) and Cluster of Scientific Computing (http://ccc.umh.es/) of the Miguel Hernández University 461 (UMH) (GFB). The synchrotron SAXS data was collected at beamline P12 operated by EMBL 462 Hamburg at the PETRA III storage ring (DESY, Hamburg, Germany). We thank Kathryn Perez at 463 the Protein Expression and Purification Core Facility at EMBL (Heidelberg) for critical help with 464 ITC experiments and Pedro Aramendia for providing critical access to fluorescence spectrometry 465 equipment at Centro de Investigaciones en Bionanociencias (CIBION, Argentina).

466

#### 467 **Author Contribution Statement**

LBC, GWD, ASH and RVP designed research and conceived the study. NSGF WMB and MS
produced reagents. NSGF and WMB performed FP, ITC and NMR experiments and WB, NSGF,
GWD and LBC analyzed data. JG designed and conducted bioinformatic analyses of E1A variants

471	and Rb proteins. MS purified E1A protein variants and NAG performed SEC experiments. AS
472	and PB performed and analyzed SAXS experiments. AE, AB and JC produced and analyzed E1A
473	conformational ensembles. SBV and ASH performed and analyzed all atom simulations of E1A
474	linkers. GFB, CBM and IES computed and analyzed FOLDX matrices. NSGF, JG, AS and ASH
475	produced figures. LBC, GWD, PB, JC, GdPG, IES, ASH and RVP Supervised research. LBC,
476	NSGF, JG, RVP, ASH and GWD wrote the paper with critical feedback from all authors.
477	
478	Competing Interests Statement
479	A.S.H. is a scientific consultant with Dewpoint Therapeutics Inc. and R.V.P. is a member of the
480	scientific advisory board of Dewpoint Therapeutics Inc. This work has not been influenced by the
481	affiliation with Dewpoint. The rest of the authors have no competing interests.
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	



FIGURE 1. Tethering is required for high affinity Rb binding and E2F displacement by E1A. 492 493 a) Model for disruption of the repressive Rb-E2F complex by E1A. b) Schematic representation 494 of E1A and E2F2 constructs used in this study. Color coding for the E2F, LxCxE, TAZ2 and MYND 495 SLiMs, the acidic stretch and S132 phosphorylation are maintained throughout figures. c) 496 Representative interactions tested using fluorescence spectroscopy (Extended Data Fig. 3 and 497 Supplementary Data Tables 1 and 3). d) E2F competition titrations. Color code is as in panel c. 498 e) Comparison of the fold-change in binding affinity from direct titrations versus competition 499 assays. The height of the bar is obtained by dividing the  $K_D$  of E2F2 by each  $K_D$  (n=1), and values higher than unity indicate an increase in binding affinity with respect to E2F2. For direct titrations, 500 501 each  $K_D$  value was obtained by averaging (global fitting) over several independent binding 502 isotherms (E2F2: n=5, E1A<sub>E2F</sub>: n=3, E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>: n=3, E1A<sub>WT</sub>: n=3) containing 16-22 points each (see 503 **Source Data**). For competition experiments, each  $K_{\mathcal{P}}$  was obtained by fitting of a single binding 504 isotherm (n=1). Error bars correspond to the propagated standard deviation of the averaged  $K_D$ 505 values. f) Three models that account for affinity enhancement in the Motif-Linker-Motif E1A 506 arrangement (See main text for details).

507



510 FIGURE 2. NMR and ITC analysis of the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex. a) <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N TROSY spectra of free <sup>15</sup>N-E1A<sub>WT</sub> (black) and <sup>15</sup>N-E1A<sub>WT</sub> bound to unlabeled Rb (red). <sup>15</sup>N-E1A<sub>WT</sub> peak assignments for 511 the inset are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 4**. **b)** I. <sup>13</sup>Cα secondary chemical shift (ΔδCα) of <sup>15</sup>N-512 513 E1A<sub>WT</sub>. II. NHNOE/NONOE ratio for <sup>15</sup>N-E1A<sub>WT</sub>. Dashed line: reference value for rigid backbone. 514 III. Intensity ratio plots of bound state (I) with respect to the free state ( $I_0$ ) for E1A<sub>WT</sub>, E1A<sub>AI</sub> and 515 E1A<sub>ΔE</sub>. Dark gray: E2F/LxCxE SLiMs and flanking regions; Light gray: N-terminal linker region. 516 **IV-V.** Disorder propensity and residue conservation (information content: IC) were predicted from 517 an alignment of E1A sequences (n=110) (**Supplementary Data File 1**). For disorder prediction, 518 data points represent the mean IUPred value at each position and error bars represent the 519 standard deviation of the mean. The number of residues averaged at each position is variable 520 depending on the number of gaps in the alignment. For the conservation plot, the height of each 521 bar represents the IC value at each position. c) Far-UV CD spectra for E1A<sub>WT</sub> (green line), Rb 522 (violet line), the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex (black line) and the arithmetic sum of the Rb and E1A<sub>WT</sub> 523 spectra (red dashed line). The latter CD spectra largely overlap. While it is possible the low salt 524 concentration of the CD experiments might mask hydrophobic interactions occurring at the higher 525 salt concentration used for NMR and other binding experiments, such effects are unlikely to

- 526 prevail for the types of monovalent salts used in our binding experiments. d) Left: Plot of the 527 change in free energy of binding ( $\Delta\Delta G$ ) for E1A fragments containing or lacking the linker region, 528 measured by ITC. The bar height results from the subtraction between mean  $\Delta G$  values obtained 529 by averaging several independent binding experiments:  $\Delta G E1A_{\Delta L}$  (n=3),  $\Delta G E1A_{E2F}$  (n=1),  $\Delta G$ 530 E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub> (n=3) and  $\Delta G$  E1A<sub>LxCxE-AC</sub> (n=3) (**Supplementary Data Table 1**). Right: Plot of the change 531 in  $\Delta ASA$  for E1A fragments containing or lacking the linker region. The height of the bar represents 532 the  $\Delta ASA$  value from PDB structure 2R7G (n=1, black bar) or that derived from ITC experiments 533 using parameters from Murphy & Freire for [E1A<sub>E2F</sub>:Rb] (n=1, blue bar) and [E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>:Rb] (n=1, 534 empty blue bar) (Supplementary Data Table 6). *AASA* was calculated by ITC measurements at 535 several temperatures (n=4 [E1A<sub>E2F</sub>:Rb], n=3 [E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>:Rb]). Error bars correspond to the propagated 536 mean standard errors of the  $\Delta ASA$  value. 537
- 538





540 FIGURE 3: The E1A linker behaves as an entropic tether. a) Schematic representation of how 541  $C_{eff}$  depends on linker length, b)  $C_{eff}$  curve from the WLC model. The scenarios depicted in a) are 542 shown as regions (I, II, III). c) SAXS intensity profile of: Rb (gray squares) with best fit to the 543 theoretical profile derived from the Rb crystal structure (RbAB domain, black line); and the 544 [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex (black circles) with best fit from the EOM method (red line). Inset: Guinier 545 plots for Rb and [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb]. d) SAXS-selected [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] EOM ensemble (both motifs bound) 546 and simulated ensembles for [E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub>:Rb] and [E1A<sub> $\Delta L</sub>:Rb] (one motif bound).$ **e** $) <math>R_q$  distribution of</sub> 547 the ensemble pool for [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] (black) and the EOM ensemble (red). The linker samples 548 conformations more extended than the random-coil model of the pool. f) SEC-SLS of [E1AwT:Rb] 549 (solid line),  $[E1A_{\Delta E}:Rb]$  (dotted line) and  $[E1A_{\Delta L}:Rb]$  (dashed line). Black bars: BSA 66 kDa (1), 550 MBP 45 kDa (2) and Lysozyme 14.3 kDa (3). Black line: SEC profile, Red line: MW value (g/mol). 551 **g**) Comparison between the hydrodynamic radius ( $R_h$ ) of modeled ( $M_P$  = pool,  $M_E$  = EOM) and 552 experimental (E) ensembles for [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] (black bars), [E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub>:Rb] (red bars) and [E1A<sub> $\Delta L</sub>:Rb]</sub>$ 553 (blue bars). The height of each bar represents the  $R_h$  value. Modeled  $R_h$  values (n=1) have no 554 associated error. For Experimental  $R_h$  values (n=1) error bars represent the propagated error obtained from estimation of the  $R_h$  parameter (see **Methods**). 555



557 FIGURE 4. Conformational buffering leads to conserved functionality of E1A proteins. a) 558 Global alignment of 27 selected E1A linker sequences. Mastadenovirus types are indicated on 559 the left and the color coding (bottom panel) indicates the host range. The variants used for the 560 design of chimeras are shown to the right, with three letter codes indicating the host range. Amino 561 acids color code: acidic (red), basic (blue), polar (green), hydrophobic (black), aromatic (orange) 562 and proline (pink). b) End-to-end distance calculated from all-atom simulations using the set of 563 E1A linkers from panel a. Violin plots are colored by host range as in panel a. For each sequence, 564 n=15 independent simulations were run (see **Methods**). The horizontal line within each violin plot 565 represents the median end-to-end distance ( $R_{\rm e}$ ) value and the ends of the whiskers indicate the 566 maximal and minimal values. Horizontal dotted line: mean Re value (53.39 Å) obtained by 567 averaging the median R<sub>e</sub> values of all sequences excluding Bov-1, Bov-2 and Porcine. c) Motif-568 Linker-Motif constructs used in the E1A linker grafting experiment. Filled circles: grafting of linkers 569 into the HAdV5 E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs. Diamonds: Mutant where the hydrophobic 570 MLAVQEGID region was replaced by a GS stretch (E1A<sub>WT/2Hvd</sub>) or where the HAdV40 linker 571 sequence was duplicated (Hum2-2x). Empty circles: Variants harboring endogenous linker and 572 motifs (ED). d) Global  $K_D$  as a function of linker length for the Motif-Linker-Motif constructs.  $K_D$  for 573 each variant was measured using an E2F displacement experiment (symbols as in c) or predicted

