

Directional silicon nano-antennas for quantum emitter control designed by evolutionary optimization

Romain Hernandez, Peter R. Wiecha, Jean-Marie Poumirol, Gonzague Agez, Arnaud Arbouet, Laurence Ressier, Vincent Paillard, Aurelien Cuche

► To cite this version:

Romain Hernandez, Peter R. Wiecha, Jean-Marie Poumirol, Gonzague Agez, Arnaud Arbouet, et al.. Directional silicon nano-antennas for quantum emitter control designed by evolutionary optimization. Journal of the Optical Society of America B, 2024, 41 (2), pp.A108-A115. 10.1364/JOSAB.506085 . hal-04240574

HAL Id: hal-04240574 https://laas.hal.science/hal-04240574v1

Submitted on 19 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Directional silicon nano-antennas for quantum emitter control designed by evolutionary optimization

ROMAIN HERNANDEZ^{1,2}, PETER R. WIECHA^{3,*}, JEAN-MARIE POUMIROL¹, GONZAGUE AGEZ¹, ARNAUD **ARBOUET¹, LAURENCE RESSIER², VINCENT PAILLARD¹, AND AURÉLIEN CUCHE^{1,+}**

¹CEMES, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

²LPCNO, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INSA, UPS, Toulouse, France

³LAAS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

*Corresponding author: pwiecha@laas.fr

+ Corresponding author: aurelien.cuche@cemes.fr

Compiled March 19, 2024

We optimize silicon nano-antennas to enhance and direct the emission of local quantum sources. We combine global evolutionary optimization (EO) with frequency domain electrodynamical simulations, and compare design strategies based on resonant and non-resonant building blocks. Specifically, we investigate the performance of models with different degrees of freedom but comparable amount of available material. We find that simpler geometric models allow significantly faster convergence of the optimizer, which, expectedly, comes at the cost of a reduced optical performance. We finally analyze the physical mechanisms underlying the directional emission that also comes with an emission rate enhancement, and find a surprising robustness against perturbations of the source emitter location. This makes the structures highly interesting for actual nano-fabrication. We believe that optimized, all-dielectric silicon nano-antennas have high potential for genuine breakthroughs in a multitude of applications in nanophotonics and quantum technologies.

21

22

23

24

25

26

31

32

34

35

36

37

38

© 2024 Optica Publishing Group

http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/ao.XX.XXXXX

Keywords: Quantum light-source, Directional dielectric 19 1 nano-antenna, Silicon nano-structures, Evolutionary algo-2 rithms, Inverse design, Geometry optimization. 3

1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of quantum technologies, on-chip and long dis-5 tance secured communications are of significant importance. 6 Critical aspects for these technologies are single photon source 7 efficiency and brightness, photon indistinguishability, or minia-8 turization issues, which are all subject of intensive research efforts worldwide [1–6]. Typical single photon sources are usu-10 ally in the form of punctual solid-state quantum dots [7], de-11 fects in solids [8, 9], defects in two-dimensional materials [10], 12 or carbon nanotubes [11]. 13

In order to enhance and tailor the emission properties of 14 these quantum light sources, several approaches have been 15 considered by the optics community. A strategy that recently 16 gained significant research interest is the near-field coupling 17 of those quantum emitters to optically resonant nanostructures 18

[12–15]. Similar to antennas in the radio frequency (RF) regime 20 [16], optical nano-antennas allow not only to enhance the decay rate via the Purcell effect [17, 18], but can also be used to control the spatial emission pattern of single photon sources [19-22]. Depending on the materials and the structure geometry, specific classical or quantum optical responses can be designed [23, 24]. The nano-antennas can be plasmonic (usually made of gold or other noble metals) [25-27], enabling very strong field enhancement and therefore very high Purcell factors. However, since their high dissipative losses act countervailing [28, 29], an alternative is offered by high refractive index dielectric nanostructures [30]. Those structures support Mie-type resonances in the visible and near-infrared spectral window, that enable moderately strong field confinements without significant dissipation, especially when comparing to plasmonic nanostructures [30-32].

The adequate design of nano-antennas is a fundamentally decisive step in order to reach optimal optical performances. Conventional structure design is usually based on intuitive considerations, often accompanied by expensive iterations of ex-

perimental characterization and design fine-tuning. Such ap-39 proach strongly depends on experience and may miss optimum 40 solutions. In the recent past, growing interest was attracted 41 by global optimization methods that are increasingly applied 42 to the field of nanophotonics [33], 2D materials [34], and quan-43 44 tum optics [35]. In this context, evolutionary optimization (EO) and specifically the differential evolution (DE) algorithm [36] 45 has proven to be an easy to use, efficient and robust algorithm, 46 capable to solve various design problems with moderate num-47 bers of degrees of freedom, typical for various geometry opti-48 mizations [18, 22, 37–40]. Evolutionary optimization algorithms 49 mimic natural selection processes by transforming a large set of 50 geometries (a population) through cycles of reproduction, evalu-51 ation and selection. The best candidate of the population after 52 a large number of iterations turns out to be often remarkably 53 54 close to the global optimum solution [40, 41]. Therefore, EO is an excellent method for nanophotonics geometry optimization 55 and inverse design. 56

In this work, we numerically design all-dielectric silicon 57 nano-antennas that enhance single photon emission and con-58 trol the directionality of a dipolar quantum source in the visi-59 ble spectral range. To this end we couple DE optimization to 60 the full-field electrodynamical simulations toolkit pyGDM [42]. 61 The nano-antennas are constructed by several individual build-62 ing blocks made of silicon (Si) since this material exhibits a high 63 refractive index and minimizes dissipative losses in the visible 64 and infrared spectra, compared to plasmonic metals. A further, 65 important asset of Si is its compatibility with mass-fabrication 66 67 ready complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) technology. 68

We explore three different design models. One is based on 100 69 resonant building blocks, the other two on non-resonant ele- 101 70 mentary units with different sizes. With a comparable quan- 102 71 tity of Si material, the geometric models have systematically 103 72 increasing degrees of freedom. For all three models we com- 104 73 pare the optimized designs as a function of the orientation of 105 74 the dipole transition moment of the quantum source. Starting 106 75 with random geometrical arrangements of the building blocks, 107 76 the EO algorithm converges consistently and reproducibly to 108 77 geometries that induce emission rate enhancement and direc- 109 78 tional emission. Finally, we analyze the working principles 110 79 of the inverse designed nano-antennas and identify functional 80 111 sub-units. Altogether, our results show that optimization via 81 112 differential evolution offers an adequate tool to identify effi- 113 82 cient solutions for the design of dielectric nano-antennas. 114 83

2. PROBLEM 84

Our goal is to conceive geometries of planar Si nanostructures, 118 85 that allow directional emission of light from an oscillating dipo- 119 86 lar source. 87

Problem configuration: The principal parameterization of 121 88 the geometry is illustrated in figure 1a. The nano-antennas 122 89 are composed of a restrained number of Si blocks (either cubic 123 90 or cuboidal) of identical size, and fixed height (H = 100 nm), 91 124 placed within an area of $1x1 \,\mu\text{m}^2$. The height is set to 100 nm 125 92 since this allows to tune resonances over the visible spectrum, 126 93 while keeping the lateral nanostructure dimensions sufficiently 127 large for accurate fabrication via electron-beam lithography 128 95 [38]. The Si nano-antennas are positioned on a glass substrate 129 96 97 $(n_s = 1.45)$, and surrounded by a medium of effective index 130 $n_{\rm env} = 1.33$. The optimization target parameters are the lateral 131 98 positions (x_i, y_i) of the Si blocks. Partially overlapping blocks ¹³² 99



Fig. 1. a) Schematics of the dielectric nano-antenna model, made of several silicon nanoblocks, coupled to a single-photon emitter at the top surface height (red bright dot at the center). The inset illustrates the evolutionary optimization cycle. b) Typical convergence curve of the low-degree of freedom configuration, here of the nano-rod based optimization with X-oriented emitter. The inset shows the positional degrees of freedom available to the optimization algorithm for an antenna made of five nano-rods. The red arrow at the center represents the dipolar emitter. c) Typical convergence curve of the high degree of freedom cases. Specifically is shown convergence of the nano-pillar based optimization with X-oriented emitter. The inset illustrates the cost function for the optimization, which is the ratio R_{dir} of the emitted intensity through the red solid angle (I_{dir}) divided by the emission through the rest of the solid angle (Irest).

are fused. The dipolar source is placed at the center of our coordinate system (x = 0, y = 0) at a height of z = 105 nm, just above the top surface of the planar nano-antenna.

We chose the emitting vacuum wavelength to be λ_0 = 637 nm, corresponding to the energy of the zero-phonon line of the negatively charged nitrogen-vacancy color-centers in nanodiamonds. Indeed, the latter are often used experimentally as a model system for quantum emitters in general. The choice of the emission wavelength ($\lambda_0 = 637 \, \text{nm}$) and of the dielectric environment ($n_{env} = 1.33$) also corresponds to the experimental conditions in our recent work, in which we exploited the directed assembly technique called Nanoxerography that allows to position individual nanodiamonds hosting nitrogenvacancy centers, with nanometer precision in the vicinity of Si nanostructures [43, 44]. To account for the finite size of these real quantum emitters, and in order to generate geometries that could potentially be fabricated and used in actual experiments, we leave a 50x50 nm² large area around the dipole emitter blank, corresponding to the lateral positioning resolution of our Nanoxerography technique for experimental emitter positioning.

115

116

117

120

We compare three geometric design strategies for the nanoantennas. In the first case, our goal is to keep the number of degrees of freedom low. Therefore we use constituents that are resonant at the emission wavelength. We use five nanorods with lengths $L = 300 \,\mathrm{nm}$, widths $W = 50 \,\mathrm{nm}$, and a height of H = 100 nm. Each nano-rod is allowed to be rotated by 90 degrees. We name this the "nano-rod" model. In the second case we increase the liberty of the optimizer by using smaller, non-resonant constituents. Ten nano-cubes of size $100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ nm}^3$ are therefore used to build the nanoantenna. We call this case the "nano-cube" model. The third case increases the degree of freedom even further, by using a

total of 27 small nano-pillars of size $60 \times 60 \times 100 \text{ nm}^3$, which we call the "nano-pillar" model.

Please note that in all three cases, the sizes and number of constituents are chosen such that roughly the same amount of Si material is available to the optimization algorithm. We use the same amount of material in all models to be able to attribute differences in the resulting antenna performances exclusively to their geometry.

Simulations method: We simulate the system of quan-141 tum emitter and nanostructure using pyGDM, an electromag-142 netic full-field solver based on the the Green's Dyadic Method 143 (GDM), a frequency domain volume integral approach [42, 45, 144 46]. One of the main advantages of the GDM in combination 145 with global optimization is the volume integral nature of the 146 method [38]. It conveniently allows to model small nanostruc-147 tures, since only the geometry is subject to discretization and 148 it does not require perfectly matched layers (PMLs). Domain 149 discretization techniques like the Finite Difference Time Do-150 main (FDTD) or the Finite Element Method (FEM) on the other 151 hand, require discretization of a large volume around the nano-152 antenna and the careful specification of PMLs. Finally, the pres-153 ence of a substrate can be easily taken into account in the GDM 154 [47]. We use a cubic discretization with mesh cell volume of 155 $20 \times 20 \times 20$ nm³. The permittivity of monocrystalline silicon 156 (including losses) is taken from literature [48]. 157