- 574 using the WLC model. The  $K_D$  values  $\pm$  errors for all measurements are reported in 575 **Supplementary Data Table 4.** The predicted value of the  $K_D$  for the grafted linkers was calculated 576 as  $K_D = (K_{D,E2F} * K_{D,LxCxE})/C_{eff}$  (see **Methods**) using the known affinity of the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> 577 motifs from E1A<sub>WT</sub> (Supplementary Data Table 1) and  $C_{eff}$  values obtained using a sequence 578 independent (Straight line: WLC-Lp=3) or sequence-dependent (Empty triangles: WLC-LpSim) 579 persistence length  $(L_o)$  parameter (see **Extended Data Fig. 10 and Methods**). Dotted line: 580 Experimental  $K_D$  value of the E1A<sub>WT</sub> construct (75 ± 17 pM). Under the sequence-independent 581 WLC model the  $K_{D}$  is expected to increase gradually with decreasing linker length, while LpSim 582 predicts the  $K_D$  to remain constant in the 41-75 linker length range. Experimental  $K_D$  values are in 583 good agreement with both models for longer linker lengths, but are closer to LpSim for shorter 584 linker lengths (41, 48 and 52). 585
- 586



588 FIGURE 5. Evolutionary conservation of tethering by E1A proteins. a) Phylogenetic tree of 589 mastadenovirus E1A proteins with species denoted by two letter codes. The affinity of the 590 E2F/LxCxE SLiMs and E1A<sub>WT</sub>, and linker length are indicated by color scales. E1A (WLC): Global 591  $K_D$  for E1A proteins predicted by the WLC model with standard  $L_p$  values ( $L_p = 3$ ); E1A (LpSim): 592  $K_D$  for E1A proteins predicted by the WLC model with sequence-dependent  $L_p$  values; E1A (Graft): 593 Experimental  $K_D$  measured for the grafted linkers of **Fig. 4d**; E1A (ED): Experimental  $K_D$ 594 measured for the variants harboring endogenous linker and motifs of Fig. 4d. Gray box: absent 595 motif/linker. Light/blue box: present TAZ2/MYND SLiMs. The E1A<sub>WT</sub> protein is marked as a red 596 asterisk and as a red terminal branch in the tree and all other sequences used in the experiments 597 are marked as green terminal branches in the tree. b) Upper: E1A sequences evolved a 598 multiplicity of solutions in the sequence length-composition space to achieve conserved SERs 599 through conformational buffering. Lower: The model represents one pose of the conformational 600 [E1A<sub>wT</sub>:Rb] ensemble with E2F/LxCxE SLiMs bound to Rb. The evolvable E1A interaction 601 platform performs highly conserved functions (E2F activation) while allowing adaptive changes in 602 functionality (TAZ2, MYND and other protein binding).

- 603
- 604

605	<b>REFERENCES</b> :
-----	---------------------

- 606 607
- Wright, P. E. & Dyson, H. J. Intrinsically unstructured proteins: re-assessing the protein
   structure-function paradigm. *J Mol Biol* **293**, 321–331 (1999).
- van der Lee, R. *et al.* Classification of intrinsically disordered regions and proteins. *Chem Rev* 114, 6589–6631 (2014).
- Tompa, P., Davey, N. E., Gibson, T. J. & Babu, M. M. A million peptide motifs for the
  molecular biologist. *Mol Cell* 55, 161–169 (2014).
- Brown, C. J., Johnson, A. K., Dunker, A. K. & Daughdrill, G. W. Evolution and disorder. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 21, 441–446 (2011).
- 5. Das, R. K., Ruff, K. M. & Pappu, R. V. Relating sequence encoded information to form
- and function of intrinsically disordered proteins. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **32**, 102–112 (2015).
- 618 6. Daughdrill, G. W., Narayanaswami, P., Gilmore, S. H., Belczyk, A. & Brown, C. J.
- Dynamic behavior of an intrinsically unstructured linker domain is conserved in the face of
   negligible amino acid sequence conservation. *J Mol Evol* 65, 277–288 (2007).
- 621 7. Beh, L. Y., Colwell, L. J. & Francis, N. J. A core subunit of Polycomb repressive complex
  622 1 is broadly conserved in function but not primary sequence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*623 109, E1063-71 (2012).
- 624 8. Das, R. K., Huang, Y., Phillips, A. H., Kriwacki, R. W. & Pappu, R. V. Cryptic sequence
- features within the disordered protein p27Kip1 regulate cell cycle signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 5616–5621 (2016).
- Martin, E. W. *et al.* Valence and patterning of aromatic residues determine the phase
  behavior of prion-like domains. *Science* 367, 694–699 (2020).
- 629 10. Zarin, T. *et al.* Proteome-wide signatures of function in highly diverged intrinsically
  630 disordered regions. *Elife* 8, (2019).
- 11. Buske, P. J., Mittal, A., Pappu, R. V & Levin, P. A. An intrinsically disordered linker plays
- 632 a critical role in bacterial cell division. Semin Cell Dev Biol **37**, 3–10 (2015).

- Borcherds, W. *et al.* Optimal Affinity Enhancement by a Conserved Flexible Linker
  Controls p53 Mimicry in MdmX. *Biophys J* **112**, 2038–2042 (2017).
- 13. Sherry, K. P., Das, R. K., Pappu, R. V & Barrick, D. Control of transcriptional activity by
- design of charge patterning in the intrinsically disordered RAM region of the Notch
  receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E9243–E9252 (2017).
- Hantschel, O. *et al.* A myristoyl/phosphotyrosine switch regulates c-Abl. *Cell* **112**, 845–
  857 (2003).
- 640 15. Ayrapetov, M. K. *et al.* Conformational basis for SH2-Tyr(P)527 binding in Src
  641 inactivation. *J Biol Chem* 281, 23776–23784 (2006).
- 642 16. Dyla, M. & Kjaergaard, M. Intrinsically disordered linkers control tethered kinases via
  643 effective concentration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 21413–21419 (2020).
- 644 17. Cordeiro, T. N. *et al.* Interplay of Protein Disorder in Retinoic Acid Receptor Heterodimer
  645 and Its Corepressor Regulates Gene Expression. *Structure* 27, 1270-1285.e6 (2019).
- Brodsky, S. *et al.* Intrinsically Disordered Regions Direct Transcription Factor In Vivo
  Binding Specificity. *Mol Cell* **79**, 459-471.e4 (2020).
- Harmon, T. S., Holehouse, A. S., Rosen, M. K. & Pappu, R. V. Intrinsically disordered
  linkers determine the interplay between phase separation and gelation in multivalent
- 650 proteins. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
- 651 20. Huang, Q., Li, M., Lai, L. & Liu, Z. Allostery of multidomain proteins with disordered
  652 linkers. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 62, 175–182 (2020).
- 453 21. Jencks, W. P. On the attribution and additivity of binding energies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*654 S A 78, 4046–4050 (1981).
- 22. Zhou, H. X. The affinity-enhancing roles of flexible linkers in two-domain DNA-binding
  proteins. *Biochemistry* 40, 15069–15073 (2001).
- 23. Zhou, H. X. Polymer models of protein stability, folding, and interactions. *Biochemistry* 43,
  2141–2154 (2004).

- Morrison, G. & Thirumalai, D. Semiflexible chains in confined spaces. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* **79**, 11924 (2009).
- van Dongen, E. M. W. M. *et al.* Variation of linker length in ratiometric fluorescent sensor
  proteins allows rational tuning of Zn(II) affinity in the picomolar to femtomolar range. *J Am Chem Soc* 129, 3494–3495 (2007).
- 664 26. Bertagna, A., Toptygin, D., Brand, L. & Barrick, D. The effects of conformational
- heterogeneity on the binding of the Notch intracellular domain to effector proteins: a case
  of biologically tuned disorder. *Biochem Soc Trans* 36, 157–166 (2008).
- Mao, A. H., Crick, S. L., Vitalis, A., Chicoine, C. L. & Pappu, R. V. Net charge per residue
  modulates conformational ensembles of intrinsically disordered proteins. *Proc Natl Acad*
- 669 *Sci U S A* **107**, 8183–8188 (2010).
- Marsh, J. A. & Forman-Kay, J. D. Sequence determinants of compaction in intrinsically
  disordered proteins. *Biophys J* 98, 2383–2390 (2010).
- Müller-Späth, S. *et al.* From the Cover: Charge interactions can dominate the dimensions
  of intrinsically disordered proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 14609–14614 (2010).
- 30. Das, R. K. & Pappu, R. V. Conformations of intrinsically disordered proteins are
- 675 influenced by linear sequence distributions of oppositely charged residues. *Proc Natl*
- 676 *Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 13392–13397 (2013).
- 677 31. Van Rosmalen, M., Krom, M. & Merkx, M. Tuning the Flexibility of Glycine-Serine Linkers
  678 to Allow Rational Design of Multidomain Proteins. *Biochemistry* 56, 6565–6574 (2017).
- 679 32. Sorensen, C. S. & Kjaergaard, M. Effective concentrations enforced by intrinsically
- 680 disordered linkers are governed by polymer physics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**,
- 681 23124–23131 (2019).
- Kjaergaard, M., Glavina, J. & Chemes, L. B. Predicting the effect of disordered linkers on
  effective concentrations and avidity with the "C(eff) calculator" app. *Methods Enzymol*684
  647, 145–171 (2021).