Global optimization: In order to optimize the geometries of the silicon nano-antennas, we use the Differential Evolution (DE) [36] global optimization algorithm, implemented in the paGMO/PyGMO python package [49, 50]. The optimizer is maximizing the ratio between emission through the target solid angle I_{dir} and emission into other directions I_{rest} in the superior half-space (c.f. inset in figure 1c):

$$f = R_{\rm dir} = \frac{I_{\rm dir}}{I_{\rm rest}} \,. \tag{1}$$

The emission target direction is defined by a solid angle along 195 165 the X-axis in the superior half space at $\theta = 45^{\circ}$ and with 196 166 an angular range of $\left[-\pi/6; \pi/6\right]$ in azimuthal direction and ¹⁹⁷ 167 $[\pi/6; 2\pi/6]$ in polar direction (c.f. red solid angle in Figure 1c). ¹⁹⁸ 168 The DE runs iteratively on populations of 50 individu- 199 169 als, each individual being a parameter-set describing a nano- 200 170 17 antenna geometry. The optimization budget for the two models 201 of lower freedom is set to 600 generations (30000 fitness evalu-202 172 ations), the nano-pillar model has the highest degree of free-173 dom and requires a significantly larger number of iterations for 203 174 convergence. It is therefore allowed to run for up to 5000 gen- 204 175 erations (250000 evaluations), with an additional stop criterion 205 176 of 200 unsuccessful iterations. During the evaluation step, a 177 206 PyGDM simulation is launched to calculate the fitness function 207 178 by numerical integration of the emission far-field pattern. Each 208 179 optimization runs parallelized on an 18 core Intel Skylake 6140 209 180 CPU. The typical runtime of the 600 generations budget is in 210 181 the order of 8-9 hours, the longer nano-pillar optimizations ₂₁₁ 182 run for around three days each. 183 212

Figures 1b and 1 c depict the fitness convergence for a 213 184 representative optimization (nano-rod, respectively nano-pillar, 214 185 dipole along X). In most optimizations the fitness visually 215 186 reaches a plateau, which is a satisfying convergence criterion 216 18 for us. More details on the simulations and on the optimizer 217 188 configuration can be found in our former works [18, 22, 51, 52]. 218 189 Simulations of the building blocks: Before we begin our 219 190 discussion of the optimized directional nano-antennas, we per- 220 191 form an analysis of the optical properties of the underlying in- 221 192



Fig. 2. Simulations of the isolated silicon nano-antenna constituents (left (a,d): resonant (ED) nano-rod, center (b,e): non-resonant nano-cube, right (c,f): non-resonant nano-pillar). (a-c) Total scattering cross section (black lines) and the corresponding multipole decomposition (colored lines - with ED, MD, EQ, MQ the electric dipole, magnetic dipole, electric quadrupole, magnetic quadrupole, respectively). Normal incidence plane wave illumination, polarization along *X*. The vertical dotted line indicates the emitter wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 637$ nm. (d-f) Total decay rate 20 nm above the top surface of the respective nano-antenna. Shown areas are 500×500 nm².

dividual building blocks. Figure 2a-c presents the total scattering cross-sections (SCS) for the three different fundamental building blocks, used in the optimizations. As mentioned before, the smaller, cubic (Fig. 2b) and pillar (Fig. 2c) structures are by themselves not resonant at the emission wavelength of the quantum emitter ($\lambda_0 = 637$ nm, indicated by vertical dotted lines). The nano-rod (Fig. 2a) on the other hand was designed to be resonant at this wavelength. In the multipole decomposition we can relate this resonance to the fundamental electric dipole (ED) mode associated to the nano-rod long axis.

To estimate the emission enhancement that can be expected in the vicinity of the building blocks, we simulate the total decay rate (proportional to the photonic local density of states (LDOS)) of each single constituent. The derivation of the decay rate calculation in the GDM can be found elsewhere [51]. The isolated structures already lead to an enhanced emission as a result of the Purcell effect. Figure 2d-f shows the decay rate enhancement maps just above the three different geometries. In all three cases, an enhancement of the radiative decay rate can be observed, which is highest for the largest structure, but still non-negligible even for the small silicon nanopillars. However, these Purcell factors are more than an order of magnitude lower than those of plasmonic directional nanoantennas [19, 22], or optimized dielectric micrometric structures [53]. Nevertheless, the significantly lower losses of silicon compared to plasmonic materials can compensate this partially. In fact, since the structures are small and losses in silicon are negligible at $\lambda_0 = 637$ nm, both the total decay rate and the here calculated radiative decay rate are approximately similar.



Fig. 3. Main optimization results. From top to bottom, the orientation of the emitter dipole transition moment **p** is along *X*, *Y*, and *Z*. Each left hand sub-figure shows the top-view projection of the best directional nano-antenna, the panels correspond to areas of $1 \times 1 \mu m^2$. The sub-figures on the right show polar far-field intensity plots of the upper hemisphere (medium with refractive index $n_{env} = 1.33$) of the emitter/nano-antenna system, normalized to the emission of a dipole of identical amplitude but without nano-antenna. a) Results of the three optimization runs with the resonant nano-rod geometric model (5 rods of size $300 \times 60 \times 100 \text{ nm}^3$). b) Optimization results of the non-resonant nano-cube model (10 cubes of size $100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ nm}^3$). c) Optimization results of the non-resonant nano-cube model (10 nm³). d) Emission patterns of isolated dipoles, used as normalization reference.