685	34.	Tokuriki, N., Oldfield, C. J., Uversky, V. N., Berezovsky, I. N. & Tawfik, D. S. Do viral
686		proteins possess unique biophysical features? Trends Biochem Sci 34, 53–59 (2009).
687	35.	Gitlin, L., Hagai, T., LaBarbera, A., Solovey, M. & Andino, R. Rapid evolution of virus
688		sequences in intrinsically disordered protein regions. PLoS Pathog 10, e1004529 (2014).
689	36.	Hagai, T., Azia, A., Babu, M. M. & Andino, R. Use of host-like peptide motifs in viral
690		proteins is a prevalent strategy in host-virus interactions. Cell Rep 7, 1729–1739 (2014).
691	37.	Davey, N. E., Trave, G. & Gibson, T. J. How viruses hijack cell regulation. Trends
692		<i>Biochem Sci</i> <b>36</b> , 159–169 (2011).
693	38.	Chemes, L. B., de Prat-Gay, G. & Sanchez, I. E. Convergent evolution and mimicry of
694		protein linear motifs in host-pathogen interactions. Curr Opin Struct Biol 32, 91–101
695		(2015).
696	39.	King, C. R., Zhang, A., Tessier, T. M., Gameiro, S. F. & Mymryk, J. S. Hacking the Cell:
697		Network Intrusion and Exploitation by Adenovirus E1A. MBio 9, (2018).
698	40.	Liu, X. & Marmorstein, R. Structure of the retinoblastoma protein bound to adenovirus
699		E1A reveals the molecular basis for viral oncoprotein inactivation of a tumor suppressor.
700		Genes Dev <b>21</b> , 2711–2716 (2007).
701	41.	Lee, J. O., Russo, A. A. & Pavletich, N. P. Structure of the retinoblastoma tumour-
702		suppressor pocket domain bound to a peptide from HPV E7. Nature 391, 859–865
703		(1998).
704	42.	Dyson, N., Guida, P., McCall, C. & Harlow, E. Adenovirus E1A makes two distinct
705		contacts with the retinoblastoma protein. J Virol 66, 4606–4611 (1992).
706	43.	Ferreon, J. C., Martinez-Yamout, M. A., Dyson, H. J. & Wright, P. E. Structural basis for
707		subversion of cellular control mechanisms by the adenoviral E1A oncoprotein. Proc Natl
708		<i>Acad Sci U S A</i> <b>106</b> , 13260–13265 (2009).
709	44.	Ferreon, A. C., Ferreon, J. C., Wright, P. E. & Deniz, A. A. Modulation of allostery by
710		protein intrinsic disorder. Nature 498, 390–394 (2013).

- 711 45. Fattaey, A. R., Harlow, E. & Helin, K. Independent regions of adenovirus E1A are
- required for binding to and dissociation of E2F-protein complexes. *Mol Cell Biol* 13,
  713 7267–7277 (1993).
- 46. Hosek, T. *et al.* Structural and Dynamic Characterization of the Molecular Hub Early
- 715 Region 1A (E1A) from Human Adenovirus. *Chemistry (Easton)* **22**, 13010–13013 (2016).
- 716 47. Haberz, P., Arai, M., Martinez-Yamout, M. A., Dyson, H. J. & Wright, P. E. Mapping the
- interactions of adenoviral E1A proteins with the p160 nuclear receptor coactivator binding
  domain of CBP. *Protein Sci* 25, 2256–2267 (2016).
- Zuiderweg, E. R. P. Mapping protein-protein interactions in solution by NMR
  spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **41**, 1–7 (2002).
- 49. Palopoli, N., Gonzalez Foutel, N. S., Gibson, T. J. & Chemes, L. B. Short linear motif core
  and flanking regions modulate retinoblastoma protein binding affinity and specificity.
- 723 Protein Eng Des Sel **31**, 69–77 (2018).
- 50. Perozzo, R., Folkers, G. & Scapozza, L. Thermodynamics of protein-ligand interactions:
- history, presence, and future aspects. *J Recept Signal Transduct Res* **24**, 1–52 (2004).
- 726 51. Theisen, F. F. et al. Quantification of Conformational Entropy Unravels Effect of
- Disordered Flanking Region in Coupled Folding and Binding. *J Am Chem Soc* 143,
  14540–14550 (2021).
- 52. Bernado, P., Mylonas, E., Petoukhov, M. V, Blackledge, M. & Svergun, D. I. Structural
- characterization of flexible proteins using small-angle X-ray scattering. *J Am Chem Soc* **129**, 5656–5664 (2007).
- 53. Estaña, A. *et al.* Realistic Ensemble Models of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins Using
  a Structure-Encoding Coil Database. *Structure* 27, 381-391.e2 (2019).
- 734 54. Cortes, J., Simeon, T., Remaud-Simeon, M. & Tran, V. Geometric algorithms for the
- conformational analysis of long protein loops. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 956–967 (2004).

- 55. Cohan, M. C., Eddelbuettel, A. M. P., Levin, P. A. & Pappu, R. V. Dissecting the
- Functional Contributions of the Intrinsically Disordered C-terminal Tail of Bacillus subtilis
  FtsZ. *J Mol Biol* 432, 3205–3221 (2020).
- 56. Glavina, J., Rodriguez de la Vega, R., Risso, V.A., Leonetti, C.O., Chemes, L.B.,
- 740 Sánchez, I.E. Host diversification is concurrent with linear motif evolution in a
- 741 mastadenovirus hub protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology* (2022).
- 742 57. Hoppe, E. *et al.* Multiple Cross-Species Transmission Events of Human Adenoviruses
  743 (HAdV) during Hominine Evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**, 2072–2084 (2015).
- 58. Glavina, J. *et al.* Interplay between sequence, structure and linear motifs in the

745 adenovirus E1A hub protein. *Virology* **525**, 117–131 (2018).

59. Lau, L., Gray, E. E., Brunette, R. L. & Stetson, D. B. DNA tumor virus oncogenes

antagonize the cGAS-STING DNA-sensing pathway. *Science* **350**, 568–571 (2015).

- Ferreiro, D. U., Komives, E. A. & Wolynes, P. G. Frustration in biomolecules. *Q Rev Biophys* 47, 285–363 (2014).
- 750 61. Sherry, K. P., Johnson, S. E., Hatem, C. L., Majumdar, A. & Barrick, D. Effects of Linker
- 751
   Length and Transient Secondary Structure Elements in the Intrinsically Disordered Notch
- 752 RAM Region on Notch Signaling. *J Mol Biol* **427**, 3587–3597 (2015).
- 62. Crisostomo, L., Soriano, A. M., Mendez, M., Graves, D. & Pelka, P. Temporal dynamics
  of adenovirus 5 gene expression in normal human cells. *PLoS One* 14, e0211192 (2019).
- 755 63. Ramirez, J. et al. Targeting the Two Oncogenic Functional Sites of the HPV E6
- Oncoprotein with a High-Affinity Bivalent Ligand. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 54, 7958–
  757 7962 (2015).
- 758 64. Cheng, J. *et al.* Stabilized recombinant suppressors of RNA silencing: functional effects
- of linking monomers of Carnation Italian Ringspot virus p19. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1774**,
- 760 1528–1535 (2007).

- 761 65. Travers, T. *et al.* Combinatorial diversity of Syk recruitment driven by its multivalent
- 762 engagement with FcεRlγ. *Mol Biol Cell* **30**, 2331–2347 (2019).
- 763 66. Milles, S. *et al.* Plasticity of an ultrafast interaction between nucleoporins and nuclear
- 764 transport receptors. *Cell* **163**, 734–745 (2015).
- 765
- 766

#### METHODS

769

#### Protein purification and peptide synthesis and labeling

770 Protein expression and purification: The human Retinoblastoma protein (Uniprot 771 ID: P06400) AB domain with a stabilizing loop deletion (372-787∆582-642), named Rb, 772 was recombinantly expressed from a pRSET-A vector in *E. coli* Bl21(DE3). Briefly, Rb 773 cultures were induced with 1 mM IPTG and grown at 28 °C overnight. Rb was purified from the soluble fraction using a Ni<sup>2+</sup>-nitrilotriacetic acid immobilized metal affinity 774 775 chromatography resin, followed by a purification with a sulfate cation exchange (SP-776 Sepharose) resin and size exclusion (Superdex 75) chromatography  $[^{67}]$ . The 777 adenovirus serotype 5 (HAdV5) Early 1A protein fragment (36-146) (Uniprot ID: 778 P03255), named E1A<sub>WT</sub>, was subcloned into BamHI/HindIII sites of a modified pMalC2x. 779 vector (NewEnglandBioLabs, Hitchin, UK). E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub> (43-LHELY-47 $\Delta$ 43-AAAA-46) and 780 E1A<sub> $\Delta L$ </sub> (122-LTCHE-126 $\Delta$ 122-AAAA-125) variants were obtained by site-directed 781 mutagenesis of the wild type vector. E1A proteins were expressed as MBP fusion products in *E. coli* BL21(DE3). Unlabeled and single (<sup>15</sup>N) and double (<sup>15</sup>N/<sup>13</sup>C) labeled 782 783 samples were obtained from 2TY medium and M9-minimal medium supplemented with 784 <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and <sup>13</sup>C-glucose respectively. Cultures were induced with 0.8 mM IPTG at 0.7 785 OD<sub>600</sub> and grown at 37 °C overnight in 2TY medium or for 5 h after induction in M9-786 minimal medium. Harvested cells were lysed by sonication and proteins isolated 787 performing amylose affinity chromatography of the soluble fraction, followed by Q-788 HyperD lon exchange and size exclusion (Superdex 75) chromatography. The MBP tag 789 was cleaved with Thrombin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at 0.4 unit per mg of protein. Synthetic 790 MBP-E1A fusion constructs (construct sequences available in the Source File for 791 Figure 4) subcloned into the pMalC4x vector (GenScript, USA) were expressed in E. 792 coli Bl21(DE3) followed by Amylose purification and Superdex 75 chromatography as

- described above. All E1A protein stocks were stored at -80 °C in buffer containing 20mM
  Sodium Phosphate pH 7.0, 200mM NaCl, 20mM DTT and 2mM PMSF. Protein purity (>
  90%) and conformation were assessed by SDS-PAGE, SEC-SLS and circular dichroism
  analysis (Extended Data Fig. 1).
- 797 <u>Peptide synthesis</u>: Peptides corresponding to individual E1A or E2F2 binding 798 motifs were synthesized by FMoc chemistry at >95% purity (GenScript, USA) and 799 quantified by Absorbance at 280 nm or by quantitation of peptide bonds at 220 nm in 800 HCI -when Tryptophan or Tyrosine residues were absent The peptide sequences are:
- 801
- 802 E1A<sub>E2F</sub> 36-SHFEPPTLHELYDLDV-51
- 803 E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> 116-VPEVIDLTCHEAGFPP-131
- 804 E1A<sub>LxCxE-AC</sub> 116-VPEVIDLTCHEAGFPPSDDEDEEG-139
- 805 E1A<sub>LxCxE-ACP</sub> 116-VPEVIDLTCHEAGFPPpSDDEDEEG-139
- 806 Human E2F2 404-SPSLDQDDYLWGLEAGEGISDLFD-427
- 807 <u>*FITC labeling*</u>: Proteins and peptides were labeled at their N-terminus with 808 Fluorescein 5-Isothiocyanate (FITC, Sigma), purified and quantified following a 809 described protocol [<sup>67</sup>]. F/P (FITC/Protein) ratio was above 0.8 in all cases.
- 810 Circular Dichroism (CD)

811 Far-UV CD spectra were measured on a Jasco J-810 (Jasco, Japan) 812 spectropolarimeter equipped with a Peltier thermostat using 0.1 or 0.2 cm path-length 813 quartz cuvettes (Hellma, USA). Five CD scans were averaged from 195 to 200 nm at 814 100nm/min scan speed, and buffer spectra were subtracted from all measurements. All 815 spectra were measured in 10mM Sodium Phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and 2mM DTT at 20 816  $\pm$  1 °C and 5 µM protein concentration.

# Size Exclusion Chromatography, Hydrodynamic radii calculations and Light

## 819 Scattering Experiments

820 Analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was performed on a Superdex 821 75 column (GE Healthcare) calibrated with globular standards: BSA (66 kDa), MBP (45 822 kDa) and Lysozyme (14.3 kDa). All runs were performed by injecting 100 µl protein 823 sample (E1A<sub>WT</sub> and E1A<sub>AL</sub> at 270  $\mu$ M and E1A<sub>AE</sub> at 540  $\mu$ M) in 20 mM Sodium 824 Phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT. For each protein or complex a 825 partition coefficient (Kav) was calculated and apparent molecular weights were 826 interpolated from the –logMW vs Kav calibration curve. Experimental hydrodynamic radii 827  $(R_{h})$  were calculated following empirical formulations developed by Uversky and col. [<sup>68</sup>]:

$$\log R_{\rm h} = -0.204 + 0.357 \log MW \tag{1}$$

829 Where *MW* is the apparent molecular weight derived from SEC experiments. 830 The predicted  $R_h$  for E1A<sub>WT</sub> was calculated following the formulation developed by Marsh 831 and Forman-Kay [<sup>3</sup>].

The exponent *v* was calculated from  $R_h = R_0 \cdot N^v$  using the experimental  $R_h$  values, with  $R_o = 2.49$  nm for E1A<sub>WT</sub> and  $R_o = 4.92$  nm for Rb, following [<sup>28</sup>]. For E1A<sub>WT</sub>, *v* was calculated from  $R_g = R_0 \cdot N^v$  using  $R_g$  obtained from SAXS measurements and  $R_o = 2.1$ nm, following [<sup>69</sup>]. In both cases, *N* is the number of residues in the chain (Supplementary Data Table 2)

836

#### (Supplementary Data Table 2).

Static Light Scattering (SLS) coupled to SEC was carried out to determine the average molecular weight of individual protein peaks and the stoichiometry of [E1A:Rb] complexes using a PD2010 detector (Precision Detectors Inc, China) coupled in tandem to an HPLC system and an LKB 2142 differential refractometer. The 90° light scattering (LS) and refractive index (RI) signals of the eluting material were analyzed with Discovery32 software (Precision Detectors). 843Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) was used to measure the hydrodynamic size844distribution of E1A, using a Wyatt Dynapro Spectrometer (Wyatt Technologies,845USA). Data was fitted using Dynamics 6.1 software. All measurements were performed846in 20 mM Sodium Phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT at 2 mg/ml.847Samples were filtered by 0.22 μM filters (Millipore) and placed into a 96 Well glass848bottom black plate (In Vitro Scientific P96-1.5H-N) covered by a high performance cover849glass (0.17+/-0.005mm) before measurements were taken.

850

#### 851 Fluorescence Spectroscopy Experiments

Measurements were performed in a Jasco FP-6200 (Nikota, Japan) spectropolarimeter assembled in L geometry coupled to a Peltier thermostat. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 495 nm and 520 nm respectively, with a 4 nm bandwidth. All measurements were performed in 20 mM Sodium Phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and 0.1% Tween-20 at 20  $\pm$  1 °C.

For direct titrations, a fixed concentration of FITC-labeled protein/peptide was titrated with increasing amounts of Rb until saturation was reached. Maximal dilution was 20% and samples equilibrated for 2 min ensuring steady state. Titrations performed at concentrations 10 times higher than the equilibrium dissociation constant ( $K_D$ ) allowed estimation of the stoichiometry of each reaction. Binding titrations performed at substoichiometric concentrations allowed an estimation of  $K_D$ , by fitting the titration curves to a bimolecular association model:

864

$$Y = Y_F + \frac{(Y_B - Y_F)}{P_0} * \frac{(x + P_0 + K_D) + \sqrt{(x - P_0 + K_D)^2 - (4 * P_0 * x)}}{2} + C * x$$
(2)

865 Where Y is the measured anisotropy signal,  $Y_F$  and  $Y_B$  are the free and bound 866 labeled peptide signals,  $P_0$  is the total labeled peptide concentration, x is Rb 867 concentration, and  $K_D$  is the equilibrium dissociation constant in Molar units. The [C \* x] 868 linear term accounts for slight bleaching or aggregation. Data was fitted using the Profit 869 7.0 software (Quantumsoft, Switzerland), yielding a value for each parameter and its 870 corresponding standard deviation. Titrations for each complex were performed in 871 triplicate at least at three different concentrations of FITC-labeled sample, and 872 parameters were obtained from fitting individual titrations or by global fitting of the  $K_D$ 873 parameter using normalized titration curves at different concentrations, obtaining an 874 excellent agreement between individual and global fits (Supplementary Data Table 3 875 and Extended Data Fig. 3).

876 Competition experiments were carried out by titrating the pre-assembled 877 complex [Rb:FITC-E2F2] (1:1 molar ratio, 5 nM) with increasing amounts of unlabeled 878 competitors and following the decrease in the anisotropy signal until the value 879 corresponding to free FITC-E2F2 was reached. IC50 values were estimated directly 880 from the curves as the concentration where the competitor produced a decrease in 50% 881 of the maximal anisotropy value.  $K_D$  values were calculated by fitting the data 882 considering the binding equilibrium of the labeled peptide and the unlabeled competitors according to  $[^{70}]$ , obtaining  $K_{D(comp)}$  values that differed only slightly (2 to 3-fold) from 883 884 those obtained from direct titrations.  $K_D$  and  $K_{D(comp)}$  values also displayed similar fold 885 changes in binding affinity relative to E2F2 within each method (**Supplementary Data** 886 **Table 1**). The agreement between the  $K_{D}$  values obtained from fluorescence and ITC 887 titrations (Supplementary Data Table 1) confirmed that FITC moiety did not cause 888 significant changes in Rb binding affinity. MBP-E1A fusion protein affinities 889 (Supplementary Data Table 4 and Extended Data Fig. 8) were determined by 890 performing competition experiments assembling a [Rb:FITC-E2F2] complex at 10nM 891 concentration, after verifying that MBP-E1A<sub>WT</sub> and E1A<sub>WT</sub> (cleaved and uncleaved 892 HAdV5 proteins) had the same binding affinity (Extended Data Table 7). Measurements 893 were performed on a PTI Quantamaster QM40 spectrofluorimeter (Horiba, Japan)

894 equipped with polymer film polarizers and coupled to a Peltier thermostat with excitation
895 parameters as described above.

896

#### 897 ITC Experiments

898 Direct titrations. ITC experiments were performed on MicroCal VP-ITC and 899 MicroCal PEAQ-ITC equipment (Malvern Panalytical) in 20 mM Sodium Phosphate pH 900 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 5mM 2-mercapto ethanol at  $20.0 \pm 0.1$  °C, unless stated otherwise. 901 Prior to titrations, cell and titrating samples were co-dialyzed in the aforementioned 902 buffer for 48 h at  $4 \pm 1$  °C and then de-gassed. Measurements performed in the MicroCal 903 VP-ITC used 28 10-µl injections at a flow rate of 0.5 µl/s and those performed in the 904 MicroCal PEAQ-ITC used 13 3-µl injections. The concentration range of cell and titrating 905 samples are detailed in **Extended Data Figs. 2 and 5**. Data were analyzed using the Origin software. 906

907 <u>Allosteric coupling experiments.</u> First, a pre-assembled [Rb:E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub>] complex 908 (1:1 molar ratio, 30  $\mu$ M) was titrated with E1A<sub>E2F</sub> or E1A<sub>ΔL</sub> to assess whether binding of 909 the LxCxE motif modified the binding affinity for the E2F site. Conversely, pre-910 assembled [Rb: E1A<sub>E2F</sub>] or [Rb: E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>] complexes were titrated with E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> to assess 911 whether binding of the E2F motif modified the binding affinity for the LxCxE site 912 (**Supplementary Data Table 7**).