2223. OPTIMIZATION OF DIRECTIONAL NANO-ANTENNAS254223FOR SPECIFIC SOURCE ORIENTATIONS255

224**Optimization results:** We performed optimizations of R_{dir} for
all three geometric models. The optimization results are sum-
marized in Figure 3. Each model was optimized for three indi-
tum emitter. The results for optimizations with X, Y, and Z
est orientations of the source dipole are shown from the left to the
right in Figure 3.257224right in Figure 3.260

Figure 3a shows the optimizations of the nano-rod model. 264 231 We find that the X oriented dipole leads to the best possible di- 265 232 233 rectionality. X orientation also allows to extract most light from 266 234 the quantum emitter (around 5 times higher intensity, com- 267 pared to an isolated emitter). The optimization with Y orien- 268 235 tation of the emitter (center column) has the second largest di-236 rectionality ratio and emission intensity, while the Z dipole is 270 237 most difficult to optimize. Nevertheless, the found solution for 271 238 a Z-source still yields a clear directional emission with an inten- 272 239 sity enhancement above 3. We note that these trends hold for all 240 272 three geometric models and we also observe in all cases with X 241 274 and Y source orientations, that the optimizer generally orients 242 275 the elements along the emitter dipole moment, presumably to 243 276 exploit resonance mechanisms for tuning the phase response of 244 277 the antenna elements. 245 278

The optimization results for the nano-cube geometry are 279 246 shown in Figure 3b, the nano-pillar based antennas are shown 280 247 in Figure 3c. Despite the larger degree of freedom, we ob- 281 248 serve surprising similarities between the nano-rod geometries 282 249 and the nano-cube and nano-pillar results. This is particularly 283 250 striking, when comparing the geometries composed by 27 nano- 284 251 pillars (Fig. 3c). Also here, the DE algorithm converges to an- 285 252 tennas with typically a central element that maximizes light 286 253

extraction from the dipole, and additional elongated director elements, that are aligned with the dipole emitter orientation. Note that such spontaneous emergence of elements designed specifically for interaction with near-field, respectively far-field regions of the emitted field have also been observed in former studies on global optimization of photonic structures [54]. While generally the directionality ratio increases with higher degree of freedom for *X* and *Y* emitters, in the case of a *Z* oriented source the emission directionality cannot benefit from an increased design flexibility.

Finally, we note that in order to verify the convergence and reproducibility, we repeated the optimizations several times with random initial populations, systematically leading to very similar antenna geometries and to comparable directionality ratios.

Analysis of the nano-pillar optimizations: Since the high degree of freedom optimizations (nano-pillars) generally give the best results, in the following we will study the corresponding three nano-antennas in more detail.

Figure 4a shows the amplitude of the electric field component along the dipole emitter orientation (real part) in a plane through the center of the nano-pillar optimizations. Target dipole moment orientations are, from left to right, along X, Y, and Z. As stated above, we observe that each antenna has a central element that enhances the Purcell factor, and is placed as closely as allowed to the quantum emitter. This center element corresponds to a radio-frequency antenna-feed, and it is always positioned at the location of the maximum near-field amplitude of the source dipole. For X- and Y-oriented dipoles this is at short distance along the dipole moment axis, for a Zoriented dipole there is no preferred direction in the XY plane. The outer constituents of the antenna are placed approximately at distances of half effective wavelengths. We also observe that



Fig. 4. Detailed simulations of the optimized nano-pillar antennas (c.f. Fig. 3c). a) Top-view of the optimized geometries for, from left to right, *X*, *Y*, and *Z* oriented emitters as optimization target. The color maps show the real part of the field amplitude of, from left to right, E_x , E_y , and E_z . In the first two cases the real parts of the *xy* projected electric field vectors are also shown as small arrows. b) Simulations with an emitter transition dipole moment **p** along *X* exciting the three geometries shown in Fig. 3c. c) Same as b) but with a fixed emitter dipole moment **p** along *Y*. d) Same as (b-c) but with a fixed emitter dipole moment **p** along *Z*.

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

the antennas for dipole emitters along X and Z (Figs. 4a and 4c) $_{350}$ 287 resemble parabolic antennas, while the nano-structure for di- 351 288 rectional emission from Y-oriented emitters is more similar to 352 289 a Bragg mirror (Fig. 4b). The latter resembles a series of high 353 290 index contrast interfaces at multiples of $\lambda/2$. We believe that ³⁵⁴ 291 this is a result of the pattern of the electromagnetic field, emit- 355 292 ted by an isolated oscillating dipole, which strongly depends 356 293 on the dipole transition moment direction. Dipolar emission 357 294 patterns without any nano-antenna are shown for comparison 358 295 in Figure 3d. A Y-dipole emits mostly along the X axis direction 359 296 (Fig. 3d, center), while the field strength is quickly decreasing in 360 297 Y direction. Placing a Bragg mirror at one side of the emitter is 361 298 therefore a reasonable concept to direct the emission into the op- 362 299 posite direction. The X-dipole radiates equally, but rotated by 363 300 90° , hence strongly along the Y axis direction (Fig. 3d, top). We ₃₆₄ 30 suppose that directing its emission towards X axis requires di- 365 302 rector elements with some curvature, surrounding the half-side 366 303 of the emitter, towards which no emission is desired. Finally, 367 304 the Z dipole radiates a donut lobe parallel to the nanostructure $_{368}$ 305 plane (Fig. 3d, bottom), which, instead of having a natural di- 369 306 rectivity as in the case of the X and Y orientations, is radially 370 307 symmetric in the XY plane. A Bragg mirror may be a working 371 308

5

antenna concept as well. However, the circular symmetry of the emission seems to favor curved reflector elements. Due to the larger extension of the emitted field pattern, the material is used up faster and we assume that due to a lack of more available silicon, no second Bragg mirror element is added at a further step $\lambda/2$. Note, that due to the dominant emission in the *XY* plane, the target solid angle is difficult to reach, which is probably the reason why the *Z*-dipole optimizations struggle to direct the emission fully into the target window, and *R*_{dir} values remain relatively low.