913 <u>Calculation of  $\Delta Cp$  and  $\Delta ASA$  parameters from ITC data.</u> A series of titrations 914 were carried out at different temperatures (10.0, 15.0, 20.0 and 30.0 ± 0.1 °C) and the 915 change in binding heat capacity ( $\Delta Cp$ ) was obtained from the slope of the linear 916 regression analysis of the plot of  $\Delta H$  vs temperature (**Extended Data Fig. 5**). The 917 changes in accessible surface area ( $\Delta ASA_T$ ) and the number of residues that fold upon 918 binding (X<sub>res</sub>) were estimated by solving semi-empirical equations from protein folding 919 studies applied to protein-ligand binding [<sup>50</sup>] and from models that use parameters 920 derived for intrinsically disordered proteins (IDP-specific model) [<sup>51</sup>] (Details on the 921 model-specific parameter values are provided in **Supplementary Data Tables 5 and** 922 **6**). First we calculated  $\Delta H_{int(TH)}$  from:

$$\Delta H_{int(T)} = \Delta H_{int(T_{H})} + \Delta C_{p} (T - T_{H})$$
(3)

924 where  $\Delta H_{int(T)}$  is the change in enthalpy measured at experimental temperatures 925 (K),  $\Delta C_p$  is the change in heat capacity,  $\Delta H_{int(TH)}$  is the change in enthalpy at the 926 temperature of enthalpic convergence and  $T_H$  is the temperature of enthalpic 927 convergence at which the apolar contribution is assumed to be zero (295.15 K). Then, 928  $\Delta ASA_T$  values were calculated as the sum of the contribution of changes in polar 929 ( $\Delta ASA_p$ ) and non-polar ( $\Delta ASA_{np}$ ) accessible surface areas, by solving the following set 930 of equations:

931 
$$\Delta H_{int(T_{H})} = \Delta h_{np} \Delta ASA_{np} + \Delta h_{p} \Delta ASA_{p}$$
(4)

932 
$$\Delta C_{p} = \Delta c_{np} \Delta ASA_{np} + \Delta c_{p} \Delta ASA_{p}$$
(5)

933 where  $\Delta h_{np}$ ,  $\Delta h_p$ ,  $\Delta c_{np}$  and  $\Delta c_p$  are constants that assume different values 934 according to the model used [<sup>50,51</sup>] (See **Supplementary Data Table 6** for specific 935 values). Finally,  $X_{res}$  was calculated from:

936 
$$X_{res} = \Delta S_{config} / \Delta S_{residue}$$
(6)

937 Where  $\Delta S_{residue}$  is the change in configurational entropy per residue and the 938 change in configurational entropy ( $\Delta S_{config}$ ) was calculated as the sum of changes in 939 rotation-translation ( $\Delta S_{rt}$ ) and solvation ( $\Delta S_{solv}$ ) entropy:

$$\Delta S_{\text{config}} = \Delta S_{\text{rt}} + \Delta S_{\text{solv}}$$
(7)

941 with  $\Delta S_{solv}$  defined as:

923

942 
$$\Delta S_{solv} = C_1 * \Delta C_p * \ln (T/T_S)$$
(8)

943 where T is the experimental temperature (K) and  $T_s$  is the temperature for 944 entropic convergence (385 K).  $\Delta S_{rt}$ ,  $\Delta S_{residue}$  and the constant C<sub>1</sub>, which depend on the 945 relationship of apolar to polar surface area, assume different values depending on the 946 model used [<sup>50,51</sup>] (see **Supplementary Data Table 6** for model-specific values).

947

#### 948 **NMR Experiments**

949 NMR experiments were carried out using a Varian VNMRS 800 MHz 950 spectrometer equipped with triple resonance pulse field Z-axis gradient cold probe. A 951 series of two-dimensional sensitivity-enhanced <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC and three-dimensional HNCACB, HNCO and CBCA(CO)NH experiments [71,72] were performed for backbone 952 953 resonance assignments on uniformly  ${}^{13}C-{}^{15}N$ -labeled samples of E1A<sub>WT</sub>, E1A<sub>AE</sub> and 954 E1A<sub>Al</sub> at 700  $\mu$ M, 975  $\mu$ M and 850  $\mu$ M respectively. All measurements were performed 955 in 10 % D2O, 20 mM Sodium Phosphate pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT at 25 °C. 956 The HSQC used 9689.9 Hz and 1024 increments for the t1 dimension and 2106.4 Hz 957 with 128 increments for the t2. The HNCACB used 9689.9, 14075.1, and 2106.4 Hz, 958 with 1024, 128, and 32 increments for the t1, t2, and t3 dimensions, respectively. The 959 HNCO used 9689.9, 2010.4 Hz, and 2106.4 Hz with 1024, 64, and 32 increments for 960 the t1, t2, and t3 dimensions, respectively. The CBCA(CO)NH used 9689.9, 14072.6, 961 and 2106.4 Hz, with 1024, 128, and 32 increments for the t1, t2, and t3 dimensions, 962 respectively. For E1A<sub>WT</sub> 88% of non-proline backbone <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>15</sup>N nuclei, 75% of <sup>13</sup>C' 963 nuclei and 90% of  ${}^{13}C_{\alpha}$  and  ${}^{13}C_{\beta}$  of E1A nuclei were assigned (**Supplementary Data** 964 File 2). For E1A<sub>AE</sub> and E1A<sub>AL</sub> 85% of non-proline backbone <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>15</sup>N nuclei, 72% of <sup>13</sup>C' nuclei and 87% of  ${}^{13}C_{\alpha}$  and  ${}^{13}C_{\beta}$  E1A nuclei were assigned. 965

966 NMRPipe and NMRViewJ software packages were used to process and analyze
 967 all the NMR spectra [<sup>73</sup>]. Residue-specific random coil chemical shifts were generated

968 for the three sequences using the neighbor-corrected IDP chemical shift library [<sup>74</sup>]. 969 Secondary chemical shifts ( $\Delta \delta$ ), were calculated by subtracting random coil chemical 970 shifts from the experimentally obtained chemical shifts.

Two-dimensional <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>15</sup>N TROSY experiments were performed on single <sup>15</sup>Nlabeled samples of free E1A<sub>WT</sub>, E1A<sub>ΔE</sub> and E1A<sub>ΔL</sub> and on each E1A protein bound stoichiometrically to Rb (1:1 molar ratio) at 525  $\mu$ M (E1A<sub>WT</sub>), 300  $\mu$ M (E1A<sub>ΔE</sub>) and 315  $\mu$ M (E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>). The ratio between the peak intensity in the bound state (I) and the peak intensity in the free state (I<sub>0</sub>) was calculated, allowing interacting residues to be determined together with additional data.

977

#### 978 Molecular modelling of Rb:E1A conformational ensembles

979 Conformations of E1A<sub>WT</sub> bound to Rb were modeled using an extended version 980 of a recently proposed method to generate realistic conformational ensembles of IDPs 981  $[^{53}]$ . Conformational ensemble models of [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] were generated using a stochastic 982 sampling algorithm implemented in the MoMA software suite (https://moma.laas.fr). This 983 method exploits local, sequence-dependent structural information encoded in a 984 database of three-residue fragments and builds conformations incrementally sampling 985 dihedral angles values from the database, while avoiding steric clashes. In order to 986 model the double-bound [Rb:E1Awt] complex, the E2F and LxCxE motifs were 987 considered to be static, preserving the conformations extracted from experimentally 988 determined structures (2R7G and 1GUX). The 71-residue fragment between these two 989 motifs was considered as a long protein loop that adapts its conformation in order to 990 maintain the two ends rigidly positioned. Conformational sampling considering such loop-closure constraints was performed using a robotics-inspired method [54] adapted 991 992 to use dihedral angle values from the aforementioned database. For each feasible 993 conformation of the central fragment, geometrically compatible conformations of the 994short N- and C-terminal tails were sampled using the basic strategy explained in [ $^{53}$ ]. For995singly bound models [E1A\_{\Delta L}:Rb] and [E1A\_{\Delta E}:Rb], only one of the two motifs were996considered to be statically bound to Rb and the other motif behaved as the flexible linker.997The loop sampling method used to model the linker between the two binding motifs can998be used via a web server (https://moma.laas.fr/applications/LoopSampler/). Binaries999can be provided upon request.

1000

#### 1001 SAXS Experiments

1002SAXS experiments for Rb and [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] were carried out at the European1003Molecular Biology Laboratory beamline P12 of PETRAIII storage ring, using the X-ray1004wavelengths of 1.24 Å and a sample-to-detector distance of 3.0 m [ $^{75}$ ]. The scattering1005profiles measured covered a momentum transfer range of 0.0026 < s < 0.73 Å<sup>-1</sup>. SAXS

1006 data for E1A was collected at the at the SWING beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron. 1007 France, on an Eiger 4M detector with a sample-to-detector distance of 2.0 m. SAXS 1008 data were measured for Rb, E1A<sub>WT</sub> and the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex at 10° C. 1009 Concentrations used for E1A<sub>WT</sub> were 7.0, 5.6 and 4.2 mg/ml, for Rb were 4.0, 2.0, 1.0 1010 mg/ml, and for and [E1AwT:Rb] were 2.7, 1.4, and 0.7 mg/ml, in 20 mM Sodium 1011 Phosphate pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1mM DTT. The scattering patterns of the buffer 1012 solution were recorded before and after the measurement of each sample. Multiple 1013 repetitive measurements were performed to detect and correct for radiation damage. 1014 The initial data processing steps including masking and azimuthal averaging were 1015 performed using the SASFLOW version 3.0. pipeline for Rb and [E1AwT:Rb] and the program FOXTROT version 3.5.2. [<sup>76</sup>] for E1A. Final curves at each concentration were 1016 1017 derived after the averaged buffer scattering patterns were subtracted from the protein 1018 sample patterns. No sign of aggregation was observed in any of the curves. Final SAXS

1019	profiles for the systems were obtained by merging curves for the lowest and highest
1020	concentrations to correct small attractive interparticle effects observed. The SAXS
1021	profiles were analyzed using the ATSAS suite of programs version 2.8.4 [77]. The
1022	forward scattering intensity, $I(0)$ , and the radius of gyration, $R_{g}$ , were evaluated using
1023	Guinier's approximation [ <sup>78</sup> ], assuming that at very small angles ( $s < 1.3/R_g$ , the intensity
1024	can be well represented as $l(s) = l(0) \exp(-(sR_g)^2/3)$ ). The $P(r)$ distribution functions
1025	were calculated by indirect Fourier Transform using GNOM [79] applying a momentum
1026	transfer range of 0.01 < $s$ < 0.33 Å <sup>-1</sup> and 0.013 < $s$ < 0.27 Å <sup>-1</sup> for Rb and [Rb:E1A],
1027	respectively. For $E1A_{WT}$ a SEC-SAXS experiment was also performed which was
1028	processed using the program CHROMIX [80] which is a part of ATSAS 2.8.4. to obtain
1029	the SAXS profile from a highly monodisperse sample. This profile overlaid perfectly with
1030	the $E1A_{WT}$ merged curve from the three batch experiments, discarding aggregation
1031	problems.

1032 The fitting of the crystallographic structure of Rb (PDB: 3POM [81]) to the experimental SAXS curve was performed with FOXS [ $^{82}$ , $^{83}$ ]. An optimal fit ( $\chi^2$ =0.86) was 1033 1034 obtained after modelling the missing parts (loops, N- and C-termini) and a subsequent 1035 refinement with the program AllosMod-FoXS [84]. SAXS data measured for [Rb:E1A] were analyzed with the Ensemble Optimization Method (EOM) [<sup>52</sup>,<sup>85</sup>]. Briefly, theoretical 1036 1037 SAXS profiles of the 10250 structures of the complex were computed with CRYSOL [86]. 1038 200 different sub-ensembles of 20 or 50 conformations collectively describing the 1039 experimental curve were collected with EOM and analyzed in terms of  $R_g$  distributions. 1040 The experimental SAXS data of [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex is compatible with three distinct 1041 scenarios: a 100% doubly-bound ensemble where the linker is highly expanded, a 100% 1042 singly-bound ensemble where the linker is highly compact and thirdly, an ensemble with

1043a combination of 76% doubly bound and :24% singly-bound species, which resulted1044from the linear combination of a curve representing the ensemble average of all singly-1045and all doubly-bound conformations. However, thermodynamic ( $K_D$  for  $E1A_{WT}$ ) data1046strongly argue against the last two scenarios as it indicates an extremely low expected1047population of the singly-bound forms at any concentration of the complex used in the1048SAXS experiments.

- 1049
- 1050

#### Hydrodynamic radii for generated conformations

1051 Hydrodynamic radii were calculated using the program HydroPro (version 10) [<sup>87,88</sup>]. HydroPro was run on 1000 models selected by EOM for the doubly-bound 1052 1053 conformations and 1000 randomly selected conformations of N- and C-terminal bound 1054 conformations. The calculations were done at temperatures of 20 and 25 °C with 1055 corresponding solvent viscosities of 0.01 and 0.009 poise, respectively. The values of 1056 atomic element radius (AER), Molecular Weight, Partial Specific Volume and Solvent Density were set to 2.9 Å, 54590 Da, 0.702 cm<sup>3</sup>/g and 1.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. These 1057 1058 values have no associated error.

- 1059
- 1060

#### All-atom simulations of E1A Linker sequences

1061 All-atom simulations were run using the CAMPARI simulation engine (V2) 1062 Version 2.0 (http://campari.sourceforge.net) and ABSINTH implicit solvent model ABS-OPLS3.2 [<sup>89,90</sup>]. All simulations were run at 320 K; while this is a slightly elevated 1063 1064 temperature compared to the experimental temperature, none of the terms the 1065 Hamiltonian lacks temperature dependence such that this slightly high temperature 1066 serves to improve sampling quality in a uniform way across all simulations. This 1067 approach has been leveraged to great effect in previous studies and is especially 1068 convenient in the case of simulating many different sequences that span a range of sequence properties and lengths [<sup>8</sup>]. A collection of Monte Carlo moves was used to
 fully sample conformational space as previously described [<sup>91,92,13</sup>].

1071 For all simulations of natural sequences, 15 independent simulations were run 1072 per sequence for a total of 90K conformations per sequence across 27 different 1073 sequences (405 independent simulations, 5.25 x10<sup>8</sup> Monte Carlo steps per sequence). 1074 Simulations were performed in 15 mM NaCl in a simulation droplet size sufficiently large 1075 for each sequence, calibrated in a length dependent manner. Simulations were analyzed 1076 using the MDTraj package version 1.9.5 [93] and SOURSOP version 0.1.3 1077 (https://soursop.readthedocs.io/). Sequence analysis was performed using the local CIDER software package [94] with all parameters reported in the Source Data for 1078 1079 Extended Data Figure 7. Normalized end-to-end distance was calculated as the 1080 absolute end-to-end distance divided by the end-to-end distance expected for an 1081 equivalently long Gaussian chain. Motif-linker-motif simulations were performed in a 1082 manner analogous to the linker-only motifs. Each independent simulation was run for 86 1083 x 10<sup>6</sup> steps, with 6 x10<sup>6</sup> steps discarded as equilibration and conformations saved every 1084 50,000 steps. Over 10 independent replicas, this approach generates ensembles of 1085 16,000 conformations per sequence. To calculate the hydrodynamic radius we used the 1086 approach of Nygaard et al. to convert the radius of gyration into the hydrodynamic radius 1087 [<sup>95</sup>]. Code for this conversion is provided in the supporting GitHub repository.

1088

1089

#### Length titration Simulations

1090The linker from HF\_HAdV40 was used to determine the overall amino acid1091composition and generate random sequences across a range of lengths that1092recapitulated this composition. Specifically, for each length (45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75)1093twenty random sequences were generated for a total of 140 randomly generated

1094sequences. Each sequence was simulated under equivalent simulation conditions for1095 $35 \times 10^9$  simulation steps, with the goal of elucidating the general relationship between1096sequence length and end-to-end distance for an arbitrary sequence of the composition1097associated with HF\_HAdV40. The mean end-to-end distance for the collection of1098sequences at a given length was determined, such that the mean value is a double1099average over both conformational space and sequence space.

1100

#### 1101 WLC modelling

The worm like chain (WLC) model: A worm like chain (WLC) model [22] was used 1102 1103 to describe the end-to-end probability density distribution function of the E1A linker and 1104 estimate the effective concentration term ( $C_{eff}$ ) used in the tethering model (**Fig. 1**. 1105 Model A and Fig. 3). In this model, the disordered linker behaves as a random polymer 1106 chain whose dimensions depend on the persistence length  $(L_{\rho})$ , which represents the 1107 chain stiffness, or the length it takes for the chain motions to become uncorrelated and 1108 on the contour length ( $L_c$ ), which is the total length of the chain. For long peptides,  $L_p$ 1109 assumes a standard value of 3Å and  $L_c$  is  $L_c = N_{res} * b$ , where  $N_{res}$  is the number of linker 1110 residues and b is the average unit size of one amino acid (3.8 Å)  $[^{23}]$ . Under this model, 1111 the probability density function p(r) is defined by:

1112 
$$p(r) = 4\pi r^2 \left(\frac{3}{4\pi L_p L_c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-3r^2}{4L_p L_c}\right) \zeta(r, L_p, L_c)$$
(3)

1113 Where p(r) is a function of distance r and depends on  $L_p$  and  $L_c$ . The last term in 1114 the equation is expanded in  $[^{22},^{23}]$ . The end-to-end probability density function can be 1115 related to the effective concentration in the bound state when the linker is restrained to 1116 a fixed distance between binding sites,  $r_o$   $[^{22}]$ . In this case, the effective concentration 1117  $C_{eff}$  is defined by:

$$C_{\rm eff} = \frac{p(r_0)}{4\pi r^2} \frac{10^{27} Å^3 l^{-1}}{N_A}$$
(4)

1119 Where  $N_A$  is Avogadro's number and  $(r_0)$  is the distance separating the binding 1120 sites obtained from the X-ray structure of the complex (49 Å calculated from PDB: 2R7G 1121 [<sup>40</sup>] and 1GUX [<sup>41</sup>]). Multiplying Eq. (4) by 10<sup>3</sup> yields  $C_{eff}$  in millimolar units.

1122

#### 1123 Calculation of experimental and predicted C<sub>eff</sub> values for the E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb interaction

1124 <u>Experimental  $C_{eff}$  values</u>: In Model A the global dissociation constant is calculated as: 1125  $K_G = K_1^* K_2^* C_{eff}$  (Fig. 1f) where  $K_G$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are equilibrium association constants (K =

 $1/K_D$ ). Therefore, this relationship can be expressed equivalently as  $K_D$  = 1126  $K_{D,E2F} * K_{D,LxCxE} * C_{eff}^{-1}$ . Here,  $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  are the equilibrium dissociation constants 1127 1128 of the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs respectively (reported in **Supplementary Data Table** 1129 1) and is the equilibrium dissociation constant for E1A<sub>WT</sub> (reported in **Supplementary** 1130 **Data Table 1**). The condition  $K_1 = K_1$  and  $K_2 = K_2$  (no allosteric coupling between sites) 1131 was met (Extended Data Fig. 5 and Supplementary Data Table 7). Therefore, the 1132 experimentally derived C<sub>eff</sub> was calculated from the measured binding constants as: C<sub>eff</sub> 1133  $= (K_{D.E2F} * K_{D.LxCxE}) / K_D$ (Fig. 3a).

1134Predicted  $C_{eff}$  values: The  $C_{eff}$  value predicted from the WLC model (Fig. 3a) was1135obtained by applying Eq. [4] with the designated  $L_p$  parameter (standard model  $L_P = 3$ Å1136and b = 3.8Å), using a linker length of 71 residues for HAdV5 E1A. The separation1137between binding sites,  $r_0$ , was 49 Å (from PDB:1GUX and PDB:2R7G).

1138

#### 1139 Calculation of predicted global binding affinity for grafted E1A linkers

1140We predicted the  $K_D$  values expected for each of the grafted linker variants of1141**Figure 4c,d** under a sequence-independent WLC model or accounting for sequence-

1142 dependent changes in the persistence length. We calculated  $K_D$  for E1A<sub>WT</sub> as  $K_D$  = 1143  $(K_{D,E2F} * K_{D,LxCxE})/C_{eff}$ , wher  $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  are the  $K_D$  values for the E1A<sub>E2F</sub> and 1144 E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> motifs of E1A<sub>WT</sub> (reported in **Supplementary Data Table 1**) and  $C_{eff}$  was 1145 calculated using the WLC model as described below. Competition experiments for 1146 E1A<sub>WT</sub> typically yield  $K_D$  values ~3-fold higher than those obtained by direct titration 1147 (Supplementary Data Tables 1 vs 4). To correct for this effect, the predicted  $K_D$  values 1148 were corrected by a factor of three. Since the only element changing for each grafted 1149 variant in the grafting experiment is the linker (i.e. the  $C_{eff}$  value), we calculated  $K_{D,VARIANT}$ 1150 as  $K_{D,VARIANT} = K_{DE1AWT}/(C_{eff}Ratio)$ , where  $C_{eff}Ratio = C_{eff,VARIANT}/C_{eff,E1AWT}$ . All predicted 1151  $C_{eff}$ ,  $L_{pSim}$  and  $K_{D}$  values for the grafting experiment are reported in the **Source Data** 1152 Files for Figure 5 and Extended Data Figure 10. The C<sub>eff</sub> values were calculated as 1153 follows:

1154WLC-Lp=3 model: For the standard assumption of a sequence-independent model1155(WLC-Lp=3) we calculated the  $C_{eff}$  function as a function of linker length ( $C_{eff}$  (L))using1156equations (3) and (4) with a standard value for the persistence length parameter ( $L_p$  =11573). We calculated the expected  $K_D$  as a function of linker length as  $K_D$  =1158( $K_{D,E2F}^*K_{D,LxCxE}$ )/ $C_{eff(L)}$  (Straight line, Fig. 4d).