In a next step, we want to understand how antennas, optimized for a specific orientation, interact with other source dipole directions than intended during the design process. To this end, we calculate the emission pattern of all three "nanopillar" antennas, when excited by different orthogonal emitter orientations. In Figure 4b, we show the radiation pattern for the three nano-antennas when using an X-oriented dipole source. Figure 4c shows the emission for the same antennas under excitation with a Y oriented source, and in Figure 4d a Z-emitter is used. We find that the X-design totally fails for Y-emitters (light is even emitted to the opposite direction), but performs relatively well for Z-sources. Likewise, the Yoptimized nano-antenna totally fails to direct light from Xoriented sources (light is even emitted into the orthogonal direction), but it again shows some directionality for Z emitters. At last, the Z-optimization has only moderate directionality ratios for all excitation scenarios, but interestingly, it is capable to direct light for all three emitter orientations roughly towards the target direction. In conclusion, while the optimized geometries offer a certain selectivity regarding the source dipole orientation, none of the nano-antennas implements an exclusive emitter-orientation filter.

Finally, we want to note that the results of our unconstrained models indicate, that the optimum configuration for the here studied problems appear to be geometries with mirror symmetry around the *y*-axis. Imposing such symmetry could be used to significantly reduce the degree of freedom with a potentially large gain in computational efficiency. However, we want to emphasize that symmetric structures cannot be generally expected to be ideal, as we found in an earlier study [22].

Antenna constituents and design robustness: Considering the example of the antenna with the highest directionality ratio, the X-emitter "nano-pillar" geometry, we investigate closer the working principle, emission properties as well as the design robustness. To begin, we calculate spectra of the directionality ratio as well as the emission enhancement factor, shown in Figure 5a. We find that the optimization yields a nano-antenna with a maximum of R_{dir} at the target wavelength ($\lambda_0 = 637$ nm). The intensity enhancement shows a similar trend, its maximum however occurs at a slightly shorter wavelength. This can be explained with the well-known near- to far-field shift of optical resonances, since the near-field properties (here the emission rate enhancement) is proportional to the field amplitude, while far-field properties (here the directionality ratio) are proportional to the time averaged energy density [55, 56].

In order to assess the role of the different antenna parts, we perform individual simulations of the isolated center, as well as of the outer elements alone. The radiation pattern of the center element, closest to the emitter, is shown in Figure 5b. We find that this element alone approximately doubles the emission intensity and bends the dipole emission lobe towards the target solid angle. However, is not sufficient to yield a significant focusing of the emission. Likewise, the outer elements alone are





Fig. 5. a) Spectrum of the directionality ratio (blue line) and emission intensity enhancement (orange line) around the optimization target wavelength ($\lambda_0 = 637$ nm). (b-c) Analysis of the main constituents of the best performing antenna (nanopillar geometric model with an emitter dipole oriented along X). b) Emission pattern of the center element alone. c) Emission pattern for the antenna without the center element. d) Analysis of the angular width of the full antenna's emission. Azimuthal and polar emission profiles are taken through the global maximum of the angular emission pattern. e) Analysis of the positioning robustness of the X-dipole nano-pillar antenna (left: geometry). The small blue area corresponds to ± 40 nm around the target position, for emitter positions in this area the peak emission enhancement with respect to an isolated emitter (center), and the directionality ratio (right) are shown.

simulated in Figure 5c. Those seem to be the essential elements
for the collimation effect. Additionally to a significant directionality, the isolated outer part induces also an intensity enhancement of around 3. Both constituents yield and overall 8-fold
intensity increase and a directionality ratio of 0.78.

The angular divergence of the emission is analyzed in figure 5d. Both, azimuthal and polar angular full width at half maximum (FWHM) are in the order of 50° , which is in agreement with the target solid angle of $60^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ}$.

381 Electron beam lithography allows nanofabrication with pre- 414

a) scalar emitter

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409



b) emission for specific dipole moment orientations



Fig. 6. Optimization using a scalar emitter. a) Best geometry (left) after 5000 iterations and the corresponding far-field pattern (right, incoherent average of *X*, *Y* and *Z* emitters). b) Emission patterns for specific emitter orientations. From left to right: *X*, *Y*, and *Z*.

cision in the order of a few nanometers, which allows for very precise optical property designs, exploited for example in visible light metasurfaces [57, 58]. However, precise positioning of single quantum emitters with respect to a single nano-antenna is an incomparably harder, yet crucial task [44, 59]. To estimate the required precision to obtain an optimum performance, we assess in Figure 5e the impact of lateral variations of the quantum emitter position. We surprisingly find, that neither the emission intensity, nor the directionality are strongly dependent on the exact emitter position. Actually, both properties would be even be improved, if the emitter could be placed closer to the center element than permitted in the optimization (imposed 50 nm distance between emitter and silicon). While a stronger emission intensity can be naturally expected if an emitter is brought closer to a resonant nano-antenna, we believe that the Purcell effect also explains the high positional robustness of the directionality with respect to the light source location. Since the emitter dipole couples to the antenna feed element, the effective illumination that drives the nano-antenna is no longer the quantum emitter itself, but the Si element that extracts light from the source dipole becomes the principal light emitter. In conclusion, given the positioning tolerance offered by these optimized Si structures, we are very optimistic concerning the experimental feasibility using the AFM nanoxerography technique we previously developed [43, 44]. Once a working experimental platform is achieved, it would be interesting to optimize the minimal void area, required for nanoxerography positioning, in order to maximize light emission and directionality.

4. OPTIMIZATION OF DIRECTIONAL NANO-ANTENNAS FOR SCALAR SOURCES

As discussed above, the optimizations with a fixed orientation of the emitter dipole transition moment can have surprising behaviors, when other than designed light source orientations are

used (c.f. Fig. 4). It is for example even possible that the emission of other than optimized orientations, is directed in the opposite direction (see middle column in Figure 4b).