1159 WLC-LpSim model: For the sequence-dependent model (WLC-LpSim) we calculated 1160  $C_{eff}$  for each linker using equations (3) and (4) applying the specific number of residues 1161  $(N_{res})$  of each linker and an individual sequence-dependent  $L_p$  value for each linker 1162  $(L_p Sim)$ , which was obtained from the simulations.  $L_p Sim$  values were calculated from 1163 the average end-to-end distance of each simulated ensemble using the equation  $< r^2 > =$  $2^*L_{p^*}L_c$ , where  $L_c = N_{res}^*b$  and b takes the value 3.8 Å. This equation is an approximation 1164 1165 for the value of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  for a worm like chain in the case where the contour length of the chain is much larger than its persistence length  $(L_c >> L_p)$  [<sup>23</sup>]. 1166

#### 1168 Calculation of predicted global binding affinity for a large family of E1A linkers

1169The WLC model was used to estimate the  $C_{eff}$  values and global Rb binding affinities of1170a collection of 110 natural linker sequences of different length changing the length value1171for each linker and keeping other parameters constant. All values are reported in the1172Source Data File for Figure 5 and Extended Data Figure 10.

1173Dataset: A previously reported alignment and phylogenetic tree of 116 Mastadenovirus1174E1A sequences [ $^{56,58}$ ] was used to identify the E2F and LxCxE motifs as described  $^{58}$ ,1175collecting 110 sequences in which both motifs were present (Supplementary Data File11761). For all sequences, the length of the linker region between both motifs was recorded.1177Individual motif binding affinities,  $C_{eff}$  values and E1A global affinity ( $K_{D,E1A}$ ) were1178calculated as explained below (Source Data File for Figure 5 and Extended Data1179Figure 10).

1180Calculation of E1A binding affinity: The global binding affinity  $K_{D,E1A}$  (Extended Data1181Figure 10) was calculated as  $K_{D,E1A} = (K_{D,E2F} * K_{D,LxCxE})/C_{eff}$ , where  $C_{eff}$  is the  $C_{eff}$  value1182predicted under a naïve or sequence-dependent assumption (see details below) and1183 $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  are the predicted binding affinites of each motif calculated using1184FoldX:

1185Prediction of Motif binding affinities using FoldX:<br/>To estimate the binding affinity of1186individual E2F and LxCxE motifs ( $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$ ) present in each sequence, FoldX1187v5.0 [96] was used to build substitution matrices for all 20 amino acids at each position1188(Source Data File for Extended Data Figure 10). Briefly, given a structural complex1189the FoldX algorithm assesses the change in binding free energy produced by mutating1190each position of the motif for each one of the 20 amino acids. For the E2F matrix, the1191structure of the HAdV5 E1A<sub>E2F</sub> motif in complex with Rb (PDB: 2R7G) was used as input.

1192 For the LxCxE matrix, the structure used as input was a model of the HAdV5 E1A<sub>LxCxE</sub> 1193 motif in complex with Rb (Supplementary Data File 3), built using FlexPepDock [97] 1194 and the structure of the HPV E7 LxCxE motif bound to Rb (PDB: 1GUX). The total 1195 change in binding free energy with respect to the wild type sequence ( $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{FoldX}}$ ) was 1196 calculated by adding up the free energy terms for each residue at each matrix position 1197 (Source Data File for Extended Data Figure 10). The predicted equilibrium 1198 dissociation constant of the E2F and LxCxE motifs for each sequence ( $K_D SEQ$ ) was 1199 calculated as:

1200 
$$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{FoldX}} = \Delta G_{\text{SEQ}} - \Delta G_{\text{WT}} = \text{RTln}(K_{\text{D SEQ}}) - \text{RTln}(K_{\text{D WT}})$$
(5)

$$K_{D SEQ} = \frac{K_{D WT}}{e^{(-\Delta\Delta G_{FoldX}/RT)}}$$
(6)

1202 Where  $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{FoldX}}$  is the total predicted change in binding energy calculated using 1203 FoldX, *RT* is 0.582 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $K_{D WT}$  is the experimentally measured binding affinity of 1204 the sequence (HAdV5 E1A) present in the model structure ( $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  measured 1205 in this work, **Supplementary Data Table 1**).

1206Prediction of  $C_{eff}$  values under the naïve WLC model:<br/>The  $C_{eff}$  value was calculated for1207the collection of 110 natural E1A linkers using Equations (3) and (4) with  $L_p = 3$  Å ( $L_p$ 1208WLC, Fig. 5a) and the specific length (number of residues) of each linker, which defines1209 $L_c$ .

## 1210 Prediction of $C_{eff}$ values using a WLC model with sequence-dependent $L_p$ parameters:

For the subset of 27 natural E1A linkers used in all-atom simulations (**Fig. 4a**) we calculated sequence-specific  $L_p$  values from all atom simulations ( $L_p$ Sim) in order to represent sequence-dependent changes in chain expansion. The details of these calculations are explained under the Methods section "*Calculation of predicted global binding affinity for grafted E1A linkers"*. New  $C_{eff}$  values were derived using the same 1216 parameters described above, but replacing the standard  $L_p$  value by the  $L_{p Sim}$  value. The

1217

#### Lp Sim values are reported in the Source Data File for Extended Data Figure 10.

- 1218 Statistical analysis. We used bootstrapping [<sup>98</sup>] to generate 99% confidence 1219 intervals (CI) for  $K_{D,E2F}$ ,  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  and  $K_{D,E1A}$  average values, and compared the lower and 1220 upper end points against the value of  $K_{D,E2F2}$  (1 10<sup>-9</sup> M). The lower bound of the 99% CI 1221 for  $K_{D,E2F}$  and  $K_{D,LxCxE}$  is higher than  $K_{D,E2F2}$  and the upper bound of the 99% CI for all  $K_{D,E1A}$  are lower than  $K_{D,E2F2}$ . We also used permutation tests [98] to assess the null 1222 1223 hypothesis that the  $C_{eff}$ ,  $L_p$  and average  $K_p$  average values did not differ between all 1224 pairs of groups. In order to control for the false discovery rate, the p-values were 1225 corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg [99] correction for multiple comparisons.
- 1226Calculations of disorder propensity and conservation: All calculations were1227performed on the dataset from Supplementary Data File 1, using the methods1228described in [58]. For disorder propensity we recorded the mean IUPRED value  $\pm$  SD per1229position using IUPRED 2a [100] and for residue conservation we recorded the information1230content (IC) per position.
- 1231

#### 1232 Sequence conservation and Evolutionary Scores

1233 We collected 77 mammalian orthologous sequences of the Retinoblastoma protein with no 1234 unassigned residues within the pocket domain from the Ensembl Database [<sup>101</sup>]. 1235 Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE v3.8.1551 and manually curated according to 1236 structural information. The evolutionary conservation scores were calculated with the Consurf 2016 webserver [<sup>102</sup>] using the E7-Rb complex (PDB: 1GUX) as the structural 1237 1238 model. The sequence analyses and alignment graphics were performed using Jalview v2.11 [<sup>103</sup>]. The alignment was coloured according to residue identity and conservation 1239 scores calculated according to [<sup>104</sup>]. We analyzed the conservation of residues making up 1240

the E2F and LxCxE motif binding sites according to previously reported contacts [<sup>40,41</sup>].
 Results are presented in Supplementary Fig. 1.

1243

#### 1244 Structural Modeling

1245 The structures of the human Rb (RbAB pocket domain) bound to E1A (PDB: 2R7G) and 1246 E7 (PDB: 1GUX), and the structure from the human paralogue p107 pocket domain (PDB: 1247 4YOZ) were collected from the protein data bank. Structural modeling of the human 1248 paralogue p130, and the retinoblastoma pocket domains from Macaque (Macaca mulatta), 1249 Chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), Dog (Canis lupus familiaris), Microbat (Myotis lucifugus), 1250 Sheep (Ovis aries), Pig (Sus scrofa), Cow (Bos taurus), Horse (Equus caballus) and Tree shrew (Tupaia belangeri) were obtained by using Alphafold v2.0 [105] implemented in 1251 1252 ColabFold v1.0 [<sup>106</sup>]. The template multiple sequence alignments were generated using MMseqs2 [<sup>107</sup>] implemented within ColabFold v1.0. Template information and the predicted 1253 1254 structure relaxation using amber force fields, were included. The distance between the E2F 1255 and LxCxE binding sites were measured between the alpha carbons of the C-terminal 1256 anchor site of the E2F cleft and the N-terminal anchor site of the LxCxE cleft. Molecular graphics and analyses were performed with UCSF Chimera v1.5 [<sup>108</sup>]. Results are 1257 1258 presented in Extended Data Fig. 9.