In a realistic experimental configuration, the 3D orientation 477 418 of the emitting dipole is hardly controllable [59]. It is there-419 fore practically relevant to design dipole orientation indepen-420 478 42 dent antennas. With this objective, we run an additional optimization, with the goal to maximize directional emission for 422 479 the incoherent sum of three orthogonal dipole moments of the 423 480 local light source. This configuration essentially corresponds to 481 424 a "scalar" emitter. The resulting geometry and average emis- 482 425 sion pattern is shown in Figure 6a. The geometry looks like a 483 426 hybrid of the three optimization results for *X*, *Y* and *Z* emitters, 484 427 however with the X-antenna geometric features being clearly 428 486 predominant. This is in agreement with the individual emission 429 patterns (Fig. 6b), where we find that interaction with X dipoles 430 488 and their directional emission clearly dominates the global per-431 489 formance. In comparison with the case of source orientation 432 490 along *X*, we find that the *Y* and *Z* dipoles are directed around 433 491 two to three times less efficiently, and also their Purcell enhance- 492 434 ment is distinctly weaker. Nevertheless, the result is indeed a 493 43 compromise which allows to direct emission for all dipole ori- 494 436 entations towards the same solid angle, with a reasonable effi- 495 43 496 ciency. 438 497

439 5. CONCLUSIONS

500 In conclusion, we used evolutionary optimization coupled to 440 501 full-field electrodynamical GDM simulations, to design Si nano-44 502 antennas that allow to control the directivity of the emission 442 503 of a local single photon source. We explored three different 443 504 geometric models with increasing degree of freedom. One 444 505 model is composed of resonant nano-rods, while the two other 506 445 models are built from smaller, non-resonant building blocks. 507 446 All models used approximately the same material quantity. 447 While even the simplest model is able to design efficient di-448 510 rectional nano-antennas with a relatively low computational 449 450 budget, the more flexible configurations generally yield higher 512 perfomances, however at the cost of an increase in the com-451 513 putational time. We analyzed the functional elements of a 452 selected nano-antenna and found that it is surprisingly ro-453 515 bust against positional imperfections of the local light source. 516 454 Finally, we compared various emitter-orientation dependent 517 455 nano-antennas and performed an optimization for scalar light 518 456 sources, capable to direct the emission of any transition dipole 519 45 moment towards the same solid angle. We believe that global 520 458 521 optimization of high-index dielectric nano-antennas for quan-459 522 tum emitter control has a tremendous potential for the tailoring 460 523 of near- and far-field properties in quantum nano-optics, with 461 524 applications for instance in quantum communications, quan-462 525 tum cryptography and related technologies. 463 526

527 Funding. The authors acknowledge support by "Programme In-464 528 465 vestissements dAvenir" through the grant NanoX nř ANR-17-EURE-529 466 0009 (project Q-META), and by the French Agence Nationale de la 530 Recherche (ANR) under grants ANR-19-CE24-0026 (project HiLight) 467 531 and ANR-22-CE24-0002 (project NAINOS). Numerical calculations 468 532 were supported by the Toulouse high performance computing facility 469 533 CALMIP (under grants p1107 and p20010). R.H., LPCNO and CEMES 470 534 acknowledge the IQO (Institute for Quantum Technologies in Occitanie) 471 535 for the postdoctoral fellowship. 472 536

473 Acknowledgments.

474 **Disclosures.** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement. The data of this study can be made available by the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Supplemental document. No supplemental material.

REFERENCES

498

499

537

538

539

- L.-T. Feng, G.-C. Guo, and X.-F. Ren, "Progress on Integrated Quantum Photonic Sources with Silicon," Adv. Quantum Technol. 3, 1900058 (2020).
- P. Lodahl, "Quantum-dot based photonic quantum networks," Quantum Sci. Technol. 3, 013001 (2017).
- A. F. Koenderink, "Single-Photon Nanoantennas," ACS Photonics 4, 710–722 (2017).
- R. E. Evans, M. K. Bhaskar, D. D. Sukachev, C. T. Nguyen, A. Sipahigil, M. J. Burek, B. Machielse, G. H. Zhang, A. S. Zibrov, E. Bielejec, H. Park, M. Lončar, and M. D. Lukin, "Photon-mediated interactions between quantum emitters in a diamond nanocavity," Science 362, 662–665 (2018).
- M. Y. Shalaginov, S. I. Bogdanov, A. S. Lagutchev, A. V. Kildishev, A. Boltasseva, and V. M. Shalaev, "On-Chip Single-Layer Integration of Diamond Spins with Microwave and Plasmonic Channels," ACS Photonics 7, 2018–2026 (2020).
- J. Wang, F. Sciarrino, A. Laing, and M. G. Thompson, "Integrated photonic quantum technologies," Nat. Photonics 14, 273–284 (2020).
- P. Senellart, G. Solomon, and A. White, "High-performance semiconductor quantum-dot single-photon sources," Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 1026–1039 (2017).
- C. Bradac, W. Gao, J. Forneris, M. E. Trusheim, and I. Aharonovich, "Quantum nanophotonics with group IV defects in diamond," Nat. Commun. 10, 5625 (2019).
- G. Grosso, H. Moon, B. Lienhard, S. Ali, D. K. Efetov, M. M. Furchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, M. J. Ford, I. Aharonovich, and D. Englund, "Tunable and high-purity room temperature single-photon emission from atomic defects in hexagonal boron nitride," Nat. Commun. 8, 705 (2017).
- P. Tonndorf, R. Schmidt, R. Schneider, J. Kern, M. Buscema, G. A. Steele, A. Castellanos-Gomez, H. S. J. van der Zant, S. M. de Vasconcellos, and R. Bratschitsch, "Single-photon emission from localized excitons in an atomically thin semiconductor," Optica 2, 347–352 (2015).
- A. Högele, C. Galland, M. Winger, and A. Imamoğlu, "Photon Antibunching in the Photoluminescence Spectra of a Single Carbon Nanotube," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 217401 (2008).
- L. Novotny and N. van Hulst, "Antennas for light," Nat. Photonics 5, 83–90 (2011).
- A. Huck, S. Kumar, A. Shakoor, and U. L. Andersen, "Controlled Coupling of a Single Nitrogen-Vacancy Center to a Silver Nanowire," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 096801 (2011).
- S. Schietinger, M. Barth, T. Aichele, and O. Benson, "Plasmon-Enhanced Single Photon Emission from a Nanoassembled Metal-Diamond Hybrid Structure at Room Temperature," Nano Lett. 9, 1694– 1698 (2009).
- G. Marino, A. S. Solntsev, L. Xu, V. F. Gili, L. Carletti, A. N. Poddubny, M. Rahmani, D. A. Smirnova, H. Chen, A. Lemaître, G. Zhang, A. V. Zayats, C. D. Angelis, G. Leo, A. A. Sukhorukov, and D. N. Neshev, "Spontaneous photon-pair generation from a dielectric nanoantenna," Optica 6, 1416–1422 (2019).
- L. Novotny, "Effective Wavelength Scaling for Optical Antennas," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 266802 (2007).
- G. Colas des Francs, J. Barthes, A. Bouhelier, J. C. Weeber, A. Dereux, A. Cuche, and C. Girard, "Plasmonic Purcell factor and coupling efficiency to surface plasmons. Implications for addressing and controlling optical nanosources," J. Opt. 18, 094005 (2016).
- Y. Brûlé, P. Wiecha, A. Cuche, V. Paillard, and G. C. Des Francs, "Magnetic and electric Purcell factor control through geometry optimization of high index dielectric nanostructures," Opt. Express 30, 20360– 20372 (2022).
- 19. A. G. Curto, G. Volpe, T. H. Taminiau, M. P. Kreuzer, R. Quidant, and

Research Article

540

541

- N. F. van Hulst, "Unidirectional Emission of a Quantum Dot Coupled to a Nanoantenna," Science **329**, 930–933 (2010).
- T. Coenen, F. B. Arango, A. F. Koenderink, and A. Polman, "Directional 610
 emission from a single plasmonic scatterer," Nat. Commun. 5, 3250 611
 (2014). 612
- 545 21. M. Peter, A. Hildebrandt, C. Schlickriede, K. Gharib, T. Zentgraf, 613
 546 J. Förstner, and S. Linden, "Directional Emission from Dielectric Leaky-Wave Nanoantennas," Nano Lett. 17, 4178–4183 (2017).
- P. R. Wiecha, C. Majorel, C. Girard, A. Cuche, V. Paillard, O. L. 616
 Muskens, A. Arbouet, and A. Arbouet, "Design of plasmonic directional antennas via evolutionary optimization," Opt. Express 27, 618
 29069–29081 (2019). 619
- L. Novotny and B. Hecht, *Principles of Nano-Optics* (Cambridge Uni- 620 versity Press, Cambridge ; New York, 2006).
- S. Chakravarthi, P. Chao, C. Pederson, S. Molesky, A. Ivanov, K. Hestroffer, F. Hatami, A. W. Rodriguez, and K.-M. C. Fu, "Inverse-designed photon extractors for optically addressable defect qubits," Optica 7, 1805–1811 (2020).
- C. Girard, E. Dujardin, G. Baffou, and R. Quidant, "Shaping and ma nipulation of light fields with bottom-up plasmonic structures," New J. 627
 Phys. 10, 105016 (2008).
- S. Maier, *Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications* (Springer US, 629
 2010).
- M. S. Tame, K. R. McEnery, Ş. K. Özdemir, J. Lee, S. A. Maier, and M. S. Kim, "Quantum plasmonics," Nat. Phys. 9, 329 (2013).
- Ses 28. G. Baffou, C. Girard, E. Dujardin, G. Colas des Francs, and O. J. F. 633
 Martin, "Molecular quenching and relaxation in a plasmonic tunable system," Phys. Rev. B 77, 121101 (2008).
- P. Albella, M. A. Poyli, M. K. Schmidt, S. A. Maier, F. Moreno, 636
 J. J. Sáenz, and J. Aizpurua, "Low-Loss Electric and Magnetic Field- 637
 Enhanced Spectroscopy with Subwavelength Silicon Dimers," The J. 638
 Phys. Chem. C 117, 13573–13584 (2013).
- 30. A. I. Kuznetsov, A. E. Miroshnichenko, M. L. Brongersma, Y. S. 640
 Kivshar, and B. Luk'yanchuk, "Optically resonant dielectric nanostruc tures," Science 354 (2016).
- 575
 31. A. E. Krasnok, A. E. Miroshnichenko, P. A. Belov, and Y. S. Kivshar, 643