1259

#### 1260Data availability

SAXS raw data for Rb, E1A<sub>WT</sub> and the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] complex has been deposited in SASDB
(https://www.sasbdb.org) with codes SASDNK6 (Rb 1mg/ml), SASDNL6 (Rb 2mg/ml),
SASDNM6 (Rb 4mg/ml), SASDNN6 (E1A<sub>WT</sub> 4.2mg/ml), SASDNP6 (E1A<sub>WT</sub> 5.6mg/ml),
SASDNQ6 (E1A<sub>WT</sub> 7.0mg/ml), SASDNR6 ([E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] 0.7mg/ml), SASDNS6 ([E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb]
1.4mg/ml), SASDNT6 ([E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] 2.7mg/ml), SASDNU6 ([E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] merged data),
SASDNV6 (E1A<sub>WT</sub>, SEC-SAXS). Refined conformational ensemble models for E1A<sub>WT</sub> and

1267 [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb] have been deposited in the Protein Ensemble Database 1268 (https://proteinensemble.org/P03255) with codes PED00175 (E1A<sub>WT</sub>) and PED00174 1269 ([E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb]). Unfiltered conformational ensembles for the [E1A<sub>WT</sub>:Rb], [E1A<sub>ΔL</sub>:Rb] and 1270 [E1A<sub>ΔE</sub>:Rb] complexes are available at (https://moma.laas.fr/data/) under the description 1271 "Conformational ensemble models of the IDP E1A bound to Rb protein". NMR assignments of backbone resonances for E1A<sub>WT</sub>, E1A<sub> $\Delta E$ </sub> and E1A<sub> $\Delta L$ </sub> are provided in Supplementary Data 1272 1273 File 2. Trajectories for all E1A linker ensembles are provided at: Zenodo 1274 (https://zenodo.org/record/6332925), and trajectory analysis results are provided at: 1275 https://github.com/holehouse-

lab/supportingdata/tree/master/2021/Gonzalez\_Foutel\_2021. PDB codes used in data
analysis and prediction are: PDB:1GUX, PDB:3POM, PDB:2R7G, PDB:4YOZ. Raw data
underlying Main Figures 1-5 and Extended Data Figures 1, 4, 7 and 10 are available as
Source Data Files.

1280

#### 1281 Code Availability

1282The loop sampling method used to model the linker between the two binding motifs can be1283used via a web server (https://moma.laas.fr/applications/LoopSampler/), and binaries can1284be provided upon request. All code used to analyze the E1A linker trajectories are provided1285at1286lab/supportingdata/tree/master/2021/Gonzalez\_Foutel\_2021.

1288 **REFERENCES** 

1289

1290 67. Chemes, L. B., Noval, M. G., Sanchez, I. E. & de Prat-Gay, G. Folding of a cyclin box: 1291 linking multitarget binding to marginal stability, oligomerization, and aggregation of the 1292 retinoblastoma tumor suppressor AB pocket domain. J Biol Chem 288, 18923–18938 1293 (2013). 1294 Uversky, V. N. What does it mean to be natively unfolded? Eur J Biochem 269, 2–12 68. 1295 (2002). 1296 Hofmann, H. et al. Polymer scaling laws of unfolded and intrinsically disordered proteins 69. 1297 quantified with single-molecule spectroscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109. 16155-1298 16160 (2012). 1299 70. Kuzmic, P., Moss, M. L., Kofron, J. L. & Rich, D. H. Fluorescence displacement method 1300 for the determination of receptor-ligand binding constants. Anal Biochem 205, 65-69 1301 (1992). 1302 71. Muhandiram, D. R. & Kay, L. E. Gradient-Enhanced Triple-Resonance Three-1303 Dimensional NMR Experiments with Improved Sensitivity. Journal of Magnetic 1304 Resonance, Series B 103, 203–216 (1994). 1305 72. Wittekind, M. & Mueller, L. HNCACB, a High-Sensitivity 3D NMR Experiment to Correlate 1306 Amide-Proton and Nitrogen Resonances with the Alpha- and Beta-Carbon Resonances in 1307 Proteins. Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series B 101, 201–205 (1993). 1308 73. Johnson R.A., B. A. R.; B. NMRView: a computer program for the visualization and 1309 analysis of NMR data. J. Biomol. NMR 4, 603-614 (1994). 1310 74. Tamiola, K., Acar, B. & Mulder, F. A. Sequence-specific random coil chemical shifts of 1311 intrinsically disordered proteins. J Am Chem Soc 132, 18000–18003 (2010).

131275.Blanchet, C. E. *et al.* Versatile sample environments and automation for biological1313solution X-ray scattering experiments at the P12 beamline (PETRA III, DESY). *J Appl* 

1314 Crystallogr **48**, 431–443 (2015).

- 1315 76. Girardot, R., Viguier, G., Pérez, J. & Ounsy, M. M. FOXTROT: A JAVA-based application
- 1316 to reduce and analyse SAXS and WAXS piles of 2D data at synchrotron SOLEIL,
- 1317 Synchrotron Soleil, Saint-Aubin, France, canSAS-VIII, Apr. 14–16, J-PARC, Tokai,

1318 Japan. (2015).

- 1319 77. Franke, D. *et al.* ATSAS 2.8: a comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle
- 1320 scattering from macromolecular solutions. *J Appl Crystallogr* **50**, 1212–1225 (2017).
- 1321 78. Guinier, A. Diffraction of x-rays of very small angles-application to the study of

1322 ultramicroscopic phenomenon. Ann. Phys **12**, 161–237 (1939).

- 1323 79. Svergun Semenyuk, A. V.; Feigin, L.A., D. I. Small-angle-scattering-data treatment by the 1324 regularization method. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr.* **44**, 244–250 (1988).
- 1325 80. Panjkovich, A. & Svergun, D. I. CHROMIXS: automatic and interactive analysis of
- chromatography-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering data. *Bioinformatics* 34, 1944–
  1327 1946 (2018).
- Balog, E. R., Burke, J. R., Hura, G. L. & Rubin, S. M. Crystal structure of the unliganded
  retinoblastoma protein pocket domain. *Proteins* **79**, 2010–2014 (2011).
- Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Hammel, M., Tainer, J. A. & Sali, A. Accurate SAXS profile
  computation and its assessment by contrast variation experiments. *Biophys J* 105, 962–
  974 (2013).
- 1333 83. Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Hammel, M., Tainer, J. A. & Sali, A. FoXS, FoXSDock and
- 1334 MultiFoXS: Single-state and multi-state structural modeling of proteins and their
- 1335 complexes based on SAXS profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W424-9 (2016).
- 1336 84. Weinkam, P., Pons, J. & Sali, A. Structure-based model of allostery predicts coupling
- 1337 between distant sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 4875–4880 (2012).

1338	85.	Tria, G., Mertens, H. D., Kachala, M. & Svergun, D. I. Advanced ensemble modelling of
1339		flexible macromolecules using X-ray solution scattering. IUCrJ 2, 207–217 (2015).

- 1340 86. Svergun Barberato, C.; Koch, M.H.J., D. CRYSOL a Program to Evaluate X-ray Solution
- Scattering of Biological Macromolecules from Atomic Coordinates. *J. Appl. Crystallogr* 28,
  768–773 (1995).
- 1343 87. Garcia De La Torre, J., Huertas, M. L. & Carrasco, B. Calculation of hydrodynamic
- properties of globular proteins from their atomic-level structure. *Biophys J* 78, 719–730
  (2000).
- 1346 88. Ortega, A., Amoros, D. & Garcia de la Torre, J. Prediction of hydrodynamic and other
- solution properties of rigid proteins from atomic- and residue-level models. *Biophys J* 101,
  892–898 (2011).
- 1349 89. Vitalis, A. & Pappu, R. V. ABSINTH: a new continuum solvation model for simulations of
  1350 polypeptides in aqueous solutions. *J Comput Chem* **30**, 673–699 (2009).
- 1351 90. Vitalis, A. & Pappu, R. V. Methods for Monte Carlo simulations of biomacromolecules.
  1352 Annu Rep Comput Chem 5, 49–76 (2009).
- 1353 91. Kozlov, A. G. et al. Intrinsically disordered C-terminal tails of E. coli single-stranded DNA
- binding protein regulate cooperative binding to single-stranded DNA. *J Mol Biol* 427, 763–
  774 (2015).
- 1356 92. Metskas, L. A. & Rhoades, E. Conformation and Dynamics of the Troponin I C-Terminal
- 1357 Domain: Combining Single-Molecule and Computational Approaches for a Disordered
- 1358 Protein Region. *J Am Chem Soc* **137**, 11962–11969 (2015).
- 1359 93. McGibbon, R. T. *et al.* MDTraj: A Modern Open Library for the Analysis of Molecular
- 1360 Dynamics Trajectories. *Biophys J* **109**, 1528–1532 (2015).
- 1361 94. Holehouse, A. S., Das, R. K., Ahad, J. N., Richardson, M. O. & Pappu, R. V. CIDER:
- 1362 Resources to Analyze Sequence-Ensemble Relationships of Intrinsically Disordered
- 1363 Proteins. *Biophys J* **112**, 16–21 (2017).

- 1364 95. Nygaard, M., Kragelund, B. B., Papaleo, E. & Lindorff-Larsen, K. An Efficient Method for
  1365 Estimating the Hydrodynamic Radius of Disordered Protein Conformations. *Biophys J*1366 **113**, 550–557 (2017).
- 1367 96. Schymkowitz, J. *et al.* The FoldX web server: an online force field. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33,
  1368 W382-8 (2005).
- 1369 97. London, N., Raveh, B., Cohen, E., Fathi, G. & Schueler-Furman, O. Rosetta
- 1370 FlexPepDock web server--high resolution modeling of peptide-protein interactions.

1371 *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W249-53 (2011).

- 1372 98. Good, P. *Permutation, Parametric, and Bootstrap Tests of Hypotheses*. (Springer-Verlag
  1373 New York, 2005). doi:10.1007/b138696.
- 1374 99. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: a Practical and
- Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*(*Methodological*) 57, 289–300 (1995).
- 1377 100. Mészáros, B., Erdos, G. & Dosztányi, Z. IUPred2A: context-dependent prediction of
- 1378 protein disorder as a function of redox state and protein binding. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**,
- 1379 W329–W337 (2018).
- 1380 101. Howe, K. L. *et al.* Ensembl 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D884–D891 (2021).
- 1381 102. Ashkenazy, H. *et al.* ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize
- evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W344-50 (2016).
- 1383 103. Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview
- Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1189–1191 (2009).
- 1386 104. Livingstone, C. D. & Barton, G. J. Protein sequence alignments: a strategy for the
- hierarchical analysis of residue conservation. *Comput Appl Biosci* **9**, 745–756 (1993).
- 1388 105. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596,
  1389 583–589 (2021).

- 1390 106. Mirdita, M. et al. ColabFold Making protein folding accessible to all. bioRxiv
- 1391 2021.08.15.456425 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.08.15.456425.
- 1392 107. Mirdita, M., Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 desktop and local web server app for
- 1393 fast, interactive sequence searches. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 2856–2858 (2019).
- 1394 108. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and
- 1395 analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).