 576
 "All-dielectric optical nanoantennas," Opt. Express 20, 20599–20604

 577
 (2012).
- P. R. Wiecha, A. Cuche, A. Arbouet, C. Girard, G. Colas des Francs, 646
 A. Lecestre, G. Larrieu, F. Fournel, V. Larrey, T. Baron, and V. Paillard, "Strongly Directional Scattering from Dielectric Nanowires," ACS Photonics 4, 2036–2046 (2017).
- 33. M. M. R. Elsawy, S. Lanteri, R. Duvigneau, J. A. Fan, and P. Genevet, 650
 "Numerical Optimization Methods for Metasurfaces," Laser & Photon-651
 ics Rev. 14, 1900445 (2020).
- S4. R. J. Gelly, A. D. White, G. Scuri, X. Liao, G. H. Ahn, B. Deng, 653
 K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Vučković, and H. Park, "An Inverse-Designed Nanophotonic Interface for Excitons in Atomically Thin Materials," Nano Lett. 23, 8779–8786 (2023).
- 35. L. Sortino, P. G. Zotev, C. L. Phillips, A. J. Brash, J. Cambiasso, 657
 E. Marensi, A. M. Fox, S. A. Maier, R. Sapienza, and A. I. Tar- 658
 takovskii, "Bright single photon emitters with enhanced quantum ef ficiency in a two-dimensional semiconductor coupled with dielectric
 nano-antennas," Nat. Commun. 12, 6063 (2021).
- R. Storn and K. Price, "Differential Evolution A Simple and Efficient 662
 Heuristic for global Optimization over Continuous Spaces," J. Glob. 663
 Optim. 11, 341–359 (1997). 664
- T. Feichtner, O. Selig, M. Kiunke, and B. Hecht, "Evolutionary Optimization of Optical Antennas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 127701 (2012).
- 38. P. R. Wiecha, A. Arbouet, C. Girard, A. Lecestre, G. Larrieu, and
 V. Paillard, "Evolutionary multi-objective optimization of colour pixels
 based on dielectric nanoantennas," Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 163–169
 (2017).
- 39. M. A. Barry, V. Berthier, B. D. Wilts, M.-C. Cambourieux, P. Bennet, 671
 R. Pollès, O. Teytaud, E. Centeno, N. Biais, and A. Moreau, "Evolutionary algorithms converge towards evolved biological photonic structures," Sci. Reports 10, 12024 (2020).
- 40. J. Rapin, P. Bennet, E. Centeno, D. Haziza, A. Moreau, and O. Tey-

taud, "Open source evolutionary structured optimization," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion,* (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2020), GECCO '20, pp. 1599–1607.

- 41. D. Simon, *Evolutionary Optimization Algorithms* (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).
- P. R. Wiecha, C. Majorel, A. Arbouet, A. Patoux, Y. Brûlé, G. C. des Francs, and C. Girard, "pyGDM – new functionalities and major improvements to the python toolkit for nano-optics full-field simulations," Comput. Phys. Commun. 270, 108142 (2022).
- M. Humbert, Y. Hallez, V. Larrey, F. Fournel, E. Palleau, V. Paillard, A. Cuche, and L. Ressier, "Versatile, rapid and robust nano-positioning of single-photon emitters by AFM-nanoxerography," Nanotechnology 33, 215301 (2022).
- M. Humbert, R. Hernandez, N. Mallet, G. Larrieu, V. Larrey, F. Fournel, F. Guérin, E. Palleau, V. Paillard, A. Cuche, and L. Ressier, "Largescale controlled coupling of single-photon emitters to high-index dielectric nanoantennas by AFM nanoxerography," Nanoscale 15, 599– 608 (2023).
- O. J. F. Martin, C. Girard, and A. Dereux, "Generalized Field Propagator for Electromagnetic Scattering and Light Confinement," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 526–529 (1995).
- C. Girard, "Near fields in nanostructures," Reports on Prog. Phys. 68, 1883–1933 (2005).
- M. Paulus, P. Gay-Balmaz, and O. J. F. Martin, "Accurate and efficient computation of the Green's tensor for stratified media," Phys. Rev. E 62, 5797–5807 (2000).
- D. F. Edwards, "Silicon (Si)*," in *Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids*, E. D. Palik, ed. (Academic Press, Burlington, 1997), pp. 547–569.
- F. Biscani, D. Izzo, and C. H. Yam, "A Global Optimisation Toolbox for Massively Parallel Engineering Optimisation," arXiv:1004.3824 [cs, math] (2010).
- F. Biscani and D. Izzo, "A parallel global multiobjective framework for optimization: Pagmo," J. Open Source Softw. 5, 2338 (2020).
- P. R. Wiecha, A. Arbouet, A. Cuche, V. Paillard, and C. Girard, "Decay rate of magnetic dipoles near nonmagnetic nanostructures," Phys. Rev. B 97, 085411 (2018).
- C. Girard, P. R. Wiecha, A. Cuche, and E. Dujardin, "Designing thermoplasmonic properties of metallic metasurfaces," J. Opt. 20, 075004 (2018).
- J. Yang, M. A. Guidry, D. M. Lukin, K. Yang, and J. Vučković, "Inversedesigned silicon carbide quantum and nonlinear photonics," Light. Sci. & Appl. 12, 201 (2023).
- A. Gondarenko, S. Preble, J. Robinson, L. Chen, H. Lipson, and M. Lipson, "Spontaneous Emergence of Periodic Patterns in a Biologically Inspired Simulation of Photonic Structures," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 143904 (2006).
- J. Zuloaga and P. Nordlander, "On the Energy Shift between Near-Field and Far-Field Peak Intensities in Localized Plasmon Systems," Nano Lett. **11**, 1280–1283 (2011).
- A. Estrada-Real, I. Paradisanos, P. R. Wiecha, J.-M. Poumirol, A. Cuche, G. Agez, D. Lagarde, X. Marie, V. Larrey, J. Müller, G. Larrieu, V. Paillard, and B. Urbaszek, "Probing the optical near-field interaction of Mie nanoresonators with atomically thin semiconductors," Commun. Phys. 6, 1–7 (2023).
- Y. Guerfi, F. Carcenac, and G. Larrieu, "High resolution HSQ nanopillar arrays with low energy electron beam lithography," Microelectron. Eng. 110, 173–176 (2013).
- P. Genevet, F. Capasso, F. Aieta, M. Khorasaninejad, and R. Devlin, "Recent advances in planar optics: From plasmonic to dielectric metasurfaces," Optica 4, 139–152 (2017).
- M. Humbert, P. R. Wiecha, G. Colas des Francs, X. Yu, N. Mallet, A. Lecestre, G. Larrieu, V. Larrey, F. Fournel, L. Ressier, C. Girard, V. Paillard, and A. Cuche, "Tailoring Wavelength- and Emitter-Orientation-Dependent Propagation of Single Photons in Silicon Nanowires," Phys. Rev. Appl. 17, 014008 (2022).